GOVERNMENT

OF

THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

REGULAR BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

OCTOBER 4, 2022

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened via Video/Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 10:00 a.m. EDT, Gary Thompson, Chair, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

GARY THOMPSON, Chair MIKE GILL, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Director TERRI STROUD, General Counsel

CECILY COLLIER-MONTGOMERY, Office of

Campaign Finance

WILLIAM SANFORD, General Counsel

CONTENTS

Meetir	ng Call	led to	Order.	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
Ascert	cainmer	nt of Ç	Quorum.	•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	3
Adopti	ion of	Agenda	a/Appro	val	L 0	f	Min	ute	es	•	•	•	•	•	•	4
Board	Matte	cs		•	•	•		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
Genera	al Cour	nsel's	Report													
A.	Public	c Heari	ing, Ka	thy	<i>7</i> H	en	der	SOI	z c	7.						
	D.C. (Office	of Cam	ıpai	gn	F.	ina	nce	∍.	•	•	•	•	•	•	5
B.	Litiga	ation S	Status													
	1.	Hender	son v.	D.	C.	В	oar	d d	э£							
		Electi	lons	•	•	•			•	•	•	•	•	•	. 7	73
	2.	Public	: Inter	est	: L	eq	al									
			ation v			_		vai	າຣ						. 7	4
	3.		le Grah													
			ections								_				. 7	75
		01 110	,0010110	•	•	•	• •	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	• /	•
Execut	ive Di	irector	r's Rep	ort	-	_			_				_		. 7	76
					•			Ū	·						•	
Campai	ian Fir	nance F	Report.												. 8	30
	- J			-	•			•	•				-			
Public Comment									0							
			-	-	-	•		-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
Adjournment 95										5						

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

10:03 a.m.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Well, good morning, everybody. I see we have a number of people here. Hopefully, my volume is coming in well. Thumbs up if you can hear me okay, everybody? All right. Thank you.

Hi, good morning. My name is Gary
Thompson. I'm the Chair of the Board of
Elections. And with us, as well, is Mike Gill on
the Board. Karyn Greenfield couldn't make it,
but we do have two of the three Board members,
which means we have a quorum, so we will proceed
with our regular monthly meeting.

By the way, it's the 67th anniversary of the Board's first meeting. Happy birthday, I guess, to us. So here we are, 67 years later, and we have a regular monthly meeting, but also a public hearing today in the case of Kathy Henderson v. D.C. Office of Campaign Finance. We'll get to that in a second.

I also want to apologize for not being

I'm actually on my way to 1 able to be present. 2 Florida to rescue my sister, Kristin, from a hurricane catastrophe. She lost her entire house 3 in Fort Myers, Florida, along with about, you 4 5 know, half a million other people. So it's, you know, it kind of puts things in perspective. 6 7 But, you know, I wanted to be here for 8 And I'm in a good spot, and I got good this. 9 reception. So first regular order of business to 10 It's been distributed to Mike 11 adopt the agenda. 12 and I and made public. So I would move the 13 agenda as it's been notified. 14 MEMBER GILL: Second. 15 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Both in 16 favor? 17 MEMBER GILL: Aye. 18 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Also, 19 we both received copies of our minutes from our 20 last regular Board meeting of September 6. I 21 would move that we adopt those minutes as

distributed. Is there a second?

1	MEMBER GILL:	Second.

favor?

2 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. All in

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Before we proceed with Ms. Henderson, I don't have any Board matters to raise. Anything from you, Mike?

MEMBER GILL: No.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. We're going to proceed then with the hearing in the matter of Kathy Henderson v. D.C. Office of Campaign Finance, and I'm just going to start by describing the process for how we will proceed.

I think, as everybody here and everybody involved understands, this is a de novo hearing. Specifically under D.C. Municipal Regulations 3709.11, any party adversely affected by any order of the director may obtain review of the order by filing with the Board of Elections a request for a hearing de novo. De novo is a fancy legal word for, basically, do over. It means that we, the Board, start with a blank

slate in terms of our judgment and perspective.

We certainly take note of the record as it exists

up to this point and any evidence that will be

introduced, but this is a new starting point with

respect to a de novo hearing.

And our procedures today are generally governed by D.C. Municipal Regs. Title 3,
Section 400, there's a whole series of provisions about how our Board conducts hearings. They're relatively flexible in terms of how we can proceed under any given circumstances. Under 400.1, these procedures apply to alleged violations of the Campaign Finance Act.

So that's where we are procedurally in terms of the regulatory framework and the standard. Those standards make clear that the burden of proof today is on the Office of Campaign Finance to establish the violation that's been alleged. We've, of course, read those orders that the OCF has issued, culminating in a second amended order which corrects some procedural things.

So how we're going to proceed is we're going to hear first from the Office of Campaign

Finance, and I believe specifically Ms. Belinda

Kittles-Perry, the hearing officer, is present,

along with William Sanford, general counsel of

the OCF. We'll hear from them first since they

have the burden of proof. They can make an

opening statement, a summary of how they arrived

at their orders, and then they can introduce into

evidence anything that they believe supports

their findings.

And then when they've concluded, we'll hear then from Ms. Henderson, who can obviously make her statement and introduce her own testimony, any evidence, and any witnesses she may have. Then we'll shift back to the OCF for a brief rebuttal, if they have any, and then ask for any closing comments.

So basic process. Just before we proceed, any very brief questions about the process of how we're going to proceed before we jump in?

MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Mr.

Chairman. This is William Sanford. I believe
that the moving party has the burden of proof,
who is Ms. Henderson. She is appealing our
order, so I believe the burden of proof is upon
her, not upon the Office of Campaign Finance.
But we are prepared to proceed.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Yes, let me take that under advisement and dive into some of the regulations about who technically has the burden of proof. It matters, but, at the same time, we'll certainly hear all of the statements and evidence that either side would like to present. And since it's de novo, we'll weigh it all and reach our determination. We'll pause maybe when we're in executive session to make sure we understand who has the burden of proof.

But that point having been made, are there any other comments or objections before we proceed in the matter that I just outlined?

MR. SANFORD: No, we have no further

comments at this point, except I believe Ms.

Perry is prepared to proceed.

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: I am.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. I just want to make sure. And, Ms. Henderson, I just want to make sure on the record that you're present, right?

MS. HENDERSON: I am.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. And just quickly, before we jump in, anything from Terri Stroud, general counsel, before we hear from OCF?

MS. STROUD: Just to establish for the record, Mr. Chair, how much time should be allotted for each side.

GHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. That's a good point. I would like, you know, if each of you could try to limit your opening statement to five minutes. And then for the testimony portion, you know, no strict time limits, but we'll, you know, if there's other witnesses to be heard from or we'll try to, you know, run the clock at five minutes each. But I do want

everybody to have a full and fair opportunity to present all of the points and arguments they want to make. I'm sure Ms. Henderson has more than five minutes of evidence to introduce; but just in terms of, like, the statement itself, an opening statement, if you will, about five minutes roughly.

So with that, we'll proceed with the Office of Campaign Finance, specifically the hearing officer, Ms. Perry. I think Mr. Sanford said you would proceed at this point.

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Yes. Good
morning, good morning, Chairman Thompson and
Board Member Mike Gill. My name is Belinda
Kittles-Perry and I'm an attorney advisor for the
Office of Campaign Finance located at 1015 Half
Street, Southeast, Washington, D.C. I will be
testifying before you today in the matter of
Kathy Henderson, Docket Number 2022-F008.

Between the time frame of February 4th and February 7th, 2022, Ms. Henderson registered as a candidate and treasurer for our committee to

elect Kathy Henderson with the Office of Campaign
Finance and with the Fair Elections Program for
the position, running for Ward 5 council seat,
wherein she agreed to comply with all the rules
and regulations of the program.

On March 3rd, 2022, Ms. Henderson attended the virtual entrance conference and was informed of the mandatory filing requirements for the reports of receipts and expenditures as a candidate registered with the Fair Elections Program. The Fair Elections Program, as you know, has no statutory requirement to notify Ms. Henderson of the mandatory filing dates. However, on February 17th, March 7th, and March 8th, she was sent reminder notices to file the March 10th report. That report was not filed on March 10th.

On March 30th, a notice of hearing, statement of violations, and order of appearance regarding the March 10th failure to file was emailed to Ms. Henderson scheduling a hearing on the matter for April 13th. On April 12th, one

day before the hearing, Ms. Henderson filed the March 10th report with the Office of Campaign Finance.

On April 13th, the Office of Campaign Finance did indeed hold a hearing, at which time Ms. Henderson attributed her untimely filing of the March 10th report to her being overextended, overwhelmed with her responsibilities as candidate and treasurer and represented that she had a conversation with Mr. Williams of the Public Information and Records Management Division of the OCF, which she misinterpreted to have meant that she was not required to file the March 10th report, wherein, during this hearing, she took full responsibility for that misunderstanding, indicated she now knew and was aware of the filing requirements. Going forward, she would be compliant. During this initial hearing, she never raised any issues involving difficulty logging in the online filing system or otherwise.

On May 18th, following the hearing,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

OCF issued an order posting a fine calculated based upon the statutory permissible penalty of \$50 per day for a period of 23 days late. That totaled \$1,150, which amount was reduced to \$550 upon an exercise of discretion by the director based, in part, on the fact that there were no prior violations in the FEP, Fair Elections Program.

On May 23rd, Ms. Henderson filed a motion to reconsider the May 18th order, indicating to the office that she had filed a day early, April 12th. In this filing, for the first time she claimed to have difficulty logging in to the filing system. On May 24th, the initial order was amended to recalculate the amount of the fine downward from 23 days late to 22 days late for a revised amount of \$1,100, imposing again the reduced fine in the amount of \$550.

On May 25th, the Office of Campaign

Finance filed a response to motion for

reconsideration of order to Ms. Henderson's

motion to reconsider that requested to dismiss

the filing in its entirety was denied because she failed to submit any evidence which may have been admitted from consideration at that initial hearing, and the reduced fine in the amount of \$550 remained intact.

On June 10th, Ms. Henderson filed a request for review of order hearing de novo. In this filing, she said she had difficulty logging in to the system, again stating that she did, in fact, file the required report on April 12th after experiencing considerable difficulty assessing the online filing system and requesting log-in credentials and a new password from OCF representative Wesley Williams, end quote. No documents were submitted by Ms. Henderson to support this claim.

On June 24th, a pre-hearing conference was held with a representative from the Board of Elections and Ms. Henderson. There was no representative of the OCF present at this pre-hearing conference. Thereafter, on July 22nd, OCF filed a second amended order which included

the affirmation statement of Wesley Williams, the Williams affirmation. The Williams affirmation attested to Ms. Henderson's lengthy late filing history with the OCF beginning in 2006 through 2022, which involved eight campaigns in the traditional program and one campaign with the Fair Elections Programs. The late filings reported in the Williams affirmation resulted in the OCF issuing six orders imposing fines with the suspension of all but one of the fines.

The Williams affirmation further represented that no extension was granted to Ms. Henderson to late file the March 10th report.

At this time, with your permission, after I conclude the procedural history of this case, I am prepared to have Mr. Williams sworn in today as a witness for purpose of adopting his representations outlined in the affirmation statement for the record. However, this statement is included in the filing, so, if you do not need him to adopt it, we can proceed without that.

To culminate the rest of the procedural history, on August 6th, Ms. Henderson filed a request for reconsideration of the second amended order. And on August 10th, OCF refiled a response denying the relief requested due to the untimeliness of that filing. It was filed beyond 15 days, and the statutory mandate was five days.

On September 28th, during a prehearing conference held with representatives of
the OCF and the Board of Elections, Ms. Henderson
submitted several emails, but one in particular
dated April 11th addressed to Mr. Williams
stating, quote, I was unable to log in to file
the April 10th report, end quote. This email was
offered as evidence of her defense in the late
filing of the March 10th report. I argued that
this email lacks any evidentiary merit to support
any defense raised, and it is irrelevant because
it fails to address the March 10th report at
issue today.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you so much,

Ms. Perry. Maybe it would help us if you could comment some more on how you weighed the existence of prior violations in the traditional It looks like there were 15 delinquent program. filings in the past involving Ms. Henderson resulting in six orders in which fines were imposed. Five of them were suspended. Maybe if you could just talk a little bit more about how reviewing that past record influenced or affected how you weighed what to do in this particular case, and I guess including specifically why, notwithstanding those prior violations, you did exercise your discretion to reduce the mathematical fine of \$1100 down to \$550.

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Yes. As the record demonstrates, Ms. Henderson was a first-time filer with the Fair Elections Program. She, however, has been involved as a candidate over the years for a vast period of time between 2006 and 2022. As a result, we can infer that Ms. Henderson has the basic wherewithal and knowledge to obtain information about filing requirements.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

In addition, Ms. Henderson did attend the mandatory entrance conference when she registered for the program with the Office of Campaign Finance. During that entrance conference, she is told and was advised of the mandatory filing dates. So she has this knowledge.

Ms. Henderson and her experience make her responsible for knowing what her obligations are for filing. It is a courtesy that the OCF extends when they issue reminder notices, which we did issue in this matter. Notwithstanding the fact that Ms. Henderson may have claimed not to have received them, they were extended.

Ms. Henderson is no stranger to the system. Ms. Henderson is fully versed in how to contact the Office of Campaign Finance, as demonstrated from her multiple emails provided, and she has become someone that is proficient in running a campaign. So we weighed that, I weighed that factor, and, realizing that this was her first-time registration with the Fair

Elections Program, I decided to exercise discretion or make a recommendation to the director to exercise discretion to not impose the full fine.

The past violations are in the traditional program. I do understand that, so, because she was a first-time filer in the Fair Elections Program, I thought it was plausible to exercise discretion and give her some downward accommodation and reduce the fine.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you so much for that. Also, can you comment on your experience with other participants in the Fair Elections Program? This is our second cycle with it, really our first really robust cycle. I forget how many people, how many candidates have participated, but I know it's dozens. What is your office's experience been with other candidates in terms of their timeliness in filing reports?

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Well, I can only offer this to you: I was previously with the

Office of Campaign Finance as a policy analyst last year in the inception of the Fair Elections Program. I transferred over as an attorney advisor last year, so I cannot tell you from the perspective of being an attorney for the Office of Campaign Finance what actions or activities have taken place with respect to my formula or my approach in exercising discretion.

But I can tell you, at the inception of the Fair Elections Program, it is a program you can appreciate and the public can appreciate that has scrutiny, that must account for transparency, and has a duty, a very high duty and standard to the public. Therefore, we are very, very, very careful in reviewing all of the filings.

In addition, there have been over 65 participants as of the first election cycle; and this election cycle, I believe, give or take, there are approximately 55 participants. So the participants are monitored closely, the reports are monitored closely. Mr. Williams refers any

candidate that is delinquent to the Office of Campaign Finance, Office of General Counsel, and, from there a hearing is scheduled. I am very open to listen to any mitigating circumstances or factors raised by the candidates. And as the statute grants, there is room for discretionary application of the fine and, in this situation, that is what has been done.

Again, the statute allows for discretion and discretion calls for the implementation of a fine on a case-by-case basis. And those factors include anywhere from what the excuse was, how improvement may have been affected by the candidate in running their campaign. There's a whole host of factors that go into the exercise of discretion on an individual case-by-case basis, and all of those factors were taken into consideration by me after conferencing with my general counsel and the director in this matter.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes, just two follow-ups, and maybe Mr. Sanford can comment in

a moment. With 55 participants, have you seen, you know, 50 people with similar issues of filing reports late relative to the March 10th date or, you know, more like four or five? In other words, has this been a substantial compliance issue by participants, or has there only been a handful of cases, perhaps only this one for all I know?

MR. SANFORD: Would you like for me to respond to that, Mr. Chair?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Oh, sorry. I froze for a second. Hopefully, you heard my whole question. Yes, go ahead, Mr. Sanford.

MR. SANFORD: Yes. As a general rule, the compliance in the Fair Elections Program is higher than the traditional program because there's a much higher level of scrutiny because public funds are being dispersed. So the noncompliance rate in the Fair Elections Program is relatively low compared to the traditional program.

So in the case of, I mean, for

instance, Ms. Henderson's case, she would be the exception, not the rule. The majority of candidates registered in the Fair Elections

Program do comply with the filing requirements.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Yes, that's kind of what I was getting at, has this been an issue for other participants in the program, and it sounds like, in the majority of cases, it's not been an issue.

But more specifically, have you been made aware of widespread issues with logging in to the OCF system for purposes of the filing report? Has that been a substantially reported issue?

MR. SANFORD: Occasionally, there are contacts, inquiries submitted to the division manager of the Fair Elections Program, Eric Jackson, and the employees who are assigned to that division always are prepared to provide assistance with regard to logging in. But here again, the number of inquiries that we receive is relatively low. And in this particular case,

that was never raised during the period that the filing was due.

I'd also add, Mr. MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Thompson, if I might, in making this inquiry with Mr. Williams who is in charge of recordkeeping and to whom all inquiries are directed with respect to log-in problems, once the complaint is made or an email is sent to Mr. Williams, he is completely responsive in an extremely short He then resets the response period of time. He enters a password, and the problem is remedied within a very, very short period of time. And in this situation, there's no record during the whole month of March where any communication was had between Ms. Henderson and Mr. Williams with respect to any requests for purposes of logging in or resetting the system.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Next, maybe this is a question more for Mr. Sanford, but I want to make sure the record is confirmed. You made reference to Wesley Williams' statement as being part of the record, along with the second

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

amended order, first amended order, original order. Maybe it would be helpful, Mr. Sanford, if you could review what is in the record already just in case there's any objections by Ms. Henderson.

MR. SANFORD: When you say review what's in the record, I believe Ms. Perry went through the entire record at the outset. But if you'd like, I mean, we do have a --

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Well, let me -maybe I can shortcut it. I assume the second
amended order is in the record.

MR. SANFORD: It is.

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. And that makes reference to everything, I believe, that Ms. Perry reviewed and, you know, the various notices and responses and amendments to the original order, et cetera, including the statement of Mr. Williams, among other things. I just want to make sure we understand exactly what is in the record since this is a de novo hearing.

MR. SANFORD: And Ms. Henderson's motions for reconsideration, the Office of Campaign Finance's responses to those motions, and the final entrance, I'm sorry, the final prehearing conference notes should also be included in the record.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Is there anything else you would like to introduce into the record at this point?

MR. SANFORD: Ms. Perry has indicated that Mr. Wesley Williams is available to provide testimony under oath if, you know, if the Board is so inclined to hear from him or, otherwise, we just submit his affirmation statement.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes, I mean,
personally, I'm fine with his affirmation
statement. It's attached to the second amended
order. I mean, it's really up to you all. If
you want to call him to supplement the record.
But if he's just going to repeat the paragraphs
that are in that affirmation statement, then it's
really your --

MR. SANFORD: That would be the extent 1 2 of his testimony. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 3 Okay. Then we don't need him. 4 5 MR. SANFORD: All right. Thank you. Okay, all right. 6 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 7 So with that, is there anything else from the OCF 8 before I ask Ms. Henderson a few process 9 questions and then we hear from her in full? 10 MR. SANFORD: Not at this point. 11 MS. KITTLES-PERRY: And not from me, 12 as well. 13 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank 14 you so much. 15 Ms. Henderson, before you make your 16 statement, I want to make sure that you're 17 comfortable or if perhaps you have objections to 18 what's been entered into the record. I don't 19 believe you're represented by counsel today. Ι 20 apologize. Maybe you are a lawyer; I can't 21 recall. But you are entitled to object to any of 22 this being entered into the record. You are

entitled to object specifically to the entry into the record of the affirmation statement of Wesley Williams. If you'd like, we can call him to testify so we have an opportunity to cross-examine him. Also, if you'd like, you can cross-examine Ms. Perry who has testified as a fact witness under oath.

You, yourself, might be subject to cross-examination, as well, when it's your turn to testify. I'll just tell you my preferences would be just to proceed with your statement and anything you would like to introduce, but we can certainly pause to allow you to state objections to evidence being entered into the record. can pause to allow you to object to the statement of Mr. Williams and ask him to appear so that you can cross-examine him, and we can certainly pause for you to cross-examine Ms. Perry. It's really, procedurally, I would like to give you that choice how you would like to proceed at this point. Hopefully, that makes sense, and you can certainly ask me a question to clarify.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

MS. HENDERSON: Thank you, Chairman Thompson. And also let me share my concerns with you regarding helping your sister. Thankfully, our president has committed all of the resources, the Federal Emergency Management Agency, to help all of the affected Floridians during Hurricane Ian.

So with that, I wish everyone a good morning. I am Kathy Henderson, a candidate for the Ward 5 council seat. I am a 20-year effective, award-winning advisory neighborhood commissioner, and I'm the only elected representative in this city that has won multiple awards for serving the public well.

I appreciate the framework of this process, but I am very, very disappointed by the tone and tenor of this gathering today. And I certainly have lots of objections. I object to Ms. Perry's characterization. She took bits and pieces from each of the motions and my willingness to participate in this process, you know, as it's outlined. I mean, this is really

an unfair, horribly unfair process.

At no time have I ever refused to comply with any OCF directive. And for the Office of Campaign Finance to lead with a fine for a campaign that never qualified for any public funding is an abuse of authority. And I object to Mr. Williams' statement. Mr. Williams need to be part of this hearing. Mr. Williams need to be available to answer questions. And if he doesn't want to answer questions, then certainly I would like to be advised of my rights pending the outcome, the unfavorable outcome of this hearing if that's the case.

Why are we here? Because they're -well, let me just go back first. I did not
receive any reminder notices to file. I had
never, ever failed to file a report or comply
with any OCF directive. Ms. Perry indicated that
she wasn't aware of any log-in issues, I never
raised it during the September 28th pre-hearing
conference. That simply isn't true. And I asked
her and I'll ask her again today, when did I file

the April 10th report? I filed it on April 12th.

Why? Because in an effort to -- and I didn't

even know about the March 10th filing. Yes, I

did take the training, as all the candidates do.

Did I memorize the filing calendar? No, I did

not. I did not memorize the filing calendar.

And if the argument is that, well, we don't have to send out reminder notices, well, if you feel you don't have to send out reminder notices then don't send out reminder notices.

Change the statute so that candidates are completely responsible for adhering to the entrance training schedule and governing themselves accordingly in filing. But the fact that you do send out a reminder notice, and candidates like myself and others do rely on those notices as a reminder to file, that's excellent.

And I'm really taken aback as to why it's even relevant about other participants in the Fair Elections campaign process and what their experience is. It's not relevant. If I

didn't receive the notice, I didn't receive the notice. And I actually sent Ms. Perry and Ms. Pembroke numerous emails, numerous emails, outlining difficulty with log-in issues. then there was an effort to try to paint me as someone who doesn't know how to use a computer. Ms. Pembroke asked me, well, do you want me to help you log-in to your email? I mean, that's really just insulting, it's offensive. I'm not computer illiterate. I host Zoom meetings, I participate in Zoom meetings, I had legitimate technical difficulties, but the Office of Campaign Finance wants to then say, well, you're an experienced candidate. I'm experienced in running for office. That does not make, that does not, that in no way alleviates the real issue here, which is I had log-in issues and it's documented that I had log-in issues. But, no, you want to make a test case of me, and, if you want to make a test case of me and use this as some type of political standing, political issue to sort of undermine my record, my stellar record

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

if I may -- I've won multiple awards, including from the U.S. Attorney's Office, I've been in People magazine, Essence magazine. Why? Because I take government service very seriously.

So why would someone of my standing say, you know what, I'm just not going to file. I don't care what you say, it's absurd, it's absolutely absurd. I have never, ever taken any issue with the Office of Campaign Finance's legitimate request that I file like everyone else. I simply had log-in issues. The only reason we're here today is because I would not agree to a fine. Why is the Office of Campaign Finance leading with fines to say that they are upholding the public trust? You're not upholding the public trust by fining candidates, particularly a candidate such as myself who didn't even, I never even raised enough money to

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: You can go ahead.

MS. HENDERSON: Bear with me. Okay.

I never even raised enough money to qualify for

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

one dollar of public financing. Instead of looking at the legitimate log-in issues that I raised multiple times over the years, and I'll certainly make those emails, which I've saved, available to the Chairman and the members of the Board to demonstrate that there's a problem.

Instead of making an effort to ensure that no candidate has any log-in issues, why would my password need to be reset multiple times? I don't control that. I don't control issuing the password. I simply control using what is provided to me and logging in.

Now, I think there is an issue because I waited to file maybe within the last hour or so before the deadlines, and I've encountered difficulties. But to say that, I mean, this whole proceeding is just very, very disturbing.

But the real issue here today, the real issue here today is that there is a recall case pending before the Court of Appeals, in which the person who currently holds the seat who has been accused of multiple, multiple violations

of the law. Her response is I've had multiple campaign finance late filings over the years and the Office of Campaign Finance has let me gotten away with it. That's absolutely, that case is going to be heard on November 15th. That is why we are here today. The Office of Campaign Finance is digging in their heels. They've made an argument that is not even, it's not even completely logical. But you're determined. You are determined to bring me down, so to speak.

And then to say, well, Ms. Henderson has run multiple times, therefore, she's an expert at this, that's just ridiculous and it's not relevant. If I had log-in issues because I didn't -- well, actually, it was log-in issues regarding the April 10th report. I actually filed the April 10th and the March 10th report on the same day. I didn't even know about the March 10th. Very simple. There was no need to concoct this entire proceeding and waste the government's time, the taxpayers' time with going forward with this.

You want to fine me. I'm not going to agree to a fine. I would respectfully request that this Board waive any fines. You can admonish me, but, going forward from this process, I don't even rely on any reminder notices. I tried to file the August 10th report early. You can't file the reports early. You have to file them on the date.

So this process is very offensive. It does not uphold the public trust. I will be requesting any and all records associated with this matter. And if the Board wants to continue and support the flawed reasoning on the Office of Campaign Finance, which I'm very disappointed by, I'm going to appeal the matter and exercise my rights to the fullest extent of the law.

And finally, finally, I requested that Mr. Wesley Williams be here today and Council Member McDuffie be here today to talk about their relationship, and how this whole matter arises from their conversations. I don't have privy to those conversations yet but I can assure you if

you continue with this matter and you continue to want to subject me to this public lynching of sorts, then everything will come out. Everything will come out, and I've already included the Office of the Inspector General.

Just as Clifford Tatum tried to keep
me from picking up my petitions on January 10th
to file for the office of the Ward 5 council
member and now he's gone, just as Karen Brooks
has committed numerous malfeasance issues
regarding her term as the registrar of the office
of the Board of Elections and now she's gone, oh,
it will all come out.

And with that, I respectfully, I rest, and thank you all for your time.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Thank you so much, Ms. Henderson. I have a couple of questions, but I also do want to -- first, I just want to make sure is Mr. Williams with us today? Could he testify?

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm here.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay, all right.

Well, we'll turn to Mr. Williams in just a moment.

Ms. Henderson, thank you. Just a couple of quick questions, if you'll permit. I realize you registered to become a candidate for Ward 5 on February 7th, 2022, and then I believe you attended the OCF training -- what's that called -- the entrance conference on March 3rd, 2022. Do you recall attending that, I think it's called a virtual entrance conference, presented by the OCF on March 3rd?

MS. HENDERSON: Did attend.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: You did attend.

Okay. And according to the OCF, specifically Mr.

Williams' testimony, during that conference, the

candidates were informed about the mandatory

filing dates for the reports of receipts of

expenditures. There was no written notice

thereafter to remind you, but that's something

that was covered in the March 3 conference. Do

you disagree or do you --

MS. HENDERSON: The overall process

for the Fair Elections campaign was covered in that training, but all of the questions surrounding their additional questions is not on the website and it wasn't in that training. And, yes, there are a series of little timed modules that you have to read through and click that you've read it and move on.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

MS. HENDERSON: So, yes, I did participate in it and I signed off. Yes, I did.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. And on March 10th, 2022, the date that the report of receipts and expenditures was required, did you have it completely filled out, you know, like as a PDF on your desktop ready to upload? Was it completely filled out and ready to go on March 10th?

MS. HENDERSON: I'm sorry. I don't understand your question. Can you repeat the question, please?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes. On March 10th, according to the OCF, your committee was

required to file a report of receipts and expenditures. Did you have that report filled out and completed and ready to upload on March 10th?

MS. HENDERSON: I had the form. I wasn't aware of the March 10th filing. Yes, I did complete the training. I did not commit all of the dates, which are the 10th of each month -- I know that now -- I did not commit those to memory. And had I received a reminder notice, like I received subsequent reminder notices, I would have certainly filed a report timely.

I reached out to Mr. Williams and I did receive a contribution form of how to report the expenditures, and it's sort of a cumbersome process because you have to upload the donor data separately and then upload each document separately.

So, no, I didn't have that ready on March 10th because I didn't know about the March 10th report. Now, subsequent reports have been filed correctly, and they are filed in accordance

with the Office of Campaign Finance.

And I'm really -- and Mr. Williams, you know, it wasn't an effort to throw him under the bus regarding what he said to me. I didn't have any expenditures for March 10th, and I mistakenly thought and Mr. Williams said to me, well, anything that's not captured on the March 10th report, well, anything that's not captured on the previous report can be captured on a subsequent report. So I was under the impression that I didn't have to file a March 10th report. I didn't receive any reminders. I didn't have any expenditures. Having gone back through my records, I did find one \$20 donation that fell within the window of March 10th, and I subsequently amended a report to reflect that.

So, again, at no time did I ever refuse to comply with an OCF directive. You know, this is simply, it's a couple of issues, and I've already outlined that. But I did not receive the notice. Had I received the reminder notice, I would have certainly have just filed

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

the report. I mean, who wants to go through all of this?

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Before I turn to Mr. Williams, Board Member Mike Gill, do you have any questions for Ms. Henderson?

MEMBER GILL: Well, I just want to make sure I have -- let me try to summarize, Ms. Henderson. And thank you for being here.

Your request is a waiver from the fine? I mean, what's the request here? That you would have filed on time were it not for the portal, or you would have filed on time had you been given notice, and because of those two issues, you didn't file on time?

MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I did not receive

-- again, I filed the April 10th report on April

12th, and I clearly had a log-in issue, which I

sent to, Ms. Kittles-Perry has a copy of that,

Ms. Pembroke has a copy of that, and I can

certainly make everything that I've provided to

the Office of Campaign Finance available to you.

I had technical difficulties, so I couldn't even

-- I received the notice to the file the April
10th report but I couldn't even comply because of
log-in issues.

Now, to say -- again, it is not relevant how many other people had this issue or didn't have this issue. I had this issue. Now, why am I having log-in issues? No, it's not because I'm technologically deficient. I am not.

Again, in the past, I strenuously attempted to appear before you in person this morning because I didn't have any reasonable belief or faith that I wouldn't be interrupted during my testimony, as I've been before due to technical issues. And I went back and forth with Ms. Pembroke, you know, to let her know that the technical issues, the host muted me and stopped my camera. I know how to use Zoom. I know how to file a report. I know how to use my computer. I don't have a technical deficiency.

As soon as I was made aware that I needed to file that report, oh, yes, I got right on it and I did file the report. And when I

attempted to timely file the April 10th report, I could not because the log-in credentials that I was provided didn't work. I didn't assign myself the log-in credentials, and there's no reason why the log-in credentials that I was provided should not have been recognized by my device. But here we are.

emails over the years that demonstrate that there's a log-in issue or there's some type of technical difficulty. And then the Office of Campaign Finance, they give you this little survey at the end of your filing, how was your experience. Only recently has the experience, and I shared that with Mr. Williams, it's not effective. You know, it needs to work better. And instead of wasting, well, I shouldn't say waste because this is part of the government process, and, again, I thank each and every one of you for your service in this framework, even though I am adversely affected by this matter.

We need to be looking at ways to

improve our technological proficiency, you know.

The District has what? A \$19.6 billion budget.

So maybe we need to give Office of Campaign

Finance more money so that we can get a system

that works every time for every filer. I can

only tell you it didn't work for me.

Now, I can tell you why I believe it didn't work for me, and I believe that there's some underhanded backroom dealing here in this matter. But that, you know, that will come out, if this matter goes forward. I'm going to -- I stand, I stand before you as an honorable person with integrity. I'm always happy to comply with any government directive, and I will do so.

So, Ms. Perry, yes, I did say
previously that I'm overwhelmed by, well, not
overwhelmed, but I didn't have a treasurer
because I didn't want to, there's only one person
that I wanted to be a treasurer, someone that I
hold in high regard that I knew would not steal
from the campaign or do anything that I'd have to
worry about that would end up in, you know, any

publication as a smear on the campaign. That person did not want to serve as my treasurer, and I'm very particular. So I had to do it myself, and, in doing it myself, you know, it's my responsibility to keep up with all the deadlines and so forth. And, yes, I take responsibility for that, but I just didn't receive the notice. That's why we're here.

So don't take this and use this as an opportunity to say, hey, we finally got her, we finally got something on Commissioner Kathy Henderson. No, you don't. No, you don't. You've opened up a can of worms. And now that we've opened that door, let's see everything that comes out.

I just wanted to share with you. I'm a layperson, I am not an attorney, but I do stand on righteousness. I respect the law. I have never, at any time, failed, I've never defied filing any OCF required documents. And to say otherwise is simply not true. It's simply not

true. So this is a matter where I didn't receive the notice. Now, maybe you should talk about why I didn't receive the notice and work to make sure that, again, every candidate receives the notices because clearly there's a problem, and it's not solely on my end.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Ms. Henderson, just to clarify, I think between my questions and Mr. Gill's questions, I think it seems clear to me that -- I just want to separate the notice issue from the log-in issue. It sounds to me like you're saying you did not receive notice that a report was due to on March 10th, and so you didn't file one. And then later, when you went to file your April 10th report, you had some log-in issues such that, by April 12th, you were able to upload that report, which subsumed and cured the delinquent March 10th report on its own. Have I stated that correctly?

MS. HENDERSON: Well, the March 10th report was still delinquent; but on April 12th, after the log-in issues were resolved, I was able

to successfully file the March 10th report and the April 10th report, which I did.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: And when did you have that first log-in issue? Was it April 10th?

MS. HENDERSON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Like, when you went to file the -- April 10th.

MS. HENDERSON: Yes, yes. And I sent Mr. Williams, because I filed close to, it was close, it was after 11 p.m., I believe, so I sent Mr. Williams some emails and I think some screenshots indicating that I couldn't file it, or I'll have to go back and look to see exactly what I sent him. But I wanted to make it clear that I could not file the April 10th report, and it was on the weekend. And I believe that -- let me just look at my calendar, if I will. believe April 12th was a weekday. No, actually, April 12th was a Tuesday, so I'm not sure, I'm not sure why there was no response until then. But I was able to successfully overcome the login challenges and file both reports, which I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. I think it
2	makes sense at this point to hear from Mr.
3	Williams. And thank you for focusing on these
4	particulars because I think we do have a few
5	questions for Mr. Williams.
6	So I'm going to shift over and ask Mr.
7	Williams to, you know, state his name for the
8	record and confirm that he's under oath.
9	MR. WILLIAMS: My name is Wesley
10	Williams. I'm the public affairs manager for the
11	D.C. Office of Campaign Finance.
12	MS. STROUD: And, Mr. Williams, I'm
13	going to ask you to state that you will swear or
14	affirm that you will tell the truth, the whole
15	truth, and nothing but the truth, in connection
16	with the testimony that you are about to give in
17	this matter.
18	MR. WILLIAMS: I will.
19	MS. STROUD: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Yes,
21	thank you, Mr. Williams. We've read your
22	affirmation statement. That's Exhibit A, it's

the second amended order. It looks like it's dated July 22nd. Indeed, you affirmed that the statement is true to the best of your knowledge and belief. So I don't know if you need to read it into the record, but, if you could, if you could go through the chronology as you experienced it and, in particular, I'd like you to pause on March 3rd, 2022. It's paragraph three and four of your statement. Specifically, in paragraph four you state that, during that virtual entrance conference, Ms. Henderson, I suppose like other candidates, received notice of the mandatory filing dates of the report that was due on March 10th. If you could speak to that in particular, please go ahead.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes. During the entrance conference, there are some slides presented that present the filing dates for the candidates, of which March 10th is a filing date. Also, if I can move on, just in terms of notifications, we sent out notifications via email. I think it's stated in the record the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

date that was sent out on February 17th and 1 2 additionally on March 8th to Ms. Henderson. Also, in regards to log-in information 3 4 5 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Can I just pause right there? 6 7 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. 8 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: That's kind of 9 important. You're saying that on February 17th and March 8th Ms. Henderson received emails that 10 11 stated the requirement for filing the report by 12 March 10th? 13 MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct. 14 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Yes, 15 go ahead. 16 MR. WILLIAMS: I was just going to 17 speak to the log-in issues. First, with the Fair 18 Elections Program, candidates, when we register 19 them in the system, they receive an auto-20 generated email from the office providing them 21 with a link to create their own log-in 22 information, including user ID, password, and PIN

number. The log-in information is not pregenerated by the OCF e-filing system for Fair Elections candidates. They create their own log-in information. If they need a reset of the password or PIN number, then we send out another email providing them with a link to regenerate that themselves.

Ms. Henderson sent me an email, I believe, on April 11th saying that she was having some log-in issues in regards to her password, and I had the system send out an email for her to regenerate her password. And I received an email back from her, I believe, that said thank you and no further correspondence in regards to password issues were received by me in regards to that report.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. I think your testimony is clear. I take your verbal testimony today as supplementing what you've already submitted in writing in your affirmation statement. Mike Gill, do you have any questions for Mr. Williams?

1	MEMBER GILL: No, no questions.
2	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. Ms.
3	Henderson, you are free to ask Mr. Williams
4	questions. We call it cross-examination, but,
5	basically, you can just ask him a question. Try
6	to keep it short. You know, not like a long
7	statement or a rhetorical point or a rhetorical
8	argument, just, you know, an actual factual
9	question or any questions you have for Mr.
10	Williams. Go ahead.
11	Ms. HENDERSON: Thank you. Good
12	morning, Mr. Williams.
13	MR. WILLIAMS: Morning.
14	MS. HENDERSON: So we've been
15	communicating for many years; is that correct?
16	MR. WILLIAMS: That's correct.
17	MS. HENDERSON: And how many times
18	would you say that I've emailed you regarding
19	log-in issues? Now, I understand that, in the
20	Fair Elections campaign, you indicated that I had
21	to create my own credentials, but let's talk
22	about all the times when your office generates

the log-in credentials and how has that process been for me?

MR. WILLIAMS: I can't say that I can specifically recall. I don't recall it being a major issue with you or anything like that. And if you've had some issues with log-in, I have responded quickly and provided you with an updated password. I've never had continual issues with you in regards to filing reports with our system in terms of having log-in issues, not to my knowledge.

MS. HENDERSON: So you don't recall from, let's say, 2013 to present, me emailing you with log-in issues?

MR. WILLIAMS: I'm not saying that you didn't, but I'm not saying that it was excessive or anything like that. It may have been a onetime thing. You have a number of committees, Ms. Henderson, a number of committees, so sometimes you get confused as to which committee you're filing for, and we've had that --

MS. HENDERSON: I wasn't confused in

1	this regard, and I see that you threw that in
2	there, you know, to make it clear that I have
3	some confusion.
4	So are you always available to assist?
5	Have you ever apologized to me for not getting
6	back to me promptly?
7	MR. WILLIAMS: I may have, yes, but
8	it's never, I may not have responded within the
9	24-hour period, but I have responded to you.
10	MS. HENDERSON: So what's your overall
11	impression of me, Mr. Williams?
12	MR. WILLIAMS: You're a candidate that
13	has run for a number of offices.
14	MS. HENDERSON: And how do you feel
15	about me? Do you like me? Do you think I'm
16	competent, incompetent?
17	MR. WILLIAMS: I don't have an opinion
18	one way or the other.
19	MS. HENDERSON: That's the end of my
20	questions. Thank you, Mr. Williams.
21	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank
22	you, Ms. Henderson. I may have asked you before,

but do you have any questions for Ms. Perry, who also testified?

I don't have any MS. HENDERSON: questions for Ms. Perry, but I do have objections to many of her statements. And certainly, if there's an opportunity following the hearing, I will outline those objections in detail because Ms. Perry just sort of jumbled all of her assertions in one platform, and she misspoke. Ι never told her, you know, that I was feeling overwhelmed and that's why I didn't file the March 10th report. And then she said I never raised any issues. She continued to raise the same issue that I never talked about any log-in issue, and I had to, again, refer her back to my previous response to her order or to the Office of Campaign Finance order fining me, and I made it clear that I had log-in issues and I had to ask her that when did I file the March 10th and the April 10th report. I filed them both on April 12th, after the log-in issues.

And I don't know if Ms. Perry or Ms.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

Pembroke have actually provided all of those emails that I've sent, that I have provided her on actually the same day of the pre-hearing conference. But I can certainly make those available to the Board.

But, you know, this is not simply a matter of me filing late. This is a larger issue, and the larger issue is that Kenyan McDuffie is attempting to make an argument to undermine my candidacy, as you know, through his surrogates. And, we don't know or we won't know yet the extent of that surrogate network until the process plays out. So if you want to continue this matter, I'm assuming that I have the right to appeal it. I'm going to do that and I'm going to request, make FOIA requests. sure they will be denied and I'm going to exercise all of my rights to the fullest extent of the law.

But at the end of the day, this is simply a matter of a new program. I did not file that first report because I didn't receive the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

And for Ms. Perry to say that it's not notice. relevant about the courtesy notice, I think it is relevant. If it wasn't relevant, you wouldn't be sending out the courtesy notices. The goal is to help candidates file with those reminder notices. Had I received those notices, I certainly would have filed. I didn't receive it, I didn't file it on the date. But as soon as I was made aware, I moved very quickly to comply with all OCF directives because, again, I appreciate the framework of the Office of Campaign Finance exists to protect the public. The public wasn't harmed in this matter. I'm harmed, but the public wasn't harmed. I didn't receive one dollar of public financing. And if you want to throw the book at me for filing the first report late, then I stand here for any unjust punishment, you know, that you would choose to hurl at me.

But if you decide to be reasonable and to look at this matter for what it is, as I didn't receive the notice, I don't have a history

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

of failing to comply with OCF directives, you can simply say that that first report in a new program with new requirements and new dates is something, you know, you can chalk it up to excusable neglect. I know that's an attorney term, but I'm not an attorney. I'm simply someone who has offered myself for office because I believe that I have a lot to offer the District of Columbia. I've already offered a tremendous amount of work, dedication, and time, and I've actually changed the history of the District of Columbia and I'm not saying that, you know, because I have a grandiose sense of self. simply talking about the body of work. So, if this an opportunity to say we got her, we got her, go for it. And with that, I rest.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Before you rest in a formal sense, do you have a witness to present today? I just want to make sure for the record that you have that opportunity.

MS. HENDERSON: No, he's not here.

Kenyan McDuffie is not here. That's my witness.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.

MS. HENDERSON: I would have loved to have -- I requested that he be sent whatever notice to appear at this hearing. Ms. Pembroke went back and forth, you know, and she ultimately said no. So he's not, but I'd like to ask him a series of questions on all of the misdeeds he has done that are now coming to light. I'd like to ask him those questions, but he's not here.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. And just in terms of the record, the record includes, you know, everything submitted through the OCF and as referenced in the second amended order and from the pre-hearing conference. I believe you mentioned a series of emails. They may already be in the record. I apologize. Maybe someone else can answer this if they're in the record. But if they're not in the record and you'd like to make sure they are, so we take the time to read them, I just want to cover that base here before we conclude.

MS. HENDERSON: Oh, no apologies

1	necessary. If you don't have them, I'm happy to
2	forward them to you. I provided Ms. Pembroke and
3	Ms. Kittles-Perry numerous emails over the years
4	since they raised the issue of me being, you
5	know, this expert on campaign finance rules
6	because I've run before, I've run several times
7	before. But I'll make them available to the
8	Board because that argument
9	MS. STROUD: I'm sorry for the
10	interruption, Ms. Henderson. I'm sorry to
11	interrupt. Mr. Chair, we do have the emails that
12	Ms. Henderson is referring to. They were sent to
13	Ms. Pembroke, and we do have them and they can be
14	included in the record.
15	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. I would ask
16	that they be included in the record and ask if
17	OCF has any objections.
18	MS. KITTLES-PERRY: No objections on
19	my behalf.
20	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay.
21	MR. SANFORD: I have no objections.
22	CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank

you so much. So, Ms. Henderson, the emails will be in the record. Anything else that you wanted to present today before we conclude with your hearing? We certainly have heard all your points and, you know, comments. You don't need to repeat, but I just want to make sure you got a chance to say anything else you'd like to say.

Thank you so much. MS. HENDERSON: Ι appreciate that. Just very briefly, I would simply respectfully request that you dismiss this matter in its entirety and waive any and all penalties. I don't think the Office of Campaign Finance should be leading with fining not only myself but any candidate. This is a new program. This was the first filing. And I don't think it says that you are doing your due diligence to protect the public. I think it says that you are trying to present an image that you're tough and you're foreclosing on the possibility of other issues that certainly mitigate someone filing timely.

Now, there are people, presumably,

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

that scoff at Office of Campaign Finance. There
are people that, you know, steal public funds.
I'm not one of them. I have no interest in
misappropriating any public funds or doing
anything that's going to diminish the public's
faith, not only in me but in the government as a
whole. So I don't think the Office of Campaign
Finance should be leading with fining someone who
has not raised one, has not raised enough money
to qualify for one dollar of public financing.
It's abusive. The process is abusive, and I
think we need to look at ways to make Office of
Campaign Finance better, stronger, more
responsive, to make sure that no candidate can
ever come before you again to talk about log-in
issues. You know, this is a new millennium. We
should have a system that works all of the time
for every candidate, and we should even, we
shouldn't even have an issue where you have
difficulty filing. I shared with Ms. Pembroke
you cannot file your reports, you know, you can
only file it on a computer. You can't file it on

any other device.

And the Office of Campaign Finance should also consider having an opportunity for candidates to come into the office, have a public computer so you can upload your reports timely, maybe have some assistance if you need assistance. The public is not served when you take people that want to do the job of serving the public and you drag them through a public process and you create a permanent record that is going to be used for political purposes, you know, to diminish them. That doesn't serve the public's best interest. You know, let's do this right, let's get this right.

And I thank you. I'm not happy about this, but I thank you for your respective roles, and God bless each and every one of you.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Thank you. Let me turn to the OCF. Any rebuttal or final comments from Mr. Sanford or Ms. Perry?

MS. KITTLES-PERRY: Yes, I would like to address a few issues with respect to a

rebuttal in this matter. I want to say that login issues in this case were not raised until the
motion for reconsideration on May 23rd and again
on June the 10th, as well as September 28th.

Prior to that, log-in issues were not addressed.

The attempt to bring something new was not
considered because it was not raised at the
initial hearing.

Reminder notices were sent. They were not returned as undeliverable, so there's a presumption that she did receive them. However, Ms. Henderson claims she did not. But, again, as the Board knows, this is a courtesy and that was sent to her and provided to her.

Ms. Henderson's argument is

disingenuous and lacks credibility for this whole
issue. I'd like to draw attention, on March

30th, a notice of hearing and statement was sent
to her concerning that they needed to file the

March 10th report. At no time prior to

attempting to file the report on April the 12th
did she contact Mr. Williams or myself concerning

any failure to be able to log in. So apparently the log-in problems started when she attempted some time in April. But between March 30th and April, there is no communication.

Finally, the statute is clear concerning the prescribed filing requirements. They are fixed. The penalty is fixed. The penalty that was issued in this case was reduced as a courtesy to Ms. Henderson based upon the exercise of discretion by the director. And I submit to the Board that filings and the testimony presented here today imposing that reduced fine based upon that exercise of discretion permissible by statute is neither excessive, punitive, arbitrary, capricious, and, as such, should be enforced and upheld by this Board.

And I thank you for your time this morning. That concludes my rebuttal.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank you, Ms. Perry. Mr. Sanford, anything from you?

MR. SANFORD: Just a short statement,

Mr. Chairman. I think it's important to state that whether or not Ms. Henderson qualified for the Fair Elections Program or not is irrelevant. She has repeatedly stated that she did not qualify for the program. That is not the standard regarding mandatory compliance with the filing dates. It's not based upon whether Ms. Henderson qualified and received public funds.

I would also like to say that filing the March 10th report on April 12th with the April 10th report did not remove the fact that the March 10th report was filed, was delinquent. It was due on March 10th. It was not due on April 10th or April 12th. So it does not absolve her of that filing requirement.

And with regard to her comment regarding the agency, the Office of Campaign Finance for many years has had a public computer in our reception area with which members of the staff are more than happy to assist anyone who comes to the agency and seeks assistance. That has been a longstanding courtesy that the agency

has offered to members of the public and those 1 2 who are engaged in our system. And the last reminder I'd like to make 3 4 is that Ms. Henderson seems to think that someone 5 is out to get her. Well, I can assure that Ms. 6 Henderson that no one at the Office of Campaign 7 Finance is out to get her. We've had cordial 8 relations over the years, and we expect to 9 continue those relationships. 10 And that concludes my statement. 11 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. I think --12 MS. HENDERSON: Mr. Chairman, may I 13 say one brief statement? 14 Yes, I guess CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: 15 that's what we call surrebuttal after the 16 rebuttal has been completed. 17 MS. HENDERSON: Okay. Thank you very 18 much. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Why don't you try 19 20 to hold it to just two minutes, if you can, of surrebuttal because I don't think we heard 21 22 anything new. But go ahead.

MS. HENDERSON: Absolutely. Thank
you. First, I will say that, over the years,
I've had nothing but cordial relations with Mr.
Sanford, so this isn't personal. I disagree, I
do think that there's an effort; and I think, as
we uncover another series of emails and
communications, we'll find out exactly who those
parties are. But I have had a respectful
relationship with you, and I certainly would like
to go forward with continuing to have a
respectful relationship with you.

I do agree that, and I already said we agree on one point that the March 10th report, yes, I filed the March 10th report and the April 10th report on the same day. That did not, that still made the March 10th report late. I didn't receive a notice for the March 10th report. It's that simple. So why create, you know, a malstrom over a missed email and the presumption that you received, that the recipient receives an email because it didn't bounce back, you know, that's not technologically, that doesn't comport with

technology. And, certainly, you know, I would love the opportunity to add some testimony from someone who is a technology expert. I'm not an technology expert. I am technologically proficient in managing the work that I need to do to comport my life's business.

But a technology expert would not tell you that, simply because an email wasn't returned, that the recipient received it. I didn't receive it.

any, I take some issues with you because you also sent me some emails from your personal email address, and then you tried to create some type of drama indicating that you wanted me to stop sending you communications to your personal email address. I just responded to all of the email addresses you sent. I wasn't, you know, and you tried to turn it into something else. And I understand that that's what attorneys do, so it's okay. You get a point for that one.

But I do think there's an effort to be

out to get me. Now, is it Mr. Sanford? Perhaps not. We've had cordial relationships. I'd like to have cordial relationships with each and every one of you, and I'd certainly like to not be found outside of any OCF law or administrative issuance. But here we are, and there's a problem here, but the problem on its face isn't all that is presented and there is some underlying malfeasance here, and I do have a knack for uncovering it, so here we go.

So, again, I respectfully request that you waive the fine in this issue, as you have the power to do. If you choose not to, for whatever reasons, please know that I am going to go forward to strenuously assert my rights.

And with that, I do rest and I will not request any opportunity for a surrebuttal or anything else. So thank you so much.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Yes, thank you. I think what we'll do is this is we will proceed with the rest of our regularly-scheduled meeting. At the conclusion, I will

move that we go into executive session specifically to discuss this particular matter that we just heard involving Ms. Henderson.

And I want too leave the record open for, I'll say through the end of tomorrow, I want to make sure all the emails you'd like us to read are in the record. We think we have them all, but, Ms. Henderson, feel free to batch together and forward anything else you'd like us to review. When we go into executive session, we'll leave that record open, so we'll give ourselves time to read all those emails and anything else you want to supplement the record with. And then Mr. Gill and I will discuss in a couple days' time in executive session, and then we'll provide you notice if we're coming back into the public record to issue our determination. But we'll give it a few days to make sure we've got everything covered. Sound okay? Ms. Henderson, is that all right with you?

MS. HENDERSON: Well, if I have a choice, I'd like you to leave the record open

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

until the end of the week, but, you know, I 1 2 certainly respect whatever decision you make. CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. How about 3 4 tomorrow 5:00, anything you want to put into the 5 record, that will give us a chance to read it and then make a decision by the end of the week. 6 Ι 7 think that's what we'll do. 8 Anything from anybody else, Okay. 9 General Counsel Stroud, before we proceed with 10 the regular update reports? 11 MS. STROUD: No, Chairman Thompson, I 12 have nothing. 13 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. All right. 14 Thank you so much. Terri Stroud, why don't you proceed then with our, I think you've got three 15 16 litigation status updates. 17 MS. STROUD: Thank you, Mr. Chair. 18 Next item on my agenda is litigation status. 19 There are three matters that I have updates on 20 since our last meeting on September 6th. first is Henderson v. the District of Columbia 21 Board of Elections, which is in the D.C. Court of 22

Appeals. This involved a recall petition that Ms. Henderson filed against Sydelle Moore, current Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for single-member District 5D05. The petition was rejected due to an insufficient number of signatures, and Ms. Henderson appealed that matter to the D.C. Court of Appeals.

The matter has been fully briefed. On September 27th, the court issued a notice of calendaring that scheduled the matter for its summary calendar on November 15th at 2 p.m.

The next matter is Public Interest

Legal Foundation v. Monica Evans in her official
capacity as the Executive Director of the Board
of Elections. This matter is in the U.S.

District Court for the District of Columbia.

This matter was filed in the U.S. District Court
under the National Voter Registration Act. The
suit alleges that the Board is out of compliance
with the NVRA's public records provision. PILF
requested records from the Board, and that
request was denied due to the records not being

subjected to public disclosure under several federal laws.

This matter has been fully briefed, and there are currently no updates since the last meeting.

The final matter is Valerie Graham v.

the Board, and it was a consolidated case that

was in the D.C. Court of Appeals. It involved a

consolidated appeal from the Board's June 1st

order denying Ms. Graham's challenge to

Initiative Measure number 82, the Ward 2

signatures that were counted in that matter. An

appeal from the Superior Court order denying

injunctive relief to Ms. Graham, John Batwell,

and the Initiative 82 Committee.

On August 31st, the court granted the Board's motion for summary affirmance of its June 1st order and of the Superior Court order. The appellants filed a petition for rehearing on September 2nd, and the Board and intervenors filed a responses on September 6th, and the court denied the petition on September 8th. And so

that matter has been officially concluded. 1 2 And so we'll have, at current, at the current moment, two cases that are in active 3 4 litigation at this time. Thank you. And that concludes my 5 6 report. 7 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thanks 8 Moving on with Monica Evans' report very much. 9 from the Executive Director of BOE. MS. EVANS: Thank you, Mr. Chair and 10 Board Member Gill. Good morning. I will begin 11 12 with voter education and outreach. 13 During the month of September, the Voter Education and Outreach Division conducted 14 15 50 outreach events on behalf of the agency. We 16 participated in events at the D.C. State Fair, 17 Deanwood rehabilitation Center, George Washington 18 Hospital, Gallaudet University, and the H Street 19 Festival. 20 National Voter Registration Day was held on September 20. We participated in several 21

events to commemorate the day. Those events

included engagements at So Others Might Eat, or SOME; Carlos Rosario International Public Charter School; University of the District of Columbia; United Medical Center; Richard Wright Public Charter School; and the Martin Luther King Jr. Memorial Library.

Moving to voter registration, in September, we registered 4,532 new voters and processed 5,213 registration changes. In total, we prepared 9,745 voter registration cards to be mailed.

Online voter registration. We are continuing to register voters using our website portal. The website portal has the same functionality as a mobile application. To date, we have processed 20,809 applications using the portal. These include new voter registrations and updates to existing registrations.

Redistricting. The process of moving voters affected by redistricting has been finalized in our database. Consistent with the information we received from the Council, we now

have 345 single-member districts, or SMDs.

Approximately 300,000 residents receive postcards that reflect a new SMD assignment effective

January 2nd, 2023.

Automatic Voter Registration Expansion

Amendment Act of 2022. On September 16, I

testified before the committee on judiciary and

public safety. While the Board takes no position

on pending legislation, I discussed the impact

the Automatic Voter Registration Expansion

Amendment Act will have on our operations and our

budget.

General election planning. The general election will be held on Tuesday,

November 8th. We will mail a ballot to every registered voter for the general election. Our mail house mailed the first batch of ballots yesterday, October 3rd, and voters have begun getting text notifications regarding mailed ballots.

A few mail ballot drop boxes have moved since the primary election. All locations

are listed on our website, and mail ballot drop boxes will open on October 14.

We are finalizing our communications plan for the general election. We will engage in print, radio, and television advertising. We will produce postcard mailers, a voter guide, door hangers, yard signs. We will also advertise in local newspapers. The first postcard was mailed on October 1st. The second postcard was sent to eligible but unregistered D.C. residents. They were encouraged to register and vote. This postcard was mailed on September 29th.

Our voter guide will be sent to the printer this week, and election worker training began on August 15. Our goal is to train between 2,000 and 2,500 election workers for the general election. To date, we have trained 1,500 election workers.

Special election planning. On August 31st, the Board accepted the petition in support of a recall of Amber Gove, ANC Commissioner for SMD 6A04. We will conduct a special recall

election for 6A04 voters on Sunday, October 23rd, 1 2 from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. The in-person election will be held at two locations, the Northeast 3 4 Library and Maury Elementary School. And that concludes my report. 5 6 you. 7 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank 8 you so much. And campaign finance report from 9 Director Cecily Collier-Montgomery. I'm not sure 10 if she's present. 11 MS. STROUD: She is. She's just going 12 to turn on her mike. 13 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Oh, okay. 14 MS. COLLIER-MONTGOMERY: Again, good morning. And for the record, the full report of 15 16 the activity of the Office of Campaign Finance 17 for the month of September 2022 will be posted at 18 our website, www.ocf.dc.gov, before the close of 19 business today. I will, however, at this time, 20 highlight a few items of interest for the public 21 from the report.

First, the Office of Campaign Finance

conducted debates on September the 19th, 2022 and September the 21st, 2022 and the contested races for the office of mayor and at-large member of These offices are scheduled for the council. election during November the 8th, 2022 general election in the District of Columbia. All candidates certified to participate in the Fair Elections Program in these contested city-wide elections were required to participate in the debates, and all candidates who achieved ballot access in the traditional campaign finance program were invited to participate. The debates were presented in a virtual format and live streamed at the OCF 2022 D.C. debates website, www.dcdebates.com. The debates were moderated by Denise Rolark Barnes, the publisher and owner of The Washington Informer.

A total of four candidates

participated in the debate for the office of

mayor of the District of Columbia, and eight

candidates participated in the debate for at
large member of the council. The video of the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

debates will remain available for viewing by the public through the November the 8th, 2022 general election, again, at our debate website, www.dcdebates.com.

In our Fair Elections Program Division during the month of September, the Office of Campaign Finance, as of this date in the 2022 election cycle, has authorized the total sum of \$13,216,207.20 for disbursement from the Fair Elections Fund and base amount and matching payment to the 41 candidates who are certified in the program who participated in the June 21st, 2022 primary election; 31 candidates are certified in that election. And the November the 8th, 2022 general election, there are 10 candidates certified in the program to participate and received the total sum of \$632,388.79 for deposit in the Fair Elections Fund for remission from the campaign operations of candidates who were certified to participate in the 2022 election cycle.

During the month of September, the OCF

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

certified one new candidate to participate in the Fair Elections Program and authorized 23 disbursements from the fund to participating candidates in the 2022 election cycle. The new certified candidate is Alphonso Gregory Way, Jr., A Way for D.C. 2022, PCC. The candidate was certified on September the 7th as a participating candidate in the November the 8th, 2022 general election for the office of member of the State Board of Education Ward 1. The sums of \$5,000 for the first half of the base amount payment for this covered office, which is a contested race, and \$5,050 in matching payments were authorized for disbursement.

The division also conducted 51 desk reviews of the August 31st, 2022 reports and amended reports and receipts and expenditures and issued 18 requests for additional information as a result of the desk reviews. The division conducted one candidate treasurer consultation, and that was for Alphonso Gregory Way, Jr., again, A Way for D.C. 2022, PCC, on September the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

8th, 2022.

There are currently 27 post-election audits which are ongoing before the division at various stages. And the status of each one of those audits is listed in our report in detail.

And, again, those are post-election audits of candidates who were certified to participate in the 2020 election cycle.

In our Public Information and Records Management Division, there were two dates for the filing of reports of receipts and expenditures during the month of September 2022. The first was the third report of receipts and expenditures by Ballot Measure Number 82, which was due on September the 12th, 2022, and was timely filed. And also the optional report date of September the 30th, 2022 for the FEP principal campaign committees and five reports were timely filed by committees who are registered in the FEP program.

The new candidates and committees who have registered in this election cycle for the 2022 election cycle, there are a total of 83

candidates who are registered in the traditional campaign finance program, 72 candidates registered to participate in the June 21st primary election, and 12 candidates registered to participate in the November the 8th, 2022 general election. There was one new candidate registration in the traditional program for September 2022, and that candidate registered for the office of the mayor, and that candidate's name is Rhonda Hamilton, who is a write-in candidate, and she registered on September the 2nd, 2022.

In the Fair Elections Program, there are a total of 52 candidates who have registered to participate in the program, 39 candidates registered to participate in the June 21 primary election, and 13 candidates are registered to participate in the November the 8th general election. No new candidates registered during the month of September to participate in the Fair Elections Program.

There were two new committee

registrations for the month of September 2022, and those were independent expenditure committees. The first one, Democracy for D.C., the committee registered on September the 7th, 2022. The second is We are Labor, and the committee registered on September the 15th, 2022.

Three new candidates and treasurers completed the mandatory training program during the month of September.

In our reports analysis in Audit
Division, and, again, this is the traditional
audit program, this is the audit program for the
traditional campaign finance program. The Audit
Division conducted 20 desk reviews and issued
four requests for additional information as a
result of the review of the financial reports.
The audit branch also issued two compliance
audits. The first was for Hannibal for Mayor,
which was issued on September the 7th, and that
was a final report of the March 10th financial
report which had been filed by the committee.
The second was Ward 1 Democrats PAC. The report

was issued on September the 28th, and that was of the July 31st report which was filed by the committee. And both of the final audit reports are available for review by members of the public at the OCF website.

We do have ongoing audits in the audit branch, and the ongoing audits are of candidates who are in the upcoming 2022 general election.

And the audits are being conducted of the August the 10th, 2022 report. The audit notification letters were issued on September the 7th with a due date of October the 3rd for the submission of the financial reports for the conduct of the audit. The committees being audited are Kenyan 2022 and Stacia 2022.

And that concludes my report, but I would ask the general counsel, William Sanford, for the Office of Campaign Finance, to present the legal report.

MR. SANFORD: Good morning, Mr. Chairman and distinguished Board Member Gill. My name is William Sanford, general counsel for the

Office of Campaign Finance.

During the month of September 2022, the Office of the General Counsel received one referral from the Reports Analysis and Audit Division for failure to timely respond to a request for additional information. The Office of the General Counsel completed nine informal hearings and issued nine orders, which included the following: six orders were issued in which a total of \$7,800 in fines were imposed and three orders in which no fines were imposed.

During the month of September 2022, the Office of the General Counsel imposed fines against the following respondents: a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against the committee to elect Bill Lewis, a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against the Monica Palacio principal campaign committee, a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against the Randy Downs principal campaign committee, a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against Marcus for D.C. principal campaign committee, a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against Washington for Ward 8

principal campaign committee, and a fine of \$1,300 was imposed against the James for Ward 2 State Board of Education committee.

During the month of September 2022, the Office of Campaign Finance did not receive any payments of fines.

During the month of September 2022, the Office of the General Counsel had four open investigations. The latest investigation to be opened was pursuant to a complaint filed by Chuck Days against former Ward 3 council candidates

Patricia "Tricia" Duncan and Benjamin Bergmann.

The allegation was that they had accepted illegal in-kind contributions during the campaigns, and the complaint was docketed on the 1st of September.

During the month of September 2022, the Office of the General Counsel did not receive any requests for interpretive opinions, nor did the office conduct any show cause proceedings.

The contents of this report will be published at the Office of Campaign Finance

website by close of business on today's date, 1 2 October 4th, 2022. And that should conclude my 3 report. 4 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank 5 you very much. And, finally, public comment. 6 This is a regular BOE meeting, so we always take 7 a moment or moments, whatever we need, to hear 8 from members of the public. And we'll just ask 9 anybody that's joined us today to use the raise hand function on Zoom, and we'll recognize you to 10 11 speak for a minute or so. 12 I'm not sure I can see it myself. Ι 13 would ask IT to pull anybody up that's raised a 14 hand, and I don't see any. MS. BRIZILL: Mr. Chairman, this is 15 16 Dorothy Brizill, and I'd like to raise a concern. 17 CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Okay. 18 Brizill, hello. If you could just take two 19 minutes, please, we're a little pressed for time. 20 Go ahead. 21 MS. BRIZILL: First and foremost, I'm 22 going to be sending you and the other Board

members a letter indicating that I'd like to change the tone of my participation in these meetings. Oftentimes, in the past, it has been perceived that when I raised a question it is an actual attack on the Board. I can assure you it is not. With my 20 years of being involved and monitoring the Board of Elections, it reflects mostly a deep concern regarding specific matters going on at the Board.

Now, with regard to the issues I'd like to raise today, today was the umpteenth time that I tried to join your Zoom meeting and was not able to. And the only way I was able to do it today was I called the front office of the Board of Elections and they were able to get in touch with, I don't know what it was, but I think it was technical support. But whoever is supposed to be logging people in and allowing them access to the meeting, it's falling seriously short.

My second issue I'd like to raise with the Board is a matter that I also will send to

you in writing, and that has to do with public observation of the vote count election day and election night. There has been a growing issue as regards the public not being able to access the actual vote count of the mail-in ballots.

And as you know, a fundamental foundation of our elections is public observation of the vote procedure.

at this meeting that there has been a problem and that, as you know, the mail-in ballots are tabulated at the warehouse. Most people don't even know that. Access to the warehouse is not forthcoming in large measure, and I want to make sure that at least an effort has been made, to be made to allow people, especially those with observer credentials, to observe the vote count process on election night and any subsequent days it might take to tabulate any mail-in ballots.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. Thank you so much. We will certainly look into that and make sure, especially those with credentials,

can observe at the warehouse the counting of the mailed ballots.

And thank you so much for the letter

I think you said you were going to send.

All right. So with that, before I move to adjourn and also go into executive session on the Henderson matter, anything else from any of the executive directors or general counsels?

MS. STROUD: I have nothing further, Mr. Chair.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: All right. With that, I move that we adjourn the regular matter and also move that we go into executive session specifically to discuss the matter of Henderson v. D.C. OCF, which we talked about in this meeting, specifically leaving the record open until tomorrow at 5 p.m. Eastern Time. Either party can enter into the record anything else they would like to, emails or otherwise. And then Mr. Gill and I will, in executive session, discuss the matter either on Thursday or Friday

and endeavor to come back after a period of notice, back on to the public record to state our determination.

I guess that was all a very longwinded motion. If I could ask Mr. Gill to second
or comment or --

MEMBER GILL: You'll allow me just to second it and not repeat it all.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Yes, okay. So in favor?

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIRMAN THOMPSON: Aye. All right.

Thank you, everybody, for your patience today.

It's been a long meeting, but we got through it,
and we will proceed from here with Ms.

Henderson's case. And, otherwise, really look
forward to a successful general election cycle

coming up soon with early voting. I think you're
going to find me on my bike again biking to every
early voting center. I can't wait. It's only, I
think, 24 now, so I think I can do that in one
day.

1	And so appreciate everybody's
2	participation today. Thanks, everybody.
3	(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
4	went off the record at 11:55 a.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LO	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L 4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	

Α
a.m 1:12 3:2 95:4
aback 31:19
able 4:1 47:17,22 48:21
66:1 91:13,13,15 92:4
above-entitled 95:3
absolutely 33:8 35:4
69:1
absolve 67:14
absurd 33:7,8
abuse 30:6
abusive 63:11,11
accepted 79:20 89:13
access 81:11 91:19
92:4,13
accommodation 19:10
account 20:12
accused 34:22
achieved 81:10
Act 6:13 74:18 78:6,11
actions 20:6
active 76:3
activities 20:6
activity 80:16
actual 53:8 91:5 92:5
add 24:3 70:2
addition 18:1 20:17
additional 39:3 83:18
86:15 88:6
additionally 51:2
address 16:19 64:22
70:14,17
addressed 16:12 65:5
addresses 70:18
adhering 31:12
adjourn 93:6,13
Adjournment 2:14
administrative 71:5
admitted 14:3
admonish 36:4
adopt 4:11,21 15:21
adopting 15:17
Adoption 2:4
adversely 5:17 44:21
advertise 79:7
advertising 79:5
advised 18:5 30:11
advisement 8:9
advisor 10:15 20:4
advisory 29:11 74:3
affairs 49:10
affirm 49:14
affirmance 75:17
affirmation 15:1,2,2,8
15:11,18 26:14,16,21
28:2 49:22 52:20
affirmed 50:2
agency 29:5 67:17,21

I

67:22 76:15 agenda 4:11,13 73:18 Agenda/Approval 2:4 agree 33:13 36:2 69:12 69:13 agreed 11:4 ahead 22:13 33:20 50:15 51:15 53:10 68:22 90:20 allegation 89:13 alleged 6:12,19 alleges 74:19 alleviates 32:16 allotted 9:14 allow 28:13,15 92:16 94:7 allowing 91:18 **allows** 21:9 **Alphonso** 83:5,21 **Amber** 79:21 amended 6:21 13:15 14:22 16:4 25:1,1,12 26:17 41:16 50:1 60:13 83:17 **Amendment** 78:6.11 amendments 25:18 amount 13:4.15.17.18 14:4 59:10 82:10 83:11 analysis 86:10 88:4 analyst 20:1 **ANC** 79:21 anniversary 3:15 answer 30:9,10 60:17 anybody 73:8 90:9,13 apologies 60:22 apologize 3:22 27:20 60:16 apologized 55:5 apparently 66:1 appeal 36:15 57:15 75:9,13 appealed 74:6 appealing 8:4 **Appeals** 34:20 74:1,7 75:8 appear 28:16 43:10 60:4 appearance 11:19 appellants 75:19 application 21:7 77:15 applications 77:16 apply 6:12 appreciate 20:11,11 29:15 58:10 62:9 95:1

approach 20:8

78:2

approximately 20:20

April 11:22,22 12:4 13:12 14:10 16:12,14 31:1,1 35:16,17 42:16 42:16 43:1 44:1 47:15 47:16,21 48:2,4,7,15 48:18,19 52:9 56:20 56:21 65:21 66:3,4 67:10,11,14,14 69:14 arbitrary 66:15 area 67:19 **argued** 16:16 argument 31:7 35:8 53:8 57:9 61:8 65:15 arguments 10:2 arises 36:20 arrived 7:8 **Ascertainment** 2:3 asked 30:21 32:7 55:22 assert 71:15 assertions 56:9 assessing 14:12 assign 44:3 assigned 23:18 assignment 78:3 **assist** 55:4 67:20 assistance 23:20 64:6 64:7 67:21 associated 36:11 **assume** 25:11 assuming 57:14 assure 36:22 68:5 91:5 at-81:21 at-large 81:3 attached 26:17 attack 91:5 attempt 65:6 attempted 43:10 44:1 66:2 attempting 57:9 65:21 attend 18:1 38:12,13 attended 11:7 38:7 attending 38:9 attention 65:17 attested 15:3 attorney 10:15 20:3,5 46:18 59:5,6 Attorney's 33:2 attorneys 70:20 attributed 12:6 audit 86:10,12,12,13,17 87:3,6,10,14 88:4 audited 87:14 audits 84:3,5,6 86:18 87:6,7,9 August 16:2,4 36:6 75:16 79:15,19 83:16 87:9 authority 30:6

authorized 82:8 83:2,13 auto-51:19 Automatic 78:5,10 available 26:11 30:9 34:5 42:21 55:4 57:5 61:7 82:1 87:4 award-winning 29:11 awards 29:14 33:1 aware 12:17 23:11 30:19 40:6 43:20 58:8 92:9 Aye 4:17 5:4 94:11,12

В **B** 2:7 back 7:16 30:15 41:13 43:14 48:13 52:13 55:6 56:15 60:5 69:21 72:16 94:1,2 backroom 45:9 **ballot** 78:15,21 79:1 81:10 84:14 **ballots** 78:17,20 92:5 92:11,19 93:2 **Barnes** 81:16 base 60:20 82:10 83:11 based 13:2,6 66:9,13 67:7 basic 7:19 17:21 **basically** 5:21 53:5 **basis** 21:11,17 batch 72:8 78:17 **Batwell** 75:14 **Bear** 33:21 **began** 79:15 beginning 15:4 begun 78:18 **behalf** 61:19 76:15 belief 43:12 50:4 believe 7:3,10 8:2,5 9:1 20:19 25:7,16 27:19 38:6 45:7,8 48:10,16 48:18 52:9,13 59:8 60:14 Belinda 7:3 10:14 Benjamin 89:12 Bergmann 89:12 best 50:3 64:13 better 44:16 63:13 beyond 16:6

bike 94:19

Bill 88:16

bit 17:8

bits 29:19

blank 5:22

billion 45:2

birthday 3:16

biking 94:19

bless 64:17 88:19,21 89:1,5,22 47:7 48:3,6 49:1,20 commissioner 29:12 **Board** 1:4,6,11,13,16 campaigns 15:5 89:14 51:5,8,14 52:17 53:2 46:11 74:3 79:21 2:5,8,10 3:9,11,12 candidacy 57:10 55:21 59:17 60:1,10 **commit** 40:7,9 4:20 5:7,19,22 6:9 candidate 10:22 11:10 61:15,20,22 64:18 committed 29:4 37:10 10:14 14:18 16:10 12:9 17:18 21:1,14 66:20 67:1 68:11,12 committee 10:22 39:22 54:20 75:15 78:7 26:12 34:6 36:3,12 29:9 32:14 33:17 34:8 68:14,19 71:19 73:3 37:12 42:4 57:5 61:8 38:5 47:4 55:12 62:14 73:11,13 76:7 80:7,13 85:22 86:4,6,21 87:3 65:13 66:11,17 73:22 63:14,18 83:1,5,6,8 87:21 90:4,15,17 88:15,17,19,21 89:1,3 83:20 85:6.8.11 92:20 93:12 94:9.12 74:14,19,21 75:7,20 **committees** 54:18,19 76:11 78:8 79:20 candidate's 85:9 **chalk** 59:4 84:18,19,20 86:3 **candidates** 19:16,19 83:10 87:21 89:3 challenge 75:10 87:14 challenges 48:22 90:22 91:5,7,9,15,22 21:5 23:3 31:4,11,16 communicating 53:15 Board's 3:16 75:9,17 33:16 38:16 50:12,19 **chance** 62:7 73:5 communication 24:15 **body** 59:14 51:18 52:3 58:5 64:4 **change** 31:11 91:2 66:4 **BOE** 76:9 90:6 communications 69:7 81:7,10,18,21 82:11 changed 59:11 book 58:16 82:13,16,20 83:4 84:7 changes 77:9 70:16 79:3 **bounce** 69:21 84:20 85:1,2,4,14,15 characterization 29:19 compared 22:20 **boxes** 78:21 79:2 85:17,19 86:7 87:7 charge 24:5 competent 55:16 **Charter** 77:2,5 **complaint** 24:7 89:10 branch 86:17 87:7 89:11 89:15 **brief** 7:17,20 68:13 capacity 74:14 **choice** 28:20 72:22 **briefed** 74:8 75:3 capricious 66:15 **choose** 58:18 71:13 complete 40:7 chronology 50:6 completed 40:3 68:16 briefly 62:9 captured 41:7,8,9 bring 35:10 65:6 cards 77:10 **Chuck** 89:10 86:8 88:7 **Brizill** 90:15,16,18,21 care 33:7 circumstances 6:11 **completely** 24:9 31:12 careful 20:15 35:9 39:14,16 **Brooks** 37:9 21:4 **budget** 45:2 78:12 Carlos 77:2 city 29:13 compliance 22:5.15 **burden** 6:17 7:7 8:3,5 case 3:19 15:16 17:11 city-wide 81:8 67:6 74:19 86:17 22:22 23:1,22 25:4 8:11,17 **claim** 14:16 compliant 12:18 bus 41:4 30:13 32:19,20 34:20 **claimed** 13:13 18:13 comply 11:4 23:4 30:3 **business** 4:10 70:6 35:4 65:2 66:8 75:7 **claims** 65:12 30:17 41:18 43:2 80:19 90:1 94:16 clarify 28:22 47:8 45:13 58:9 59:1 case-by-case 21:11,17 clear 6:16 47:9 48:14 comport 69:22 70:6 C cases 22:7 23:8 76:3 52:18 55:2 56:18 66:5 **computer** 32:6,10 calculated 13:1 catastrophe 4:3 **clearly** 42:17 47:5 43:18 63:22 64:5 calendar 31:5,6 48:17 cause 89:20 click 39:6 67:18 74:11 **Cecily** 1:19 80:9 Clifford 37:6 concern 90:16 91:8 calendaring 74:10 center 76:17 77:4 94:20 **clock** 9:22 **concerning** 65:19,22 certainly 6:2 8:12 28:13 call 26:19 28:3 53:4 **close** 48:9,10 80:18 66:6 28:17,22 29:18 30:11 concerns 29:2 68:15 90.1 called 2:2 38:8,10 91:14 34:4 40:12 41:22 closely 20:21,22 conclude 15:15 60:21 calls 21:10 42:20 56:5 57:4 58:6 closing 7:18 62:3 90:2 concluded 7:12 76:1 62:4,20 69:9 70:1 **Collier-Montgomery** camera 43:17 campaign 1:20 2:7,12 71:4 73:2 92:21 1:19 80:9,14 concludes 66:19 68:10 3:20 5:11 6:13,18 7:2 Columbia 1:2,11 59:9 76:5 80:5 87:16 certified 81:7 82:11,14 82:16,20 83:1,5,7 59:12 73:21 74:16 conclusion 71:22 8:6 10:9,16 11:1 12:2 84:7 12:4 13:19 15:6 18:4 77:3 81:6,20 concoct 35:19 18:17,20 20:1,6 21:2 **cetera** 25:19 **come** 37:3,4,13 45:10 **conduct** 79:22 87:13 Chair 1:12,14 3:9 9:13 63:15 64:4 94:1 89:20 21:15 26:3 30:4,5 conducted 76:14 81:1 31:21 32:13 33:9,13 22:10 61:11 73:17 comes 46:15 67:21 76:10 93:11 83:15,20 86:14 87:9 comfortable 27:17 35:2,3,6 36:14 39:1 **Chairman** 3:3 4:15,18 41:1 42:21 44:12 45:3 coming 3:5 60:8 72:16 conducts 6:9 45:21 46:1 49:11 5:2,5,9 8:2,8 9:4,9,15 94:18 **conference** 11:7 14:17 10:13 16:22 19:11 commemorate 76:22 14:21 16:9 18:2,5 53:20 56:17 58:11 21:21 22:11 23:5 **comment** 2:13 17:2 26:5 30:21 38:8,10,15 61:5 62:12 63:1,7,13 24:18 25:10,15 26:7 19:12 21:22 67:16 38:20 50:11,17 57:4 64:2 67:17 68:6 80:8 80:16,22 81:11 82:7 26:15 27:3,6,13 29:1 90:5 94:6 60:14 comments 7:18 8:20 conferencing 21:19 82:19 84:17 85:2 33:20 34:5 37:16,22 86:13 87:18 88:1,17 38:13 39:8,11,21 42:3 9:1 62:5 64:19 confirm 49:8

confirmed 24:20 confused 54:20,22 confusion 55:3 connection 49:15 consider 64:3 considerable 14:11 consideration 14:3 21:18 considered 65:7 Consistent 77:21 consolidated 75:7,9 consultation 83:20 contact 18:17 65:22 contacts 23:16 contents 2:1 89:21 contested 81:2,8 83:12 continual 54:8 continue 36:12 37:1,1 57:14 68:9 continued 56:13 **continuing** 69:10 77:13 contribution 40:14 contributions 89:14 control 34:10,10,11 convened 1:11 conversation 12:10 conversations 36:21 36:22 **copies** 4:19 copy 42:18,19 cordial 68:7 69:3 71:2,3 **correct** 51:13 53:15,16 correctly 40:22 47:19 corrects 6:21 correspondence 52:14 council 11:3 29:10 36:18 37:8 77:22 81:4 81:22 89:11 counsel 1:18,21 7:5 9:11 21:2,19 27:19 73:9 87:17,22 88:3,7 88:13 89:8,18 Counsel's 2:6 counsels 93:9 count 92:2,5,17 **counted** 75:12 counting 93:1 couple 37:17 38:4 41:19 72:14 **course** 6:19 court 34:20 73:22 74:7 74:9,16,17 75:8,13,16 75:18,21 courtesy 18:10 58:2,4 65:13 66:9 67:22 cover 60:20 covered 38:20 39:1 72:19 83:12

create 51:21 52:3 53:21 64:10 69:18 70:14 **credentials** 14:13 44:2 44:4,5 53:21 54:1 92:17.22 credibility 65:16 **cross-** 28:4,5 cross-examination 28:9 53:4 cross-examine 28:17 28:18 culminate 16:1 culminating 6:20 cumbersome 40:15 cured 47:18 **current** 74:3 76:2,3 currently 34:21 75:4 84:2 **cycle** 19:14,15 20:18,19 82:8,21 83:4 84:8,21 84:22 94:17

D **D.C** 2:7,8,10 3:20 5:11

5:16 6:7 10:17 49:11 73:22 74:7 75:8 76:16 79:10 81:14 83:6.22 86:3 88:20 93:16 data 40:16 database 77:21 date 22:3 36:8 39:12 50:19 51:1 58:8 77:15 79:17 82:7 84:16 87:12 90:1 dated 16:12 50:2 dates 11:13 18:6 38:17 40:8 50:13,18 59:3 67:7 84:10 day 12:1 13:3,11 35:18 57:3,20 69:15 76:20 76:22 92:2 94:22 days 13:3,16,16 16:7,7 72:18 89:11 92:18 days' 72:14 de 5:15,20,20 6:5 8:14 14:7 25:22 deadlines 34:15 46:5 dealing 45:9 Deanwood 76:17 debate 81:19,21 82:3 debates 81:1,10,12,14 81:15 82:1 decide 58:20 decided 19:1 decision 73:2,6 dedication 59:10 deep 91:8

defense 16:15,18

deficiency 43:19 deficient 43:8 **defied** 46:20 delinguent 17:4 21:1 47:18,21 67:12 **Democracy** 86:3 Democrats 86:22 **demonstrate** 34:6 44:9 demonstrated 18:18 demonstrates 17:16 denied 14:1 57:17 74:22 75:22 **Denise** 81:16 denying 16:5 75:10,13 deposit 82:18 describing 5:13 desk 83:15,19 86:14 desktop 39:15 detail 56:7 84:5 determination 8:15 72:17 94:3 determined 35:9,10 device 44:6 64:1 difficulties 32:12 34:16 42:22 difficulty 12:20 13:13 14:8.11 32:4 44:11 63:20 digging 35:7 diligence 62:16 diminish 63:5 64:12 directed 24:6 directive 30:3,18 41:18 45:14 directives 58:10 59:1 director 1:17 5:18 13:5 19:3 21:20 66:10 74:14 76:9 80:9 Director's 2:11 directors 93:8 disagree 38:21 69:4 disappointed 29:16 36:14 disbursement 82:9 83:14 disbursements 83:3 disclosure 75:1 discretion 13:5 17:13 19:2,3,9 20:8 21:10 21:10,16 66:10,14

discretionary 21:6

discussed 78:9

dispersed 22:18

93:22

discuss 72:2,14 93:15

disingenuous 65:16

dismiss 13:22 62:10

distinguished 87:21

distributed 4:11.22 **District** 1:2,11 45:2 59:8,11 73:21 74:4,16 74:16,17 77:3 81:6,20 districts 78:1 disturbing 34:17 **dive** 8:9 division 12:12 23:16,19 76:14 82:5 83:15,19 84:3,10 86:11,14 88:5 **Docket** 10:19 docketed 89:15 document 40:17 documented 32:18 documents 14:15 46:21 doing 46:4 62:16 63:4 dollar 34:1 58:15 63:10 donation 41:14 donor 40:16 door 46:14 79:7 **Dorothy** 90:16 **Downs** 88:19 downward 13:16 19:9 dozens 19:17 drag 64:9 drama 70:15 draw 65:17 drop 78:21 79:1 **due** 16:5 24:2 43:13 47:13 50:14 62:16 67:13,13 74:5,22 84:14 87:12 **Duncan** 89:12 duty 20:13,13

Ε e-filing 52:2 early 13:12 36:7,7 94:18,20 Eastern 93:18 Eat 77:1 **EDT** 1:12 **education** 76:12,14 83:10 89:3 effective 29:11 44:16 78:3 effort 31:2 32:5 34:7 41:3 69:5 70:22 92:15 eight 15:5 81:20 either 8:13 93:18,22 elect 11:1 88:15 elected 29:12 **election** 20:18,19 78:13 78:14,16,22 79:4,14 79:16,17,18,19 80:1,2 81:5,6 82:3,8,13,14 82:15,21 83:4,9 84:8 84:21,22 85:4,6,17,19

87:8 92:2,3,18 94:17 **elections** 1:4,11,13,16 2:8,10 3:10 5:19 11:2 11:10,11 13:7 14:19 15:7 16:10 17:17 19:1 19:8,14 20:2,10 22:15 22:19 23:3,17 31:21 37:12 39:1 51:18 52:3 53:20 67:3 73:22 74:15 81:8,9 82:5,10 82:18 83:2 85:13,21 91:7,15 92:7 Elementary 80:4 eligible 79:10 email 16:14,17 24:8 32:8 50:22 51:20 52:6 52:8,11,12 69:19,20 70:8,13,16,17 emailed 11:21 53:18 emailing 54:13 emails 16:11 18:18 32:3 32:3 34:4 44:9 48:11 51:10 57:2 60:15 61:3 61:11 62:1 69:6 70:13 72:6,12 93:20 Emergency 29:5 employees 23:18 encountered 34:15 encouraged 79:11 endeavor 94:1 enforced 66:16 engage 79:4 engaged 68:2 engagements 77:1 ensure 34:7 enter 93:19 entered 27:18,22 28:14 **enters** 24:11 entire 4:3 25:8 35:20 entirety 14:1 62:11 entitled 27:21 28:1 entrance 11:7 18:2,4 26:4 31:13 38:8,10 50:11,17 **entry** 28:1 Eric 23:17 **especially** 92:16,22 Essence 33:3 establish 6:18 9:12 et 25:19 Evans 1:17 2:9 74:13 76:10 Evans' 76:8 events 76:15,16,22,22 **everybody** 3:4,7 5:14 5:15 10:1 94:13 95:2 everybody's 95:1 evidence 6:3 7:10,15

8:13 10:4 14:2 16:15 28:14 evidentiary 16:17 **exactly** 25:21 48:13 69:7 **examine** 28:5,6 excellent 31:18 exception 23:2 excessive 54:16 66:15 excusable 59:5 **excuse** 21:13 **executive** 2:11 8:16 72:1,10,15 74:14 76:9 93:6,8,14,21 **exercise** 13:5 17:13 19:1,3,9 21:16 36:15 57:18 66:10,13 exercising 20:8 **Exhibit** 49:22 existence 17:3 existing 77:18 exists 6:2 58:12 **Expansion** 78:5,10 expect 68:8 expenditure 86:2 expenditures 11:9 38:18 39:13 40:2.15 41:5,13 83:17 84:11 84:13 **experience** 18:8 19:13 19:18 31:22 44:14,14 experienced 32:14,14 50:7 experiencing 14:11 expert 35:13 61:5 70:3 70:4.7 extended 18:14 **extends** 18:11 extension 15:12 extent 27:1 36:16 57:12 57:18 extremely 24:9

F

face 71:7

fact 13:6 14:10 18:13 28:6 31:14 67:11 factor 18:21 factors 21:5,12,15,18 factual 53:8 failed 14:2 30:17 46:20 failing 59:1 fails 16:19 failure 11:20 66:1 88:5 fair 10:1 11:2,10,11 13:7 15:7 17:17 18:22 19:7,13 20:2,10 22:15 22:19 23:3,17 31:21

39:1 51:17 52:2 53:20 67:3 76:16 81:7 82:5 82:9,18 83:2 85:13,20 faith 43:12 63:6 **falling** 91:19 fancy 5:21 **favor** 4:16 5:3 94:10 **February** 10:20,21 11:14 38:6 51:1,9 federal 29:5 75:2 feel 31:9 55:14 72:8 feeling 56:10 fell 41:14 **FEP** 13:7 84:17,19 Festival 76:19 file 11:15,20 12:13 14:10 15:13 16:13 30:16,17,22 31:17 33:6,10 34:14 36:6,7 36:8 37:8 40:1 41:11 42:14 43:1,18,21,22 44:1 47:14,15 48:1,7 48:12,15,22 56:11,19 57:21 58:5,7 63:21,22 63:22 65:19.21 filed 11:16 12:1 13:9,11 13:20 14:6,22 16:3,6 31:1 35:17 40:12,22 40:22 41:22 42:11,12 42:16 48:9 56:20 58:7 67:12 69:14 74:2.17 75:19,21 84:15,18 86:21 87:2 89:10 filer 17:17 19:7 45:5 filing 5:19 11:8,13 12:6 12:17,20 13:12,14 14:1,8,12 15:3,20 16:6,16 17:22 18:6,10 19:19 22:2 23:4,12 24:2 31:3,5,6,14 38:17 40:6 44:13 46:21 50:13,18,19 51:11 54:9,21 57:7 58:16 62:15,20 63:20 66:6 67:7,9,15 84:11 filings 15:7 17:5 20:16 35:2 66:11 filled 39:14,16 40:2 final 26:4.4 64:19 75:6 86:20 87:3 finalized 77:21 finalizing 79:3 finally 36:17,17 46:10 46:11 66:5 90:5 finance 1:20 2:7,12

3:20 5:12 6:13,18 7:3

8:6 10:9,16 11:2 12:3

12:5 13:20 18:4,17

20:1.6 21:2 30:4 32:13 33:14 35:2,3,7 36:14 41:1 42:21 44:12 45:4 49:11 56:17 58:11 61:5 62:13 63:1,8,13 64:2 67:18 68:7 80:8,16,22 81:11 82:7 85:2 86:13 87:18 88:1 89:5.22 Finance's 26:3 33:9 financial 86:16,20 87:13 **financing** 34:1 58:15 63:10 find 41:14 69:7 94:19 findings 7:11 fine 13:1,16,18 14:4 17:14 19:4,10 21:7,11 26:16 30:4 33:13 36:1 36:2 42:10 66:13 71:12 88:14,16,18,19 88:21 89:1 fines 15:9,10 17:6 33:14 36:3 88:10,11 88:13 89:6 fining 33:16 56:17 62:13 63:8 first 3:16 4:10 7:2,6 13:12 19:15 20:18 25:1 30:15 37:18 48:4 51:17 57:22 58:16 59:2 62:15 69:2 73:21 78:17 79:8 80:22 83:11 84:12 86:3,18 90:21 first- 17:16 first-time 18:22 19:7 **five** 9:18,22 10:4,6 16:7 17:7 22:4 84:18 fixed 66:7.7 flawed 36:13 flexible 6:10 Florida 4:2,4 Floridians 29:6 focusing 49:3 **FOIA** 57:16 follow-ups 21:22 following 12:22 56:6 88:9,14 foreclosing 62:19 foremost 90:21 forget 19:16 form 40:5,14 formal 59:18 format 81:13 **former** 89:11 formula 20:7 **Fort** 4:4

forth 43:14 46:6 60:5 forthcoming 92:14 forward 12:17 35:21 36:4 45:11 61:2 69:10 71:15 72:9 94:17 found 71:5 **foundation** 2:9 74:13 92:6 four 22:4 50:9,10 81:18 86:15 89:8 frame 10:20 framework 6:15 29:15 44:20 58:11 free 53:3 72:8 Friday 93:22 front 91:14 froze 22:12 full 10:1 12:15 19:4 27:9 80:15 fullest 36:16 57:18 fully 18:16 74:8 75:3 function 90:10 functionality 77:15 fund 82:10,19 83:3 fundamental 92:6 funding 30:6 funds 22:18 63:2.4 67:8 further 8:22 15:11 52:14 93:10

G Gallaudet 76:18 **Gary** 1:12,14 3:8 gathering 29:17 general 1:18,21 2:6 7:5 9:11 21:2,19 22:14 37:5 73:9 78:13,14,16 79:4,16 81:5 82:2,15 83:8 85:5,18 87:8,17 87:22 88:3,7,13 89:8 89:18 93:8 94:17 generally 6:6 generated 51:20 52:2 generates 53:22 **George** 76:17 getting 23:6 55:5 78:19 **Gill** 1:15 3:10 4:14,17 5:1,4,8 10:14 42:4,6 52:21 53:1 72:14 76:11 87:21 93:21 94:5,7,11 Gill's 47:9 give 19:9 20:19 28:19 44:12 45:3 49:16 72:11,18 73:5 given 6:11 42:13 qoal 58:4 79:15

gotten 35:3 **Gove** 79:21 governed 6:7 governing 31:13 government 1:1 33:4 44:18 45:14 63:6 government's 35:20 Graham 2:10 75:6,14 Graham's 75:10 grandiose 59:13 granted 15:12 75:16 grants 21:6 Greenfield 3:11 Gregory 83:5,21 growing 92:3 guess 3:17 17:11 68:14 guide 79:6,13

Н

half 4:5 10:16 83:11

Hamilton 85:10

hand 90:10,14

handful 22:7

hangers 79:7

Hannibal 86:18

64:15 67:20

happy 3:16 45:13 61:1

H 76:18

harmed 58:13.13.14 hear 3:6 7:2,6,13 8:12 9:11 26:13 27:9 49:2 90:7 heard 9:21 22:12 35:5 62:4 68:21 72:3 hearing 2:6 3:19 5:10 5:16,20 6:5 7:4 10:10 11:18,21 12:1,5,14,19 12:22 14:4,7,21 16:9 21:3 25:22 26:5 30:8 30:13 56:6 60:4 62:4 65:8,18 hearings 6:9 88:8 heels 35:7 held 14:18 16:9 76:21 78:14 80:3 **hello** 90:18 help 17:1 29:5 32:8 58:5 helpful 25:2 helping 29:3 **Henderson** 2:6,8 3:20 5:6,11 7:13 8:4 9:5,8 10:3,19,21 11:1,6,13 11:21 12:1,6 13:9 14:6,15,19 15:13 16:2 16:10 17:5,16,21 18:1 18:8,13,15,16 24:15

25:5 27:8,15 29:1,9 33:21 35:11 37:17 38:3,12,22 39:9,18 40:5 42:5,8,15 46:12 47:7,20 48:5,8 50:11 51:2,10 52:8 53:3,11 53:14,17 54:12,19,22 55:10,14,19,22 56:3 59:21 60:2,22 61:10 61:12 62:1,8 65:12 66:9 67:2,8 68:4,6,12 68:17 69:1 72:3,8,19 72:21 73:21 74:2,6 93:7,15 Henderson's 13:21 15:3 23:1 26:1 65:15 94:16 **hey** 46:10 Hi 3:8 high 20:13 45:20 higher 22:16,17 highlight 80:20 history 15:4,15 16:2 58:22 59:11 hold 12:5 45:20 68:20 holds 34:21 **HOLMAN** 1:17 honorable 45:12 **Hopefully** 3:5 22:12 28:21 horribly 30:1 Hospital 76:18 host 21:15 32:10 43:16 hour 34:14 house 4:3 78:17 hurl 58:19 hurricane 4:3 29:6

lan 29:7

ID 51:22 **illegal** 89:13 illiterate 32:10 image 62:18 **impact** 78:9 implementation 21:11 **important** 51:9 67:1 **impose** 19:3 imposed 17:7 88:10,11 88:13,15,16,18,20,22 89:2 **imposing** 13:17 15:9 66:12 impression 41:10 55:11 improve 45:1 improvement 21:13 in-kind 89:14 in-person 80:2

inception 20:2,9 inclined 26:13 include 21:12 77:17 included 14:22 15:20 26:5 37:4 61:14,16 77:1 88:8 includes 60:11 including 17:11 25:19 33:1 51:22 incompetent 55:16 independent 86:2 indicated 12:16 26:10 30:18 53:20 indicating 13:11 48:12 70:15 91:1 individual 21:17 infer 17:20 influenced 17:9 informal 88:7 information 12:11 17:22 51:3,22 52:1,4 77:22 83:18 84:9 86:15 88:6 **informed** 11:8 38:16 Informer 81:17 initial 12:18 13:14 14:3 65:8 **Initiative** 75:11,15 injunctive 75:14 inquiries 23:16,21 24:6 inquiry 24:4 Inspector 37:5 instance 23:1 insufficient 74:5 insulting 32:9 intact 14:5 integrity 45:13 interest 2:9 63:3 64:13 74:12 80:20 International 77:2 interpretive 89:19 interrupt 61:11 interrupted 43:12 interruption 61:10 intervenors 75:20 introduce 7:9,14 10:4 26:8 28:12 introduced 6:4 investigation 89:9 investigations 89:9 **invited** 81:12 involved 5:15 15:5 17:18 74:1 75:8 91:6 **involving** 12:19 17:5 72:3 irrelevant 16:18 67:3 issuance 71:6

God 64:17

issue 16:20 18:11,12

22:6 23:7,9,14 32:17 32:21 33:9 34:13,18 34:19 42:17 43:5,6,6 44:10 47:11,11 48:4 54:5 56:14,15 57:8,8 61:4 63:19 65:17 71:12 72:17 91:21 92:3 ssued 6:20 13:1 66:8

issued 6:20 13:1 66:8 74:9 83:18 86:14,17 86:19 87:1,11 88:8,9 issues 12:19 22:2 23:11 30:19 32:4,17,18 33:11 34:2,8 35:14,15 37:10 41:19 42:14 43:3,7,14,16 47:16,22 51:17 52:10,15 53:19 54:6,9,10,14 56:13,18 56:21 62:20 63:16 64:22 65:2,5 70:12 91:10

issuing 15:9 34:10 item 73:18 items 80:20

J

Jackson 23:18 James 89:2 January 37:7 78:4 job 64:8 John 75:14 join 91:12 joined 90:9 Jr 77:5 83:5,21 judgment 6:1 judiciary 78:7 July 14:21 50:2 87:2 jumbled 56:8 jump 7:22 9:10 June 14:6,17 65:4 75:9 75:17 82:12 85:3,16

K

Karen 37:9
Karyn 3:11
Kathy 2:6 3:19 5:11
10:19 11:1 29:9 46:11
keep 37:6 46:5 53:6
Kenyan 57:8 59:22
87:14
King 77:5
Kittles-Perry 7:4 9:3
10:12,15 17:15 19:21
24:3 25:14 27:11
42:18 61:3,18 64:21
70:11
knack 71:9
knew 12:16 45:20

knowing 18:9 knowledge 17:21 18:7 50:3 54:11 knows 65:13 Kristin 4:2

L

lacks 16:17 65:16

large 81:22 92:14

Labor 86:5

larger 57:7,8 late 13:3,16,17 15:3,7 15:13 16:15 22:3 35:2 57:7 58:17 69:16 **latest** 89:9 law 35:1 36:16 46:19 57:19 71:5 laws 75:2 **lawyer** 27:20 layperson 46:18 lead 30:4 leading 33:14 62:13 63:8 **leave** 72:4,11,22 leaving 93:17 legal 2:9 5:21 74:13 87:19 legislation 78:9 **legitimate** 32:11 33:10 34:2 lengthy 15:3 let's 46:14 53:21 54:13 64:13,14 **letter** 91:1 93:3 **letters** 87:11 level 22:17 **Lewis** 88:16 **Library** 77:6 80:4 life's 70:6 light 60:8 **limit** 9:17 **limits** 9:19 link 51:21 52:6 listed 79:1 84:5 listen 21:4 **litigation** 2:7 73:16,18 76:4 little 17:8 39:5 44:12 90:19 live 81:13 local 79:8 located 10:16 locations 78:22 80:3 log 16:13 66:1 log- 48:21 52:3 65:1 log-in 14:13 24:7 30:19 32:4,8,17,18 33:11 34:2,8 35:14,15 42:17

43:3,7 44:2,4,5,10 47:11,16,22 48:4 51:3 51:17,21 52:1,10 53:19 54:1,6,10,14 56:14,18,21 63:15 65:5 66:2 logging 12:20 13:13 14:8 23:11,20 24:17 34:12 91:18 logical 35:9 long 53:6 94:14 long- 94:4 longstanding 67:22 look 48:13,17 58:21 63:12 92:21 94:16 **looking** 34:2 44:22 looks 17:4 50:1 **lost** 4:3 lot 59:8 lots 29:18 **love** 70:2 **loved** 60:2 low 22:20 23:22 **Luther** 77:5 lynching 37:2

M

magazine 33:3,3 **mail** 78:15,17,21 79:1 mail-in 92:5.11.19 mailed 77:11 78:17,19 79:9,12 93:2 mailers 79:6 **major** 54:5 majority 23:2,8 making 24:4 34:7 malfeasance 37:10 71:9 malstrom 69:18 Management 12:11 29:5 84:10 manager 23:17 49:10 managing 70:5 mandate 16:7 mandatory 11:8,13 18:2,6 38:16 50:13 67:6 86:8 March 11:6,14,14,16,17 11:18,20 12:2,7,14 15:13 16:16,19 22:3 24:14 31:3 35:17,18 38:8,11,20 39:12,16 39:21 40:3,6,20,20 41:5,7,11,15 47:13,18 47:20 48:1 50:8,14,19 51:2,10,12 56:12,19 65:17,20 66:3 67:10 67:12,13 69:13,14,16

69:17 86:20 **Marcus** 88:20 Martin 77:5 matching 82:10 83:13 mathematical 17:14 matter 5:10 8:21 10:18 11:22 18:12 21:20 36:12,15,20 37:1 44:21 45:10,11 47:1 49:17 57:7,14,21 58:13,21 62:11 65:1 72:2 74:7,8,10,12,15 74:17 75:3,6,12 76:1 91:22 93:7,13,15,22 95:3 matters 2:5 5:7 8:11 73:19 91:8 **Maury** 80:4 mayor 81:3,20 85:9 86:18 **McDuffie** 36:19 57:9 59:22 mean 22:22 25:9 26:15 26:18 29:22 32:8 34:16 42:1.10 means 3:13 5:22 meant 12:13 measure 75:11 84:14 92:14 Medical 77:4 meeting 1:6 2:2 3:14,16 3:18 4:20 71:22 73:20 75:5 90:6 91:12,19 92:10 93:17 94:14 meetings 32:10,11 91:3 member 1:15 4:14,17 5:1,4,8 10:14 36:19 37:9 42:4,6 53:1 76:11 81:3,22 83:9 87:21 94:7.11 members 1:13 3:12 34:5 67:19 68:1 87:4 90:8 91:1 Memorial 77:6 **memorize** 31:5,6 **memory** 40:10 mentioned 60:15 merit 16:17 mike 1:15 3:10 4:11 5:7 10:14 42:4 52:21 80:12 millennium 63:16 million 4:5 minute 90:11 minutes 2:4 4:19,21 9:18,22 10:4,7 68:20

misappropriating 63:4

90:19

misdeeds 60:7 misinterpreted 12:12 **missed** 69:19 misspoke 56:9 mistakenly 41:6 misunderstanding 12:16 mitigate 62:20 mitigating 21:4 **mobile** 77:15 moderated 81:15 modules 39:5 moment 22:1 38:2 76:3 90:7 moments 90:7 money 33:18,22 45:4 63:9 Monica 1:17 2:9 74:13 76:8 88:17 monitored 20:21,22 monitoring 91:7 month 24:14 40:8 76:13 80:17 82:6,22 84:12 85:20 86:1,9 88:2,12 89:4,7,17 monthly 3:14,18 Moore 74:2 morning 3:4,8 8:1 10:13,13 29:9 43:11 53:12,13 66:19 76:11 80:15 87:20 **motion** 13:10,20,22 65:3 75:17 94:5 **motions** 26:2,3 29:20 move 4:12,21 39:7 50:20 72:1 93:6,13,14 moved 58:9 78:22 moving 8:3 76:8 77:7 77:19 multiple 18:18 29:13 33:1 34:3,9,22,22 35:1,12 **Municipal** 5:16 6:7 **muted** 43:16 Myers 4:4 Ν name 3:8 10:14 49:7,9

name 3:8 10:14 49:7,9 85:10 87:22 National 74:18 76:20 necessary 61:1 need 15:21 27:4 30:8,9 34:9 35:19 44:22 45:3 50:4 52:4 62:5 63:12 64:6 70:5 90:7 needed 43:21 65:19 needs 44:16 negative 46:16

neglect 59:5 neighborhood 29:11 74:3 neither 66:14 network 57:12 never 12:19 24:1 30:5 30:17,19 33:8,18,22 46:20,20 54:8 55:8 56:10,12,14 new 6:4 14:13 57:21 59:2,3,3 62:14 63:16 65:6 68:22 77:8,17 78:3 83:1,4 84:20 85:6,19,22 86:7 newspapers 79:8 **night** 92:3,18 nine 88:7,8 noncompliance 22:19 Northeast 80:3 **note** 6:2 **notes** 26:5 notice 1:12 11:18 31:15 32:1,2 38:18 40:10 41:21,22 42:13 43:1 46:7 47:2,3,10,12 50:12 58:1.2.22 60:4 65:18 69:17 72:16 74:9 94:2 **notices** 11:15 18:11 25:18 30:16 31:8,10 31:10,17 36:6 40:11 47:4 58:4,5,6 65:9 notification 87:10 notifications 50:21,21 78:19 notified 4:13 **notify** 11:12 notwithstanding 17:12 November 35:5 74:11 78:15 81:5 82:2,14 83:8 85:5,18 **novo** 5:15,20,20 6:5 8:14 14:7 25:22 **number** 3:4 10:19 23:21 52:1,5 54:18,19 55:13 74:5 75:11 84:14 numerous 32:3,3 37:10 44:8.8 61:3 **NVRA's** 74:20

0

oath 26:12 28:7 49:8 object 27:21 28:1,15 29:18 30:7 objections 8:20 25:4 27:17 28:13 29:18 56:4,7 61:17,18,21

obligations 18:9 observation 92:2,7 observe 92:17 93:1 observer 92:17 obtain 5:18 17:22 obviously 7:13 Occasionally 23:15 **OCF** 6:20 7:6,16 9:11 12:12 13:1 14:13,20 14:22 15:4,9 16:4,10 18:10 23:12 27:7 30:3 30:18 38:7,11,14 39:22 41:18 46:21 52:2 58:9 59:1 60:12 61:17 64:19 71:5 81:14 82:22 87:5 93:16 October 1:9 78:18 79:2 79:9 80:1 87:12 90:2 offensive 32:9 36:9 offer 19:22 59:8 offered 16:15 59:7,9 68:1 office 1:19 2:7 3:20 5:11 6:17 7:2 8:6 10:9 10:16 11:1 12:2.4 13:11.19 18:3.17 20:1 20:5 21:1,2 26:2 30:4 32:12,15 33:2,9,13 35:3,6 36:13 37:5,8 37:11 41:1 42:21 44:11 45:3 49:11 51:20 53:22 56:16 58:11 59:7 62:12 63:1 63:7,12 64:2,4 67:17 68:6 80:16,22 81:3,19 82:6 83:9,12 85:9 87:18 88:1,3,6,13 89:5,8,18,20,22 91:14 office's 19:18 officer 7:4 10:10 offices 55:13 81:4 official 74:13 officially 76:1 Oftentimes 91:3 once 24:7 one-54:17 ongoing 84:3 87:6,7 online 12:20 14:12 77:12 open 21:4 72:4,11,22 79:2 89:8 93:17 opened 46:13,14 89:10 opening 7:8 9:17 10:6 operations 78:11 82:19

46:10 56:6 59:15.20 64:3 70:2 71:17 optional 84:16 order 2:2 4:10 5:18,19 6:21 8:5 11:19 13:1 13:10,15,21 14:7,22 16:4 25:1,1,2,12,19 26:18 50:1 56:16,17 60:13 75:10,13,18,18 orders 6:20 7:9 15:9 17:6 88:8,9,11 original 25:1,19 outcome 30:12,12 outline 56:7 outlined 8:21 15:18 29:22 41:20 outlining 32:4 outreach 76:12,14,15 outset 25:8 outside 71:5 overall 38:22 55:10 overcome 48:21 overextended 12:7 overwhelmed 12:8 45:16.17 56:11 owner 81:16

Ρ

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S **p.m** 48:10 74:11 80:2,2 93:18 **PAC** 86:22 **paint** 32:5 Palacio 88:17 paragraph 50:8,10 paragraphs 26:20 part 13:6 24:22 30:8 44:18 participants 19:13 20:18,20,21 22:1,6 23:7 31:20 participate 29:21 32:11 39:10 81:7,9,12 82:17 82:20 83:1 84:7 85:3 85:5,15,16,18,20 participated 19:17 76:16,21 81:19,21 82:12 participating 83:3,7 participation 91:2 95:2 particular 16:11 17:10 23:22 46:3 50:7,15 72:2 particularly 33:17 particulars 49:4 parties 69:8

opinion 55:17

opinions 89:19

opportunity 10:1 28:4

party 5:17 8:3 93:19

password 14:13 24:11 28:21 49:2 53:7 69:13 punitive 66:15 9:2 10:8,11 15:21 34:9,11 51:22 52:5,10 70:21 28:11,20 71:21 73:9 **purpose** 15:17 52:12,14 54:8 points 10:2 62:4 73:15 94:15 purposes 23:12 24:17 patience 94:13 policy 20:1 proceeding 34:17 64:11 Patricia 89:12 political 32:21,21 64:11 35:20 pursuant 1:12 89:10 pause 8:16 28:13,15,17 portal 42:12 77:14,14 proceedings 89:20 **put** 73:4 50:8 51:5 77:17 process 5:13 7:19,21 **puts** 4:6 payment 82:11 83:11 portion 9:19 27:8 29:16,21 30:1 Q payments 83:13 89:6 **position** 11:3 78:8 31:21 36:5,9 38:22 **PCC** 83:6,22 possibility 62:19 40:16 44:19 54:1 qualified 30:5 67:2,8 **PDF** 39:15 post-election 84:2,6 57:13 63:11 64:10 qualify 33:22 63:10 **Pembroke** 32:3,7 42:19 postcard 79:6,8,9,12 77:19 92:18 67:5 43:15 57:1 60:4 61:2 **processed** 77:9,16 question 22:13 24:19 postcards 78:2 61:13 63:20 posted 80:17 produce 79:6 28:22 39:19,20 53:5,9 penalties 62:12 proficiency 45:1 posting 13:1 91:4 proficient 18:19 70:5 questions 7:20 27:9 penalty 13:2 66:7,8 **power** 71:13 30:9,10 37:18 38:4 pending 30:12 34:20 **pre-** 14:20 16:8 26:4 program 11:2,5,11,11 78:9 52:1 13:8 15:6 17:4,17 39:2,3 42:5 47:8,9 18:3 19:1,6,8,14 20:3 **people** 3:5 4:5 19:16 pre-hearing 14:17 49:5 52:21 53:1,4,9 22:2 33:3 43:5 62:22 30:20 57:3 60:14 20:10,10 22:15,16,19 55:20 56:1,4 60:7,9 63:2 64:8 91:18 92:12 preferences 28:10 22:21 23:4,7,17 51:18 quick 38:4 92:16 prepared 8:7 9:2 15:16 57:21 59:3 62:14 67:3 quickly 9:10 54:7 58:9 perceived 91:4 23:19 77:10 67:5 81:8,12 82:5,12 quorum 2:3 3:13 period 13:3 17:19 24:1 prescribed 66:6 82:16 83:2 84:19 85:2 quote 14:14 16:13,14 24:10,12 55:9 94:1 present 1:13,16 4:1 7:4 85:7,13,15,21 86:8,12 R permanent 64:10 8:14 9:6 10:2 14:20 86:12.13 **permissible** 13:2 66:14 50:18 54:13 59:18 Programs 15:7 race 83:12 62:3,18 80:10 87:18 permission 15:14 promptly 55:6 races 81:2 permit 38:4 presented 38:10 50:18 **proof** 6:17 7:7 8:3,5,11 **radio** 79:5 Perry 9:2 10:10 17:1 66:12 71:8 81:13 raise 5:7 56:13 90:9.16 25:7,17 26:10 28:6,18 president 29:4 protect 58:12 62:17 91:11.21 30:18 32:2 45:15 56:1 presiding 1:12 **provide** 23:19 26:11 raised 12:19 16:18 21:5 56:4,8,22 58:1 64:20 **pressed** 90:19 72:15 24:1 30:20 33:18,22 66:21 presumably 62:22 **provided** 18:18 34:12 34:3 56:13 61:4 63:9 Perry's 29:19 presumption 65:11 42:20 44:3,5,8 54:7 63:9 65:2,7 90:13 person 34:21 43:10 69:19 57:1,2 61:2 65:14 91:4 45:12,18 46:2 previous 41:9 56:16 providing 51:20 52:6 **Randy** 88:18 personal 69:4 70:13,16 previously 19:22 45:16 provision 74:20 rate 22:19 personally 26:16 primary 78:22 82:13 provisions 6:8 reach 8:15 perspective 4:6 6:1 85:4,16 **public** 2:6,9,13 3:19 reached 40:13 20:5 principal 84:17 88:17 4:12 12:11 20:11,14 read 6:19 39:6,7 49:21 88:19,21 89:1 petition 74:1,4 75:19,22 22:18 29:14 30:6 50:4 60:20 72:6,12 79:20 **print** 79:5 33:15,16 34:1 36:10 73:5 petitions 37:7 printer 79:14 37:2 49:10 58:12.12 ready 39:15,16 40:3,19 picking 37:7 prior 13:7 17:3,12 65:5 58:14,15 62:17 63:2,4 real 32:16 34:18,19 pieces 29:20 65:20 63:10 64:4,7,9,9 67:8 realize 38:5 **PILF** 74:20 privy 36:21 67:18 68:1 72:16 realizing 18:21 PIN 51:22 52:5 **problem** 24:11 34:6 74:12,20 75:1 77:2,4 reason 33:12 44:4 **place** 20:7 47:5 71:6,7 92:10 78:8 80:20 82:2 84:9 reasonable 43:11 58:20 problems 24:7 66:2 **plan** 79:4 87:4 90:5,8 92:1,4,7 reasoning 36:13 planning 78:13 79:19 procedural 6:22 15:15 94:2 reasons 71:14 platform 56:9 16:2 **public's** 63:5 64:13 rebuttal 7:17 64:19 65:1 plausible 19:8 procedurally 6:14 publication 46:1 66:19 68:16 **plays** 57:13 28:19 publicly 92:9 recalculate 13:15 **please** 39:20 50:15 procedure 92:8 published 89:22 recall 27:21 34:19 38:9 71:14 90:19 procedures 6:6,12 publisher 81:16 54:4.4.12 74:1 79:21 point 6:3,4 8:19 9:1,16 proceed 3:13 5:6,10,13 **pull** 90:13 79:22 10:11 26:9 27:10 6:11 7:1,20,21 8:7,21 punishment 58:18 receipts 11:9 38:17

39:13 40:1 83:17 84:11,13 receive 23:21 30:16 32:1,1 40:14 41:12,21 42:15 46:7 47:1,3,12 51:19 57:22 58:7,14 58:22 65:11 69:17 70:10,10 78:2 89:5,18 received 4:19 18:14 40:10,11 41:21 43:1 50:12 51:10 52:12,15 58:6 67:8 69:20 70:9 77:22 82:17 88:3 receives 47:4 69:20 reception 4:9 67:19 recipient 69:20 70:9 recognize 90:10 recognized 44:6 recommendation 19:2 reconsider 13:10,22 reconsideration 13:21 16:3 26:2 65:3 record 6:2 9:6,13 15:19 17:9,16 24:13,20,22 25:3,7,8,12,22 26:6,9 26:19 27:18,22 28:2 28:14 32:22.22 49:8 50:5,22 59:19 60:11 60:11,16,17,18 61:14 61:16 62:2 64:10 72:4 72:7,11,13,17,22 73:5 80:15 93:17,19 94:2 95:4 recordkeeping 24:5 records 12:11 36:11 41:14 74:20,21,22 84:9 redistricting 77:19,20 reduce 17:13 19:10 reduced 13:4,18 14:4 66:8.13 refer 56:15 reference 24:21 25:16 referenced 60:13 referral 88:4 referring 61:12 **refers** 20:22 refiled 16:4 reflect 41:16 78:3 reflects 91:7 **refuse** 41:18 refused 30:2 regard 23:20 45:20 55:1 67:16 91:10 regarding 11:20 29:3 35:16 37:11 41:4 53:18 67:6,17 78:19 91:8

regards 51:3 52:10,14 52:15 54:9 92:4 regenerate 52:6,12 register 51:18 77:13 79:11 registered 10:21 11:10 18:3 23:3 38:5 77:8 78:16 84:19,21 85:1,3 85:4,8,11,14,16,17,19 86:4,6 registrar 37:11 registration 18:22 74:18 76:20 77:7,9,10 77:12 78:5,10 85:7 registrations 77:17,18 86:1 **Regs** 6:7 regular 1:6 3:14,18 4:10 4:20 73:10 90:6 93:13 regularly-71:21 regulations 5:17 8:10 11:5 regulatory 6:15 rehabilitation 76:17 rehearing 75:19 rejected 74:5 relations 68:8 69:3 relationship 36:20 69:9 69:11 relationships 68:9 71:2 71:3 relative 22:3 relatively 6:10 22:20 23:22 relevant 31:20,22 35:14 43:5 58:2,3,3 relief 16:5 75:14 **rely** 31:16 36:5 remain 82:1 remained 14:5 remedied 24:12 **remind** 38:19 reminder 11:15 18:11 30:16 31:8,9,10,15,17 36:5 40:10,11 41:21 58:5 65:9 68:3 reminders 41:12 remission 82:19 remove 67:11 repeat 26:20 39:19 62:6 94:8 repeatedly 67:4 report 2:6,11,12 11:16 11:16 12:2,7,14 14:10 15:13 16:14,16,19 23:13 30:17 31:1 35:16,17 36:6 39:12 40:1,2,12,14,21 41:8

41:9,10,11,16 42:1,16 43:2,18,21,22 44:1 47:13,15,17,18,21 48:1,2,15 50:13 51:11 52:16 56:12,20 57:22 58:16 59:2 65:20,21 67:10,11,12 69:13,14 69:15,16,17 76:6,8 80:5,8,15,21 84:5,13 84:16 86:20,21,22 87:2,10,16,19 89:21 90:3 reported 15:8 23:13 reports 11:9 19:20 20:21 22:3 36:7 38:17 40:21 48:22 54:9 63:21 64:5 73:10 83:16,17 84:11,18 86:10,16 87:3,13 88:4 representations 15:18 representative 14:14 14:18,20 29:13 representatives 16:9 **represented** 12:9 15:12 27:19 request 5:20 14:7 16:3 33:10 36:2 42:9.10 57:16 62:10 71:11,17 74:22 88:6 requested 13:22 16:5 36:17 60:3 74:21 requesting 14:12 36:11 requests 24:16 57:16 83:18 86:15 89:19 required 12:13 14:10 39:13 40:1 46:21 81:9 requirement 11:12 51:11 67:15 requirements 11:8 12:17 17:22 23:4 59:3 66.6 rescue 4:2 reset 34:9 52:4 resets 24:10 resetting 24:17 residents 78:2 79:10 resolved 47:22 resources 29:4 respect 6:5 20:7 24:7 24:16 46:19 64:22 respectful 69:8,11 respectfully 36:2 37:14 62:10 71:11 respective 64:16 respond 22:10 88:5

responded 54:7 55:8,9

70:17

respondents 88:14 response 13:20 16:5 24:10 35:1 48:20 56:16 responses 25:18 26:3 75:21 responsibilities 12:8 responsibility 12:15 46:5.6 responsible 18:9 31:12 responsive 24:9 63:14 rest 16:1 37:14 59:16 59:17 71:16,21 result 17:20 83:19 86:16 resulted 15:8 resulting 17:6 returned 65:10 70:9 review 5:18 14:7 25:3,6 72:10 86:16 87:4 reviewed 25:17 reviewing 17:9 20:15 reviews 83:16,19 86:14 revised 13:17 rhetorical 53:7.7 Rhonda 85:10 Richard 77:4 ridiculous 35:13 righteousness 46:19 rights 30:11 36:16 57:18 71:15 robust 19:15 **Rolark** 81:16 roles 64:16 room 21:6 Rosario 77:2 roughly 10:7 rule 22:14 23:2 rules 11:4 61:5 run 9:21 35:12 55:13 61:6,6 running 11:3 18:20 21:14 32:15

S

safety 78:8
Sanford 1:21 7:5 8:1,2
8:22 10:10 21:22 22:9
22:13,14 23:15 24:19
25:2,6,13 26:1,10
27:1,5,10 61:21 64:20
66:21,22 69:4 71:1
87:17,20,22
saved 34:4
saying 47:12 51:9 52:9
54:15,16 59:12
says 62:16,17
schedule 31:13

scheduled 21:3 71:22 74:10 81:4 scheduling 11:21 **School** 77:3,5 80:4 scoff 63:1 screenshots 48:12 scrutiny 20:12 22:17 seat 11:3 29:10 34:21 second 3:21 4:14,22 5:1 6:21 14:22 16:3 19:14 22:12 24:22 25:11 26:17 50:1 60:13 79:9 86:5,22 91:21 94:5,8 Section 6:8 seeks 67:21 seen 22:1 self 59:13 send 31:8,9,10,15 52:5 52:11 91:22 93:4 **sending** 58:4 70:16 90:22 sense 28:21 49:2 59:13 59:18 sent 11:15 24:8 32:2 42:18 48:8.10.14 50:21 51:1 52:8 57:2 60:3 61:12 65:9,14,18 70:13,18 79:10,13 separate 47:10 separately 40:17,18 **September** 4:20 16:8 30:20 65:4 73:20 74:9 75:20,21,22 76:13,21 77:8 78:6 79:12 80:17 81:1,2 82:6,22 83:7 83:22 84:12,15,16 85:8,11,20 86:1,4,6,9 86:19 87:1,11 88:2,12 89:4,7,16,17 series 6:8 39:5 60:7,15 69:6 seriously 33:4 91:20 serve 46:2 64:12 served 64:7 service 33:4 44:20 serving 29:14 64:8 session 8:16 72:1,10 72:15 93:7,14,21 share 29:2 46:17 **shared** 44:15 63:20 **shift** 7:16 49:6 short 24:9,12 53:6 66:22 91:20 shortcut 25:11 **show** 89:20 side 8:13 9:14 **signatures** 74:6 75:12

signed 39:10 **signs** 79:7 similar 22:2 simple 35:19 69:18 simply 30:21 33:11 34:11 41:19 46:22,22 57:6,21 59:2,6,14 62:10 70:8 single-member 74:4 78:1 sister 4:2 29:3 situation 21:7 24:13 six 15:9 17:6 88:9 slate 6:1 slides 50:17 **SMD** 78:3 79:22 **SMDs** 78:1 **smear** 46:1 solely 47:6 soon 43:20 58:8 94:18 **sorry** 22:11 26:4 39:18 61:9,10 sort 32:22 40:15 56:8 **sorts** 37:3 **Sound** 72:19 sounds 23:8 47:11 Southeast 10:17 speak 35:10 50:14 51:17 90:11 **special** 79:19,22 specific 91:8 specifically 5:16 7:3 10:9 17:11 23:10 28:1 38:14 50:9 54:4 72:2 93:15.17 **spot** 4:8 **Stacia** 87:15 staff 1:16 67:20 stages 84:4 stand 45:12,12 46:18 58:17 standard 6:16 20:14 67:6 standards 6:16 standing 32:21 33:5 start 5:12,22 started 66:2 starting 6:4 **state** 28:13 49:7,13 50:10 67:1 76:16 83:9 89:3 94:2 stated 47:19 50:22 51:11 67:4 statement 7:8,14 9:17 10:5,6 11:19 15:1,19 15:20 24:21 25:20

26:14,17,21 27:16

28:2,11,15 30:7 49:22

65:18 66:22 68:10,13 **statements** 8:13 56:5 **stating** 14:9 16:13 status 2:7 73:16,18 84.4 statute 21:6,9 31:11 66:5,14 **statutory** 11:12 13:2 16:7 steal 45:20 63:2 stellar 32:22 stop 70:15 **stopped** 43:16 stranger 18:15 streamed 81:14 **Street** 10:17 76:18 strenuously 43:9 71:15 **strict** 9:19 stronger 63:13 **Stroud** 1:18 9:11,12 49:12,19 61:9 73:9,11 73:14,17 80:11 93:10 subject 28:8 37:2 subjected 75:1 submission 87:12 submit 14:2 26:14 66:11 **submitted** 14:15 16:11 23:16 52:20 60:12 subsequent 40:11,21 41:10 92:18 subsequently 41:16 substantial 22:5 substantially 23:13 subsumed 47:17 successful 94:17 successfully 48:1,21 suit 74:19 sum 82:8.17 summarize 42:7 **summary** 7:8 74:11 75:17 **sums** 83:10 **Sunday** 80:1 **Superior** 75:13,18 supplement 26:19 72:13 supplementing 52:19 **support** 14:16 16:17 36:13 79:20 91:17 supports 7:10 **suppose** 50:12 supposed 91:18 surrebuttal 68:15,21 71:17 surrogate 57:12 surrogates 57:11

50:3.9 52:21 53:7

surrounding 39:3 survey 44:13 suspended 17:7 suspension 15:10 swear 49:13 sworn 15:16 Sydelle 74:2 system 12:20 13:14 14:9,12 18:16 23:12 24:11,17 45:4 51:19 52:2,11 54:10 63:17 68:2

Т tabulate 92:19 tabulated 92:12 taken 20:7 21:18 31:19 33:8 takes 78:8 talk 17:8 36:19 47:2 53:21 63:15 talked 56:14 93:16 talking 59:14 **Tatum** 37:6 taxpayers' 35:21 technical 32:12 42:22 43:14,16,19 44:11 91:17 technically 8:10 technological 45:1 technologically 43:8 69:22 70:4 technology 70:1,3,4,7 television 79:5 tell 20:4,9 28:10 45:6,7 49:14 70:7 tenor 29:17 term 37:11 59:6

19:19 50:20 54:10 60:11 Terri 1:18 9:10 73:14 test 32:19,20 testified 28:6 56:2 78:7 testify 28:4,10 37:20

terms 6:1,10,15 10:5

testifying 10:18 testimony 7:15 9:18 26:12 27:2 38:15 43:13 49:16 52:18,19

43:13 49:16 52:1 66:12 70:2 **text** 78:19

thank 3:7 16:21,22 19:11 27:5,13 29:1 37:15,16 38:3 42:8 44:19 49:3,19,21 52:13 53:11 55:20,21 61:22 62:8 64:15,16 64:18 66:18,20 68:17

69:1 71:18,20 73:14 73:17 76:5,10 80:5,7 train 79:15 90:4 92:20 93:3 94:13 Thankfully 29:3 **Thanks** 76:7 95:2 things 4:6 6:22 25:20 86:8 third 84:13 **Thompson** 1:12,14 3:3 3:9 4:15,18 5:2,5,9 8:8 9:4,9,15 10:13 16:22 19:11 21:21 22:11 23:5 24:4,18 25:10,15 26:7,15 27:3 Tricia 89:12 27:6,13 29:2 33:20 37:16,22 38:13 39:8 91:12 39:11,21 42:3 47:7 48:3,6 49:1,20 51:5,8 50:3 51:14 52:17 53:2 55:21 59:17 60:1,10 61:15,20,22 64:18 66:20 68:11,14,19 71:19 73:3,11,13 76:7 **trying** 62:18 80:7,13 90:4,17 92:20 93:12 94:9,12 78:14 thought 19:8 41:6 three 3:12 50:9 73:15 73:19 86:7 88:10 threw 55:1 throw 41:3 58:16 **Thumbs** 3:6 type 32:21 44:10 70:14 Thursday 93:22 U timed 39:5 timeliness 19:19 **U.S** 33:2 74:15,17 timely 40:12 44:1 62:21 ultimately 60:5 64:5 84:15,18 88:5 times 34:3,9 35:12 53:17,22 61:6 **Title** 6:7 today 3:19 6:6,17 10:18 15:17 16:20 27:19 29:17 30:22 33:12 34:18,19 35:6 36:18 36:19 37:19 52:19 59:19 62:3 66:12 80:19 90:9 91:11,11 70:20 91:14 94:13 95:2 today's 90:1 told 18:5 56:10 tomorrow 72:5 73:4 United 77:4 93:18 tone 29:17 91:2 total 77:9 81:18 82:8,17 84:22 85:14 88:10 totaled 13:4 touch 91:16 tough 62:18 traditional 15:6 17:3 19:6 22:16,20 81:11

85:1,7 86:11,13 **trained** 79:17 training 31:4,13 38:7 39:2,4 40:7 79:14 transferred 20:3 transparency 20:13 treasurer 10:22 12:9 45:17,19 46:2 83:20 treasurers 86:7 tremendous 59:9 tried 36:6 37:6 70:14,19 true 30:21 46:22 47:1 trust 33:15,16 36:10 truth 49:14,15,15 **try** 9:17,21 32:5 42:7 53:5 68:19 **Tuesday** 1:8 48:19 turn 28:9 38:1 42:4 64:19 70:19 80:12 two 3:12 21:21 42:13 68:20 76:3 80:3 84:10 85:22 86:17 90:18

umpteenth 91:11 unable 16:13 uncover 69:6 uncovering 71:10 undeliverable 65:10 underhanded 45:9 underlying 71:8 undermine 32:22 57:10 **understand** 8:17 19:6 25:21 39:19 53:19 understands 5:15 unfair 30:1.1 unfavorable 30:12 **University** 76:18 77:3 unjust 58:17 unregistered 79:10 untimeliness 16:6 untimely 12:6 upcoming 87:8 **update** 73:10 updated 54:8 updates 73:16,19 75:4

77:18 **upheld** 66:16 **uphold** 36:10 **upholding** 33:15,15 upload 39:15 40:3,16 40:17 47:17 64:5 use 32:6,20 43:17,18 46:9 90:9 user 51:22

v 2:6,8,9,10 3:20 5:11 73:21 74:13 75:6 93:16 Valerie 2:10 75:6 various 25:17 84:4 vast 17:19 verbal 52:18 versed 18:16 **video** 81:22 Video/Teleconference 1:11 viewing 82:1 violation 6:18 violations 6:13 11:19 13:7 17:3,12 19:5 34:22 virtual 11:7 38:10 50:11

81:13 volume 3:5 vote 79:11 92:2,5,7,17 voter 74:18 76:12,14,20 77:7,10,12,17 78:5,10 78:16 79:6,13 voters 77:8,13,20 78:18 80:1

voting 94:18,20

W

wait 94:20 **waited** 34:14 waive 36:3 62:11 71:12 waiver 42:9 wanted 4:7 45:19 46:17 48:14 62:2 70:15 wants 32:13 36:12 42:1 Ward 11:3 29:10 37:8 38:6 75:11 83:10 86:22 88:22 89:2,11 warehouse 92:12,13 93:1 Washington 10:17 76:17 81:17 88:22 wasn't 30:19 39:4 40:6 41:3 54:22 58:3,12,14 70:8.18 waste 35:20 44:18 wasting 44:17

way 3:15 4:1 32:16 55:18 83:5,6,21,22 91:13 ways 44:22 63:12 website 39:4 77:13,14 79:1 80:18 81:14 82:3 87:5 90:1 week 73:1,6 79:14 weekday 48:18 weekend 48:16 weigh 8:15 weighed 17:2,10 18:20 went 25:7 43:14 47:15 48:7 60:5 95:4 Wesley 14:14 15:1 24:21 26:11 28:2 36:18 49:9 wherewithal 17:21 widespread 23:11 William 1:21 7:5 8:2 87:17,22 Williams 12:10 14:14 15:1,2,2,8,11,16 16:12 20:22 24:5,8,16 25:20 26:11 28:3,16 30:7,8 36:18 37:19,21 38:1 40:13 41:2,6 42:4 44:15 48:9,11 49:3,5,7,9,10,12,18 49:21 50:16 51:7,13 51:16 52:22 53:3,10 53:12,13,16 54:3,15 55:7,11,12,17,20 65:22 Williams' 24:21 30:7 38:15 willingness 29:21 winded 94:5 window 41:15 wish 29:8 witness 15:17 28:7 59:18.22 witnesses 7:15 9:20 won 29:13 33:1 word 5:21 words 22:5 work 44:3,16 45:6,8 47:3 59:10,14 70:5 worker 79:14 workers 79:16,18 works 45:5 63:17 worms 46:13 worry 45:22 wouldn't 43:12 58:3 Wright 77:4 write-in 85:10

writing 52:20 92:1

written 38:18 www.dcdebates.com	15 16:7 17:4 79:15 15th 35:5 74:11 86:6	87:2 345 78:1	9,745 77:10 90 2:13
81:15 82:4	16 78:6	3709.11 5:17	95 2:14
www.ocf.dc.gov 80:18	17th 11:14 51:1,9	39 85:15	00 =
	18 83:18	3rd 11:6 38:8,11 50:8	
X	18th 12:22 13:10	78:18 87:12	
Υ	19.6 45:2	4	
	19th 81:1		
yard 79:7	1st 75:9,18 79:9 89:15	4 1:9 2:4	
year 20:2,4	2	4,532 77:8	
years 3:17 17:19 34:3 35:2 44:9 53:15 61:3	2 2:9 74:11 75:11 89:2	400 6:8 400.1 6:12	
67:18 68:8 69:2 91:6	2,000 79:16	41 82:11	
yesterday 78:18	2,500 79:16 2,500 79:16	4th 10:20 90:2	
yesterday 70.10	20 41:14 76:21 86:14	401 10.20 30.2	
	91:6	5	
Zoom 32:10,11 43:17	20-year 29:10	5 2:5,7 11:3 29:10 37:8	
90:10 91:12	20,809 77:16	38:6 80:2 93:18	
	2006 15:4 17:19	5,000 83:10	
0	2013 54:13	5,050 83:13	
	2020 84:8	5,213 77:9	
1	2022 1:9 10:21 11:6	5:00 73:4	
1,100 13:17	15:5 17:20 38:6,9	50 13:3 22:2 76:15	
1,150 13:4	39:12 50:8 78:6 80:17	51 83:15	
1,300 88:15,16,18,20,21	81:1,2,5,14 82:2,7,13	52 85:14	
89:2	82:15,21 83:4,6,8,16	55 20:20 22:1	
1,500 79:17	83:22 84:1,12,15,17	550 13:4,18 14:5 17:14	
10 82:15	84:22 85:5,8,12 86:1	5D05 74:4	
10:00 1:12	86:5,6 87:8,10,15,15		
10:03 3:2	88:2,12 89:4,7,17	6	
1015 10:16	90:2	6 4:20	
10th 11:16,17,20 12:2,7	2022-F008 10:19	632,388.79 82:18	
12:14 14:6 15:13 16:4	2023 78:4	65 20:17	
16:14,16,19 22:3 31:1	21 85:16 21st 81:2 82:12 85:3	67 3:17 67th 3:15	
31:3 35:16,17,17,19	22 13:16	6A04 79:22 80:1	
36:6 37:7 39:12,17,22 40:4,6,8,20,21 41:5,8	22 13.10 22nd 14:21 50:2	6th 16:2 73:20 75:21	
41:11,15 42:16 43:2	23 13:3,16 83:2	011 10.2 75.20 75.21	
44:1 47:13,15,18,20	23rd 13:9 65:3 80:1	7	
48:1,2,4,7,15 50:14	24 94:21	7,800 88:10	
50:19 51:12 56:12,19	24-hour 55:9	72 85:2	
56:20 65:4,20 67:10	24th 13:14 14:17	73 2:8	
67:11,12,13,14 69:13	25th 13:19	74 2:9	
69:14,15,16,17 86:20	27 84:2	75 2:10	
87:10	27th 74:9	76 2:11	
11 48:10	28th 16:8 30:20 65:4	7th 10:21 11:14 38:6	
11:55 95:4	87:1	83:7 86:4,19 87:11	
1100 17:14	29th 79:12		
11th 16:12 52:9	2nd 75:20 78:4 85:12	8	
12 85:4	3	8 88:22	
12th 11:22 13:12 14:10		80 2:12	
31:1 42:17 47:16,21	3 2:2,3,10 6:7 38:20	82 75:11,15 84:14	
48:18,19 56:21 65:21	89:11 300,000 78:2	83 84:22	
67:10,14 84:15 13 85:17	30th 11:18 65:18 66:3	8th 11:15 51:2,10 75:22 78:15 81:5 82:2,15	
13,216,207.20 82:9	84:17	83:8 84:1 85:5,18	
13th 11:22 12:4	31 82:13	00.0 04.1 00.0,10	
14 79:2	31st 75:16 79:20 83:16	9	
I T I V.L	1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 -	· ————————————————————————————————————	1

<u>C E R T I F I C A T E</u>

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 10-04-22

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

Court Reporter

Mac Nous &