(202) 234-4433

GOVERNMENT OF THE DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

+ + + + +

BOARD OF ELECTIONS

+ + + + +

SPECIAL BOARD MEETING

+ + + + +

WEDNESDAY

NOVEMBER 24, 2021

+ + + + +

The District of Columbia Board of Elections convened via Video Teleconference, pursuant to notice at 9:00 a.m. EST, Karyn Greenfield, Member, presiding.

BOARD OF ELECTIONS MEMBERS PRESENT:

KARYN GREENFIELD, Member

BOARD OF ELECTIONS STAFF PRESENT:

MONICA HOLMAN EVANS, Executive Director

KAREN BROOKS, Registrar of Voters

TERRI STROUD, General Counsel

www.nealrgross.com

CONTENTS

Special Meeting Called to Order 3
Specific petition validation results for
the recall measure regarding Sydelle Moore,
ANC Commissioner for Single Member
District 5D05
Petition Verification Process 4
Challenge to Recall Measure Sydelle Moore,
ANC Commissioner for Single Member
District 5D059
Meeting adjourned

(202) 234-4433

	ے۔ ا
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(9:18 a.m.)
3	MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, so I'll go
4	ahead and call the meeting to order. The quorum
5	is myself, Karen Greenfield, the Board member, so
6	this will be a one-member Board.
7	So, as a result, we will not take a
8	vote or a motion on the first item.
9	This is a Special Board Meeting. It
10	is to address the, specific to the petition
11	validation results for the recall measure
12	regarding Sydelle Moore, ANC Commissioner for
13	Single Member District 5D05, and, the challenge
14	to the recall measure (inaudible) Moore, Advisory
15	ANC Commissioner, for Single Member District
16	5D05.
17	What I will ask is I will ask Monica
18	to go ahead and read your report into record.
19	MS. EVANS: Thank you. Good morning.
20	The matter before us today is a
21	Petition Verification Regarding a Recall Sydelle
22	Moore, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single

Member District 5D05, filed on October 25, 2021. 1 2 After executing the Board's standard procedure for verifying registered voter 3 signatures contained in the referenced recall 4 petition, the Board staff have determined that 5 this petition does not meet the statutory 6 7 requirements for certification to the ballot, in 8 accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 1-9 1001.17(k)(1). It is my recommendation that the Board 10 11 reject the petition as numerically insufficient, 12 in accordance with D.C. Official Code Section 1-13 1001.17(k)(1), because it does not contain the 14 signatures of the required minimum number of 15 registered voters. 16 An overview of the petition 17 verification process, and a summary of its 18 results are provided here. 19 Overview of the Petition Verification 20 The petition verification process is Process. 21 conducted to determine whether a petition to 22 present a recall measure to the electorate,

contains the minimum number of registered voter 1 2 signatures required to place the measure on the ballot. 3 The required minimum is 10 percent of 4 5 the duly registered voters of the Single Member District, from which the official was elected. 6 7 The numerical requirements are based 8 on the Board's published registration totals in 9 effect at least 30 days before the petition was In this case, the voter registration 10 filed. 11 totals as of August 31, 2021. 12 The petition verification process detailed in Standard Procedures for Verification 13 14 of Recall Petitions, has four basic steps. First, the Board staff verify if the 15 16 circulator is a resident of the District of 17 Columbia, or a resident of another jurisdiction, 18 who registered as a petition circulator with the 19 Board, prior to the circulation of the petition 20 sheet, and if the petition has a completed 21 circulator's affidavit. 22 Second, the name and address of each

petition signer is checked against the voter 1 2 registration system file, to determine if the petitioner was registered to vote at the resident 3 address listed on the petition, at the time the 4 petition was signed, as required by law. 5 Only those petition signers whose 6 7 names and addresses are found to match the 8 Board's registered voter file, are entered into 9 the petition checking program as verified 10 registrants. 11 Third, the total of verified registrants are compiled by the registration 12 13 system, to determine whether the petition 14 contains a sufficient number of registered voters 15 to proceed to the signature verification stage, 16 in which the actual names on the petition are 17 examined against the names in the Board's 18 records. 19 Fourth, where the total number of 20 verified registrants is determined to have met 21 minimum signature requirements, a random sample 22 of signatures is drawn for comparison to the

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

www.nealrgross.com

original voter signatures on file in the Board's
records.

3	The validity rate of the randomly
4	selected signatures and the sample, is then used
5	to make a statistical determination of the
6	sufficiency of the petition as a whole, at the
7	required confidence level of 95 percent.
8	Summary. The Petition for Recall
9	Sydelle Moore, Advisory Neighborhood
10	Commissioner, Single Member District 5D05,
11	containing five pages, was filed on October 25,
12	2021.
13	The petition contained 199 signatures.
13 14	The petition contained 199 signatures. After completing the procedures for
14	After completing the procedures for
14 15	After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of
14 15 16	After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, steps 1, 2, and
14 15 16 17	After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, steps 1, 2, and 3, in the process outlined above, the total
14 15 16 17 18	After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, steps 1, 2, and 3, in the process outlined above, the total number of registered voters listed in the
14 15 16 17 18 19	After completing the procedures for verifying the voter registration status of petition circulators and signers, steps 1, 2, and 3, in the process outlined above, the total number of registered voters listed in the petition was found to be 98.

1	The determination that the petition
2	did not contain the required minimum number of
3	duly registered voters, meant that the
4	verification process came to an end at this
5	point, without proceeding to the signature
6	sampling stage.
7	This is the required outcome in
8	accordance with the standard procedures, whenever
9	the universe of verified registrants falls below
10	the threshold numerical requirement for
11	verification.
12	Even if every signature were found to
13	be valid, there would be an insufficient total
14	number.
15	Based on the foregoing results of the
16	verification of the Petition to Recall Sydelle
17	Moore, Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single
18	Member District 5D05, the determinations are
19	that:
20	1) The proposed measure does not meet
21	the statutory requirements for certification to
22	the ballot; and,

1	2) The proposed measure should be
2	rejected by the Board because, it does not
3	contain a sufficient number of signatures of
4	registered electors in the ward from which the
5	official was elected, as required by D.C.
6	Official Code, Section 1-001.17(k)(1).
7	This concludes my recommendation to
8	the Board.
9	Thank you.
10	MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, thank you,
11	Monica.
12	Since we do not have a quorum, we
13	cannot make a motion to vote on that matter, so
14	we will move on to the second matter, which is
15	the Challenge to the Recall Measure of Sydelle
16	Moore, ANC Commissioner for Single Member
17	District 5D05.
18	Okay, I noticed that Ms. Henderson is
19	present. Ms. Henderson, if you, the report was
20	read, and that is the report of the Registrar of
21	Voters with respect to the Board's independent
22	verification process.

1	And, so I just wanted to say at the
2	onset you know, that the statute sets up with
3	respect to ballot measures, the District's
4	elections statute sets up a parallel process.
5	So, once a ballot measure, including
6	an initiative measure, is submitted, the Board
7	does its own independent verification process.
8	And, if it is determined once the
9	petition is submitted, that the initial count of
10	signatures is sufficient to allow the process to
11	move forward, then the Board posts the petition
12	for a challenge process.
13	So, with respect to the recall
14	measure, the Board independently conducts its own
15	verification process, but there's also a process
16	allowed for challenge.
17	As the Registrar's report indicates,
18	based on the Board's own independent verification
19	of the initiative petition, the petition
20	submitted in support of the effort to recall
21	Commissioner Moore, was found to be insufficient.
22	And, so that's that process, but

there's also a process for the challenge. 1 And, 2 so that's where we, that's the mission we will take up now. 3 With respect to the Board's ability to 4 5 proceed with the challenge hearing, that authority is given to the Board in the statute, 6 7 and D.C. Code Section 1-1001.17(g), which 8 provides that notwithstanding provisions of the 9 D.C. Administrative Procedure Act, the Board may hear any case brought before it under this sub-10 11 chapter by one-member panels. 12 An appeal from a decision of any such 13 one-member panel may be taken to either the full 14 Board, or the D.C. Court of Appeals, at the option of any adversely affected party. 15 16 If appeal is taken directly to the 17 D.C. Court of Appeals, the decision of the one-18 member panel shall be for purposes of such 19 appeal, considered to be a final decision of the 20 Board. 21 If an appeal is taken from a decision of, a decision of a one-member panel to the 22

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

Board, the decision of the one-member panel shall 1 2 be stayed pending a final decision of the Board. The Board may, upon a vote of its 3 4 majority of its members, hear de novo, all issues 5 of fact or law, related to an appeal of a decision of a one-member panel. 6 Just a second, I apologize. 7 The Board may, upon a vote of a 8 9 majority of its members, hear de novo, all issues of fact or law, related to an appeal of the 10 11 decision of a one-member panel except the Board 12 may decide to consider only the record made 13 before such one-member panel. A final decision of the full Board 14 15 relating to an appeal brought to it from a one-16 member panel, shall be appealable to the D.C. 17 Court of Appeals, in the same manner and to the 18 same extent, as all other final decisions of the 19 Board. 20 So, I just wanted to lay that out for 21 the parties to make clear that the, that Board Member Greenfield has the authority to hear this 22

1 matter, and to rule on it.

2 And, so with that, we will take up the matter of the challenge submitted by Sydelle 3 Moore, Commissioner Moore, to the Recall Petition 4 submitted by Ms. Henderson, in her effort to 5 recall Commissioner Moore. 6 And, so what I will have the Registrar 7 8 of Voters do is to read her report into the 9 record regarding the challenges, and then we will hear from the parties. 10 11 MS. BROOKS: On October 25, 2021, Kathy 12 Henderson submitted, submitted a Petition in 13 Support of Recall of Sydelle Moore, Advisory 14 Neighborhood Commissioner, Single Member District 15 5D05. 16 That Petition was posted for public 17 inspection for 10 days, as required by law. Α 18 challenge on November 4, 2021, by Sydelle Moore, 19 a registered voter in the district of Columbia. 20 My (inaudible) to the Petition 21 Challenge indicated that Ms. Henderson submitted a total of 195 signatures. 22

ĺ	
1	A total number of signatures
2	(inaudible) provided for were 179 signatures.
3	Twenty signatures was not accepted, because they
4	appeared on a page that was not a proper
5	reproduction of the paper form provided by the
6	Board, page 3.
7	A petition must contain the valid
8	signatures 10 percent of the duly registered
9	voters of the Single Member District, for which
10	the official was elected, based on the Board's
11	public registration totals in effect at least 30
12	days before the petition was filed.
13	In this case, the voter registration
14	totals as of August 31, 2021, based on the
15	registration totals for SMD 5D05, August 31,
16	2021, the required number of signatures for valid
17	access, is 161.
18	Challenger Sydelle Moore's (inaudible)
19	(Pause.)
20	MEMBER GREENFIELD: We lost audio.
21	(Pause.)
22	MS. STROUD: At which point did you

1	lose audio?
2	MEMBER GREENFIELD: When she started,
3	challenger Sydelle Moore filed. We lost audio
4	after that.
5	MS. STROUD: Okay.
6	MS. BROOKS: So here?
7	MS. STROUD: Yes.
8	MS. BROOKS: All right.
9	Challenger Sydelle Moore filed
10	challenges to a total of 109 signatures,
11	enumerated by line and page number, on individual
12	challenge sheets filed for each petition page.
13	Petition signatures were challenged
14	pursuant to Title 3 DCMR, Section 1107.1 of the
15	Board's Regulations.
16	Of the 109 challenges submitted, 96 of
17	the challenges were reviewed by the Registrar.
18	The remaining 13 challenges were not reviewed
19	because they were, they concerns signatures were
20	not accepted by the Board because, they appeared
21	on the page that is not a proper reproduction of
22	the paper form provided by the Board.

1	My review in the case in a total of 76
2	of the 96 challenges reviewed are valid. The 76
3	valid challenges were upheld on the following
4	grounds.
5	Twenty-six were upheld because the
6	signer was registered at a different address in
7	the Single Member District.
8	Thirty-two were upheld because the
9	signer was not a registered voter.
10	Three were upheld because the
11	signatures are forgeries.
12	Four were upheld because the signer
13	was inactive.
14	Ten were upheld because the signer is
15	not a registered voter, registered voter within
16	the Single Member District.
17	One was upheld because the signer's
18	address is missing.
19	Because the initial count of
20	signatures got divided (inaudible) this recall,
21	this recall measure is 179 signatures.
22	As a result of the 76 valid

1	challenges, the petition recall is therefore, 103
2	signatures.
3	Fifty-eight signatures is the
4	statutory requirements for certification to the
5	ballot.
6	In addition to filing challenges to
7	signatures, Commissioner Moore has also cited
8	counselor fraudulent signatures, and requested
9	the Board take action with respect to these.
10	That concludes my report.
11	MS. STROUD: Thank you.
12	So, before we hear from the parties,
13	I just wanted to lay out the regulatory authority
14	pursuant to which signatures are validated.
15	When the Board first receives a recall
16	petition, it does an initial count. And, in this
17	count, signatures are excluded if they appear on
18	pages that are not a proper reproduction of the
19	paper form provided by the Board.
20	That's D.C. Regulation and Title 3 of
21	D.C., Title 3 DCMR, Regulation 1105.4(a),
22	specifies that if a signature appears on pages

that are not a proper reproduction of the paper 1 2 form provided by the Board, all the signatures on that page will be invalidated. 3 4 So, that's in the Regulation at 5 1105.4(a). It also discounts signatures of 6 registered voters who submit notarized requests 7 8 to disallow signatures, their signatures from 9 being counted on the petition, provided that the request was received prior to the time the 10 petition is filed. 11 That is 1105.4(d). 12 And, 1107.1 lays out the circumstances 13 under which signatures on a petition are to be 14 invalidated. And, I'll read those into the 15 record. 16 1107.1(a) indicates that a signer's 17 voter registration, a signer's voter registration 18 was designated as inactive on the voter rolls at 19 the time the petition was signed, that signature will be invalidated. 20 21 If a signer is not registered to vote 22 at the address listed on the petition at the time

1 the petition is signed, it will be invalidated 2 unless the Board's records indicate that the voter timely filed a change of address. 3 Which 4 would have been before the petition was submitted. 5 A signature shall not be held valid if 6 it is a duplicate of a valid signature, if it is 7 not dated, if it does not include the printed or 8 9 typed name, or typed address of the signor. If it does not include the printed or 10 typed name of the signer where the signature is 11 12 not sufficiently legible for identification. If the circulator of the petition was 13 14 not a qualified petition circulator at the time the petition was signed. 15 16 If the circulator of the petition 17 failed to complete all required information in 18 the circulator's affidavit. 19 If the signature was obtained on a petition sheet that was submitted on behalf of a 20 21 previously filed recall petition that was 22 rejected, or found to be numerically

1 insufficient.

2	Or, of the signer was not a registered
3	voter in the Single Member District of the
4	elected official sought to be recalled.
5	In addition, if a signature was also
6	the signature of the circulator of the same
7	petition sheet where the signature appears, that
8	signature shall be invalidated. And, this is
9	found in 3 DCMR 1109.4.
10	So, I just wanted to lay out that the
11	circumstances under which signatures are found to
12	be invalid, is set forth in the Code, as well as
13	in the Regulations, at the sections I indicated.
14	And, so having read the Registrar's
15	report in the record, I would ask if the parties,
16	and first we'll go with Ms. Henderson, and you'll
17	be allotted three minutes, and then Ms. Moore can
18	respond in three minutes.
19	So, Ms. Henderson, if you could state
20	your name and address for the record, and then
21	proceed with your response to the Registrar of
22	Voters report with respect to the challenge, we'd

www.nealrgross.com

1 appreciate that. 2 MS. HENDERSON: (No audible response.) MEMBER GREENFIELD: We can't hear. 3 4 MS. STROUD: Your audio is not on, Ms. 5 Henderson. (Pause.) 6 7 MS. HENDERSON: (No audible response.) 8 MS. STROUD: No, we can't hear you. 9 You might need to call in. 10 (Pause.) 11 MS. STROUD: We'll give Ms. Henderson 12 some time to call in. 13 (Pause.) 14 MS. HENDERSON: Are you able to hear me 15 now? 16 MS. STROUD: Yes, we are Ms. Henderson. 17 And, I'm going to start the timer for 18 three minutes for you to submit your response to 19 the report of the Registrar of Voters. MS. HENDERSON: Well, I don't have any, 20 21 well anyway, I'm not even going to address the 22 alleged difficulty with seeing me and on the

1	meeting, and hearing my audio.
2	But anyway, I reject the Registrar's
3	report. I submitted a 20-plus page response to
4	the members of the Board.
5	Are you in receipt of that response?
6	MS. STROUD: Yes.
7	MS. HENDERSON: That response also
8	includes a number of things.
9	I would have asked Ms. Verlene
10	Cameron, or Mr. Edward Cameron, to sign the
11	petition, but Ms. Cameron's been deceased for
12	years, and Mr. Cameron is in Florida. And, the
13	building that they lived in no longer exists.
14	Yet, they continue to be on the list
15	of voters for 5D05, even though I sent in a
16	picture showing that the building is no longer
17	there.
18	So, this issue is an ongoing issue.
19	We don't even know how many people actually live
20	in 5D05 according to the D.C. Board of Elections,
21	because the list is incorrect.
22	I sent in a minimum of 64 names that

have not been addressed. Most recently, Ms. 1 2 Brooks did attempt to respond minimally, to one name, a couple of names. 3 4 Wallace Fox. It says he can't, he was 5 listed twice as a voter. It cannot be determined that he's deceased. 6 7 Well, Ms. Brooks, the Registrar, does 8 not have to determine that Mr. Fox is deceased. 9 What we can determine through the D.C. tax records, is that Mr. Fox does not reside in 1801 10 11 L Street NE. 12 A duly registered voter, Andrew 13 Bernard, Andrew Buddy Bernard, he actually 14 resides there, and he signed the petition. 15 So, that's one issue that has never 16 been responded. 17 I received also the statement by 18 Steven Harris, indicating that he didn't sign the 19 It's really troubling. petition. 20 And, as I indicated in my response, 21 I'm forwarding this entire matter to the Office 22 of the Attorney General, and the Office of the

1 Inspector General, for a review and a full 2 investigation. I submitted a properly notarized 3 statement of affidavit and declaration, from 4 5 Lydia Goring, who is a witness to Mr. Harris signing the petition, and Mr. Harris is captured 6 7 on video signing the petition. 8 So, that will be made available at the 9 proper time as well. So, this entire matter is 10 inappropriate. 11 I challenge the Registrar's 12 declaration of forgery. There is no 13 determination of forgery. And, in her report, 14 she indicates what appears to be forgery. 15 You can't make, that's improper. 16 Either you think its, either you determine that 17 it's forgery, or you have not. 18 And, there is no basis, no legitimate 19 basis, to determine that any of the signatures 20 are forged. 21 With regard to both Ms. May, Alveria 22 May, and Verlia May, they are not alleging that

1 they didn't sign the petition. They simply 2 alleged that they wanted their signatures removed. 3 4 And, there is no evidence that they 5 actually made their request timely. And, Mr. Harris' request to remove his 6 7 signature was not made timely. So, that's not 8 even an issue. 9 With regard to the form, the form is consistent with what the Board approved, and the 10 11 Registrar is again, incorrect. 12 I think there's some serious problems 13 in the Board of Elections. And, if you decide to 14 vote not to approve the recall measure in this matter, we still have the matter of Sydelle 15 16 Moore's ongoing malfeasance, and investigations 17 from the D.C. Auditor, the Office of the Attorney 18 General --19 (Simultaneous speaking.) 20 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Ms. Henderson, your 21 time is up and we're only focused on --22 MS. HENDERSON: Yes, I know, you don't

want that on the record, do you? 1 2 MEMBER GREENFIELD: -- these subjects from the challenge. 3 MS. HENDERSON: But it'll be on the 4 5 record. It's in the record. It's in the record. 6 There's malfeasance, that's the basis of this 7 recall. 8 MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you, Ms. 9 Henderson. 10 MS. HENDERSON: Thank you. 11 MS. STROUD: And, now, Ms. Sydelle 12 Moore, if you have any response to the Registrar 13 of Voters findings, you may do so now. You have three minutes as well. 14 15 MS. MOORE: Sure, so I'll be brief and 16 say that you know, not only is the basis for the 17 petition a lie, you know, the way in which it was 18 conducted you know, included a variety of illegal 19 practices. 20 So, in addition to you know, 21 supporting the Registrar's report regarding the challenge, I also support the additional 22

insufficiencies that she found in the petition,
and would ask that the Board you know, clearly
reject this petition.

And, refer for further investigation, 4 5 the allegations of forgery made by those individuals, voters who found their petition, 6 7 their, or a replication of something purporting to be their signature, on the petition form. 8 9 MEMBER GREENFIELD: Thank you, okay. 10 Okay, do we have anybody else? MS. STROUD: No, Board Member 11 12 Greenfield. Those are the parties. 13 And, any, there is information that 14 was submitted for the record, and that information is part of the record. 15 16 And, so you are free to proceed. MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay, yes. 17 18 I'm going to go ahead. I am going to make a motion and move that we accept the 19 20 Registrar's findings regarding the challenge 21 submitted by Sydelle Moore in response to the Recall Petition submitted by Kathy Henderson, as 22

www.nealrgross.com

a Single Member Board.

I'm going to vote age to accept that motion.

MS. STROUD: And, as Board Member Greenfield indicated, she has voted to adopt the report of the Registrar of Voters.

7 And, as a consequence of that, the 8 recall petition submitted in an effort to remove 9 or recall Commissioner ANC Single Member District 10 5D05, Commissioner Sydelle Moore, is reject, 11 rejected as insufficient to put the initiative, I 12 mean, put the recall measure on the ballot.

13 The, as I indicated, this matter may 14 be appealed to either the full Board, or to the 15 D.C. Court of Appeals, pursuant to the statutory 16 provision that I have cited.

And, at that time, Ms. Henderson, if you wish to submit something that indicates that in fact, the number of signatures that you submitted in support of the recall effort is in fact, sufficient to place the measure on the ballot, you can you know, take that before either

(202) 234-4433

the full Board, or the court, as you are the			
parties that was adversely impacted by the, the			
order of the Board, which will be issued			
forthwith.			
MS. HENDERSON: Okay.			
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Okay.			
If we don't have any other matters,			
I'm going to make a motion to go ahead and			
adjourn this Special Board Meeting.			
So, I will move to adjourn the			
meeting, and then I will vote aye.			
(No audible response.)			
MS. STROUD: And, the meeting is			
hereby adjourned.			
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Meeting's			
adjourned.			
Thank you.			
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter			
went off the record at 9:48 a.m.)			

Α a.m 1:12 3:2 29:19 ability 11:4 able 21:14 above-entitled 29:18 accept 27:19 28:2 accepted 14:3 15:20 access 14:17 Act 11:9 action 17:9 actual 6:16 addition 17:6 20:5 26:20 additional 26:22 address 3:10 5:22 6:4 16:6,18 18:22 19:3,9 20:20 21:21 addressed 23:1 addresses 6:7 adjourn 29:9,10 adjourned 2:14 29:14 29:16 Administrative 11:9 adopt 28:5 adversely 11:15 29:2 Advisory 3:14,22 7:9 8:17 13:13 affidavit 5:21 19:18 24:4 ahead 3:4,18 27:18 29:8 allegations 27:5 alleged 21:22 25:2 alleging 24:22 allotted 20:17 allow 10:10 allowed 10:16 Alveria 24:21 **ANC** 2:5,11 3:12,15 9:16 28:9 Andrew 23:12,13 anybody 27:10 anyway 21:21 22:2 apologize 12:7 appeal 11:12,16,19,21 12:5,10,15 appealable 12:16 appealed 28:14 Appeals 11:14,17 12:17 28:15 **appear** 17:17 appeared 14:4 15:20 appears 17:22 20:7 24:14 appreciate 21:1 approve 25:14 approved 25:10 asked 22:9

attempt 23:2 Attorney 23:22 25:17 audible 21:2,7 29:12 audio 14:20 15:1,3 21:4 22:1 Auditor 25:17 August 5:11 14:14,15 authority 11:6 12:22 17:13 available 24:8 aye 28:2 29:11

В **ballot** 4:7 5:3 8:22 10:3 10:5 17:5 28:12,22 based 5:7 8:15 10:18 14:10,14 basic 5:14 basis 24:18,19 26:6,16 **behalf** 19:20 Bernard 23:13,13 **Board** 1:4.6.11.13.17 3:5,6,9 4:5,10 5:15,19 9:2,8 10:6,11,14 11:6 11:9,14,20 12:1,2,3,8 12:11,14,19,21 14:6 15:20,22 17:9,15,19 18:2 22:4,20 25:10,13 27:2,11 28:1,4,14 29:1.3.9 **Board's** 4:2 5:8 6:8,17 7:1 9:21 10:18 11:4 14:10 15:15 19:2 brief 26:15 Brooks 1:20 13:11 15:6 15:8 23:2,7 brought 11:10 12:15 **Buddy** 23:13 building 22:13,16

С call 3:4 21:9,12 Called 2:2 Cameron 22:10,10,12 Cameron's 22:11 captured 24:6 case 5:10 11:10 14:13 16:1 certification 4:7 8:21 17:4 challenge 2:10 3:13 9:15 10:12,16 11:1,5 13:3,18,21 15:12 20:22 24:11 26:3,22 27:20 challenged 15:13 challenger 14:18 15:3,9 challenges 13:9 15:10

15:16,17,18 16:2,3 17:1,6 **change** 19:3 **chapter** 11:11 checked 6:1 checking 6:9 circulation 5:19 **circulator** 5:16,18 19:13,14,16 20:6 circulator's 5:21 19:18 circulators 7:16 circumstances 18:12 20:11 cited 17:7 28:16 clear 12:21 clearly 27:2 **Code** 4:8,12 9:6 11:7 20:12 Columbia 1:2,11 5:17 13:19 Commissioner 2:5,11 3:12,15,22 7:10 8:17 9:16 10:21 13:4,6,14 17:7 28:9,10 comparison 6:22 compiled 6:12 complete 19:17 completed 5:20 completing 7:14 **concerns** 15:19 concludes 9:7 17:10 conducted 4:21 26:18 **conducts** 10:14 confidence 7:7 consequence 28:7 consider 12:12 considered 11:19 consistent 25:10 contain 4:13 8:2 9:3 14:7 **contained** 4:4 7:13 containing 7:11 contains 5:1 6:14 CONTENTS 2:1 continue 22:14 convened 1:11 Counsel 1:21 counselor 17:8 count 10:9 16:19 17:16 17:17 counted 18:9 couple 23:3 court 11:14,17 12:17 28:15 29:1 D **D.C** 4:8,12 9:5 11:7,9,14 11:17 12:16 17:20,21

22:20 23:9 25:17 28:15 dated 19:8 days 5:9 13:17 14:12 DCMR 15:14 17:21 20:9 de 12:4,9 deceased 22:11 23:6,8 decide 12:12 25:13 decision 11:12,17,19 11:21,22 12:1,2,6,11 12:14 decisions 12:18 declaration 24:4,12 designated 18:18 detailed 5:13 determination 7:5 8:1 24:13 determinations 8:18 determine 4:21 6:2,13 23:8,9 24:16,19 determined 4:5 6:20 10:8 23:5 different 16:6 difficulty 21:22 directly 11:16 Director 1:19 disallow 18:8 discounts 18:6 **district** 1:2,11 2:6,12 3:13,15 4:1 5:6,16 7:10,22 8:18 9:17 13:14,19 14:9 16:7,16 20:3 28:9 District's 10:3 divided 16:20 drawn 6:22 duly 5:5 8:3 14:8 23:12 duplicate 19:7 Ε Edward 22:10

effect 5:9 14:11 effort 10:20 13:5 28:8 28:20 either 11:13 24:16,16 28:14,22 elected 5:6 7:22 9:5 14:10 20:4 elections 1:4,11,13,17 10:4 22:20 25:13 electorate 4:22 electors 9:4 entered 6:8 entire 23:21 24:9 enumerated 15:11 **EST** 1:12 EVANS 1:19 3:19 evidence 25:4

			31
examined 6:17	27:9,12,17 28:5 29:6	issued 29:3	14:9,20 15:2 16:7,16
			20:3 21:3 25:20 26:2
excluded 17:17	29:15	issues 12:4,9	
executing 4:2	grounds 16:4	it'll 26:4	27:9,11,17 28:1,4,9
Executive 1:19	Н	item 3:8	29:6,15
exists 22:13		J	members 1:13 12:4,9
extent 12:18	Harris 23:18 24:5,6		22:4
F	Harris' 25:6	jurisdiction 5:17	met 6:20
<u> </u>	hear 11:10 12:4,9,22		minimally 23:2
fact 12:5,10 28:19,21	13:10 17:12 21:3,8,14	<u> </u>	minimum 4:14 5:1,4
failed 19:17	hearing 11:5 22:1	Karen 1:20 3:5	6:21 7:20 8:2 22:22
falls 8:9	held 19:6	Karyn 1:12,15	minutes 20:17,18 21:18
Fifty-eight 17:3	Henderson 9:18,19	Kathy 13:11 27:22	26:14
file 6:2,8 7:1	13:5,12,21 20:16,19	·	missing 16:18
filed 4:1 5:10 7:11	21:2,5,7,11,14,16,20	L	mission 11:2
14:12 15:3,9,12 18:11	22:7 25:20,22 26:4,9	L 23:11	Monica 1:19 3:17 9:11
19:3,21	26:10 27:22 28:17	law 6:5 12:5,10 13:17	Moore 2:4,10 3:12,14
filing 17:6	29:5	lay 12:20 17:13 20:10	3:22 7:9 8:17 9:16
final 11:19 12:2,14,18	HOLMAN 1:19	lays 18:12	10:21 13:4,4,6,13,18
findings 26:13 27:20		legible 19:12	15:3,9 17:7 20:17
first 3:8 5:15 17:15	I	legitimate 24:18	26:12,15 27:21 28:10
20:16	identification 19:12	level 7:7	Moore's 14:18 25:16
five 7:11	illegal 26:18	lie 26:17	morning 3:19
Florida 22:12	impacted 29:2	line 15:11	motion 3:8 9:13 27:19
focused 25:21	improper 24:15	list 22:14,21	28:3 29:8
following 16:3	inactive 16:13 18:18	listed 6:4 7:18 18:22	move 9:14 10:11 27:19
foregoing 8:15	inappropriate 24:10	23:5	29:10
forged 24:20	include 19:8,10	live 22:19	
forgeries 16:11	included 26:18	lived 22:13	N
forgery 24:12,13,14,17	includes 22:8	longer 22:13,16	name 5:22 19:9,11
27:5	including 10:5	lose 15:1	20:20 23:3
form 14:5 15:22 17:19	incorrect 22:21 25:11	lost 14:20 15:3	names 6:7,16,17 22:22
18:2 25:9,9 27:8	independent 9:21 10:7	Lydia 24:5	23:3
forth 20:12	10:18	-	NE 23:11
forthwith 29:4	independently 10:14	M	need 21:9
forward 10:11	indicate 19:2	majority 12:4,9	Neighborhood 3:22 7:9
forwarding 23:21	indicated 13:21 20:13	malfeasance 25:16	8:17 13:14
found 6:7 7:19 8:12	23:20 28:5,13	26:6	never 23:15
10:21 19:22 20:9,11	indicates 10:17 18:16	manner 12:17	notarized 18:7 24:3
27:1,6	24:14 28:18	match 6:7	notice 1:12
four 5:14 16:12	indicating 23:18	matter 3:20 9:13,14	noticed 9:18
Fourth 6:19	individual 15:11	13:1,3 23:21 24:9	notwithstanding 11:8
Fox 23:4,8,10	individuals 27:6	25:15,15 28:13 29:18	November 1:9 13:18
fraudulent 17:8	information 19:17	matters 29:7	novo 12:4,9
free 27:16	27:13,15	mean 28:12	number 4:14 5:1 6:14
full 11:13 12:14 24:1	initial 10:9 16:19 17:16	meant 8:3	6:19 7:18 8:2,14 9:3
28:14 29:1	initiative 10:6,19 28:11	measure 2:4,10 3:11,14	14:1,16 15:11 22:8
further 27:4	inspection 13:17	4:22 5:2 8:20 9:1,15	28:19
	Inspector 24:1	10:5,6,14 16:21 25:14	numerical 5:7 8:10
G	insufficiencies 27:1	28:12,21	numerically 4:11 19:22
General 1:21 23:22	insufficient 4:11 8:13	measures 10:3	
24:1 25:18	10:21 20:1 28:11	meet 4:6 8:20	0
give 21:11	invalid 20:12		obtained 19:19
give 21.11 given 11:6	invalidated 18:3,14,20	meeting 1:6 2:2,14 3:4	October 4:1 7:11 13:11
	19:1 20:8	3:9 22:1 29:9,11,13	Office 23:21,22 25:17
		Meeting's 29:15	
GOVERNMENT 1:1		member 1:12,15 2:5,11	official 4:8,12 5:6 7:22
GOVERNMENT 1:1	investigation 24:2 27:4		0.5 6 1 4.10 20.4
GOVERNMENT 1:1 Greenfield 1:12,15 3:3	investigations 25:16	3:3,5,13,15 4:1 5:5	9:5,6 14:10 20:4
GOVERNMENT 1:1			9:5,6 14:10 20:4 once 10:5,8 one- 11:17 12:15

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

one-member 3:6 11:11 11:13,22 12:1,6,11,13 ongoing 22:18 25:16 onset 10:2 option 11:15 order 2:2 3:4 29:3 original 7:1 outcome 8:7 outlined 7:17 overview 4:16,19 Ρ P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S 3.1 page 14:4,6 15:11,12 15:21 18:3 22:3 pages 7:11 17:18,22 panel 11:13,18,22 12:1 12:6,11,13,16 panels 11:11 paper 14:5 15:22 17:19 18:1 parallel 10:4 part 27:15 parties 12:21 13:10 17:12 20:15 27:12 29:2 party 11:15 Pause 14:19,21 21:6,10 21:13 pending 12:2 **people** 22:19 percent 5:4 7:7,21 14:8 petition 2:3,8 3:10,21 4:5,6,11,16,19,20,21 5:9,12,18,19,20 6:1,4 6:5,6,9,13,16 7:6,8,13 7:16,19 8:1,16 10:9 10:11,19,19 13:4,12 13:16,20 14:7,12 15:12,13 17:1,16 18:9 18:11,13,19,22 19:1,4 19:13,14,15,16,20,21 20:7 22:11 23:14,19 24:6,7 25:1 26:17 27:1,3,6,8,22 28:8 petitioner 6:3 Petitions 5:14 **picture** 22:16 place 5:2 28:21 point 8:5 14:22 posted 13:16 posts 10:11 practices 26:19 present 1:13,17 4:22 9:19 presiding 1:12 previously 19:21

printed 19:8,10 prior 5:19 18:10 **problems** 25:12 procedure 4:3 11:9 procedures 5:13 7:14 8:8 proceed 6:15 11:5 20:21 27:16 proceeding 8:5 process 2:8 4:17,20,20 5:12 7:17 8:4 9:22 10:4,7,10,12,15,15,22 11:1 program 6:9 proper 14:4 15:21 17:18 18:1 24:9 properly 24:3 proposed 8:20 9:1 provided 4:18 14:2,5 15:22 17:19 18:2,9 provides 11:8 provision 28:16 provisions 11:8 **public** 13:16 14:11 published 5:8 purporting 27:7 purposes 11:18 pursuant 1:12 15:14 17:14 28:15 **put** 28:11,12 Q qualified 19:14 **guorum** 3:4 9:12 R random 6:21 randomly 7:3 rate 7:3 read 3:18 9:20 13:8 18:14 20:14 recall 2:4,10 3:11,14,21 4:4,22 5:14 7:8 8:16 9:15 10:13,20 13:4,6 13:13 16:20,21 17:1 17:15 19:21 25:14 26:7 27:22 28:8,9,12 28:20 recalled 20:4 receipt 22:5 received 18:10 23:17 receives 17:15 recommendation 4:10 9:7 record 3:18 12:12 13:9 18:15 20:15.20 26:1.5 26:5,5 27:14,15 29:19 records 6:18 7:2 19:2

23:10 **refer** 27:4 referenced 4:4 regard 24:21 25:9 regarding 2:4 3:12,21 13:9 26:21 27:20 registered 4:3,15 5:1,5 5:18 6:3,8,14 7:18 8:3 9:4 13:19 14:8 16:6,9 16:15,15 18:7,21 20:2 23:12 **registrants** 6:10,12,20 8:9 **Registrar** 1:20 9:20 13:7 15:17 20:21 21:19 23:7 25:11 26:12 28:6 **Registrar's** 10:17 20:14 22:2 24:11 26:21 27:20 **registration** 5:8,10 6:2 6:12 7:15 14:11,13,15 18:17,17 **Regulation** 17:20,21 18:4 Regulations 15:15 20:13 regulatory 17:13 reject 4:11 22:2 27:3 28:10 rejected 9:2 19:22 28:11 related 12:5,10 relating 12:15 remaining 15:18 **remove** 25:6 28:8 **removed** 25:3 replication 27:7 report 3:18 9:19,20 10:17 13:8 17:10 20:15,22 21:19 22:3 24:13 26:21 28:6 reproduction 14:5 15:21 17:18 18:1 request 18:10 25:5,6 requested 17:8 requests 18:7 required 4:14 5:2,4 6:5 7:7.21 8:2.7 9:5 13:17 14:16 19:17 requirement 8:10 requirements 4:7 5:7 6:21 8:21 17:4 reside 23:10 resident 5:16,17 6:3 **resides** 23:14 respect 9:21 10:3,13 11:4 17:9 20:22

respond 20:18 23:2 responded 23:16 response 20:21 21:2,7 21:18 22:3.5.7 23:20 26:12 27:21 29:12 result 3:7 16:22 results 2:3 3:11 4:18 8:15 review 16:1 24:1 reviewed 15:17,18 16:2 rolls 18:18 rule 13:1 S sample 6:21 7:4 sampling 8:6 says 23:4 second 5:22 9:14 12:7 Section 4:8,12 9:6 11:7 15:14 sections 20:13 seeina 21:22 selected 7:4 sent 22:15,22 serious 25:12 set 20:12 sets 10:2.4 sheet 5:20 19:20 20:7 sheets 15:12 showing 22:16 sign 22:10 23:18 25:1 signature 6:15,21 8:5 8:12 17:22 18:19 19:6 19:7,11,19 20:5,6,7,8 25:7 27:8 signatures 4:4,14 5:2 6:22 7:1,4,13 9:3 10:10 13:22 14:1,2,3 14:8,16 15:10,13,19 16:11,20,21 17:2,3,7 17:8,14,17 18:2,6,8,8 18:13 20:11 24:19 25:2 28:19 signed 6:5 18:19 19:1 19:15 23:14 signer 6:1 16:6,9,12,14 18:21 19:11 20:2 signer's 16:17 18:16,17 signers 6:6 7:16 **signing** 24:6,7 signor 19:9 simply 25:1 Simultaneous 25:19 Single 2:5,11 3:13,15 3:22 5:5 7:10,21 8:17 9:16 13:14 14:9 16:7 16:16 20:3 28:1,9 **SMD** 14:15

sought 20:4 Thirty-two 16:8 speaking 25:19 three 16:10 20:17,18 **Special** 1:6 2:2 3:9 29:9 21:18 26:14 **specific** 2:3 3:10 threshold 8:10 specifies 17:22 timely 19:3 25:5,7 staff 1:17 4:5 5:15 timer 21:17 stage 6:15 8:6 Title 15:14 17:20,21 standard 4:2 5:13 8:8 today 3:20 start 21:17 total 6:11,19 7:17,20 started 15:2 8:13 13:22 14:1 15:10 state 20:19 16:1 statement 23:17 24:4 totals 5:8,11 14:11,14 statistical 7:5 14:15 status 7:15 troubling 23:19 statute 10:2,4 11:6 Twenty 14:3 statutory 4:6 8:21 17:4 Twenty-six 16:5 28:15 twice 23:5 stayed 12:2 typed 19:9,9,11 steps 5:14 7:16 U Steven 23:18 Street 23:11 universe 8:9 **STROUD** 1:21 14:22 **upheld** 16:3,5,8,10,12 15:5,7 17:11 21:4,8 16:14,17 21:11,16 22:6 26:8,11 V 27:11 28:4 29:13 sub-11:10 valid 8:13 14:7,16 16:2 subjects 26:2 16:3,22 19:6,7 submit 18:7 21:18 validated 17:14 28:18 validation 2:3 3:11 **submitted** 10:6,9,20 validity 7:3 13:3,5,12,12,21 15:16 variety 26:18 19:5,20 22:3 24:3 verification 2:8 3:21 27:14,21,22 28:8,20 4:17,19,20 5:12,13 sufficiency 7:6 6:15 8:4,11,16 9:22 sufficient 6:14 9:3 10:7,15,18 10:10 28:21 verified 6:9,11,20 8:9 sufficiently 19:12 verify 5:15 summary 4:17 7:8 verifying 4:3 7:15 support 10:20 13:13 Verlene 22:9 26:22 28:20 Verlia 24:22 supporting 26:21 video 1:11 24:7 **Sydelle** 2:4,10 3:12,21 vote 3:8 6:3 9:13 12:3,8 7:9 8:16 9:15 13:3,13 18:21 25:14 28:2 13:18 14:18 15:3.9 29:11 25:15 26:11 27:21 voted 28:5 28:10 **voter** 4:3 5:1,10 6:1,8 system 6:2,13 7:1,15 13:19 14:13 16:9.15.15 18:17.17 т 18:18 19:3 20:3 23:5 taken 11:13,16,21 23:12 voters 1:20 4:15 5:5 tax 23:9 Teleconference 1:11 6:14 7:18 8:3 9:21 Ten 16:14 13:8 14:9 18:7 20:22 21:19 22:15 26:13 **TERRI** 1:21 thank 3:19 9:9,10 17:11 27:6 28:6 26:8,10 27:9 29:17 W things 22:8 Third 6:11 Wallace 23:4

wanted 10:1 12:20 17:13 20:10 25:2 **ward** 9:4 way 26:17 WEDNESDAY 1:8 went 29:19 wish 28:18 witness 24:5 Х Υ years 22:12 Ζ 0 1 **1-** 4:8.12 1-001.17(k)(1) 9:6 1-1001.17(g) 11:7 1.612 7:21 **10** 5:4 7:21 13:17 14:8 **1001.17(k)(1)** 4:9,13 103 17:1 **109** 15:10,16 **1105.4(a)** 17:21 18:5 1105.4(d) 18:11 **1107.1** 15:14 18:12 1107.1(a) 18:16 1109.4 20:9 **13** 15:18 161 14:17 179 14:2 16:21 1801 23:10 **195** 13:22 199 7:13 2 **2**7:16 9:1 **20-plus** 22:3 **2021** 1:9 4:1 5:11 7:12 13:11,18 14:14,16 **24** 1:9 25 4:1 7:11 13:11 **29** 2:14 3 **3** 2:2,6 7:17 14:6 15:14 17:20.21 20:9 **30** 5:9 14:11 **31** 5:11 14:14,15 4 **4** 2:8 13:18

5

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc.

Washington DC

5D05 2:6.12 3:13.16 4:1 7:10 8:18 9:17 13:15 14:15 22:15,20 28:10 6 **63** 7:20 64 22:22 7 76 16:1,2,22 8 9 **9** 2:12 9:00 1:12 9:18 3:2 9:48 29:19 **95**7:7 **96** 15:16 16:2 **98**7:19

CERTIFICATE

This is to certify that the foregoing transcript

In the matter of: Special Board Meeting

Before: DC BOE

Date: 11-24-21

Place: teleconference

was duly recorded and accurately transcribed under my direction; further, that said transcript is a true and accurate complete record of the proceedings.

near Lans &

Court Reporter

NEAL R. GROSS

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS 1716 14TH ST., N.W., STE. 200 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20009-7831

(202) 234-4433