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P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
(10:06 a.m.)
CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, welcome, everybody. Happy Friday. I think it's Friday, September 9th. This is a Special Board Meeting to consider a number of nominating petition challenges. I see our court reporter is present. COURT REPORTER: Good morning. CHAIR THOMPSON: Good morning. Also present are Board Members Karyn Greenfield and Mike Gill, which means we have a quorum. This meeting has been duly noticed and the agenda circulated, which reflects our consideration of up to six different ANC challenges. I'd move that we follow the agenda. Is there a second?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Second.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Any changes to the agenda? No, all in favor?

CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So I believe
many of these, or some of these, may be withdrawn But I guess we'll find out by diving in. The first matter is Shaquan Hudson versus Kendall Simmons. MS. STROUD: Yes, good morning. My name is Terri Stroud. I'm the General Counsel for D.C. Board of Elections. And the first item on the agenda is Shaquan Hudson versus Kendall Simmons, who is a candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8E-04. And so if we could ascertain whether or not the parties are present. I believe I did see Ms. Hudson on earlier, but I don't see her now. CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. MS. STROUD: And I do not see Ms. Simmons present, unless she's on a using a number, in which case I --

CHAIR THOMPSON: If you are using a phone number, could you put yourself in chat, and let me know, otherwise raise your hand.

COURT REPORTER: This is the court reporter.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, we're on
mute. I'm going to unmute everyone. Hold on. MS. STROUD: I will note for the record that I did see that the court reporter is on. CHAIR THOMPSON: Yes. Okay, well, let's table that and move on to Anthony Muhammad versus Allyson Carpenter. Are either Mr. Muhammad or Ms. Carpenter present?
(No response.)
CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, that's another one that we think might be withdrawn, so we'll table that for a second and move on to James Harnett versus Alfonso Way, Jr.

MS. STROUD: Mr. Chair, we did receive a withdrawal for that matter. That matter was withdrawn so we can amend the agenda accordingly. I think the agenda that $I$ posted on our website does have just the four matters that are before the Board today. We did get a withdrawal in the matter of Harnett v. Way.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Oh, okay. And also, okay, the last one here, Rosenberg versus Hazelton, is that a --

MS. STROUD: That was withdrawn as well.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Oh, okay. All right, moving on then to Robbie Woodland versus Betty Murray, are Ms. Woodland and Ms. Murray present?

MS. STROUD: Ms. Woodland, I see is --
MS. WOODLAND: Yes, I'm here. I'm sorry. Good morning.

MS. STROUD: And Ms. Murray, are you present?

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, so Ms. Woodland is the challenger. The candidate, Betty Murray, does not appear to be present. General Counsel Stroud, can we proceed?

MS. STROUD: We can proceed, but I would like to have Ms. Christine Pembroke come to the table and discuss the circumstances under which the parties were provided with notice of this hearing. We do have the authority to proceed ex parte. But I just wanted to get on the record of the circumstances surrounding which notice was provided of today's hearing.

MS. PEMBROKE: Good morning, everyone. Yes, both parties were notified that there would be a hearing before the Board. And the initial letter sent to them notifying them of the challenge, they were subsequently informed at the pre-hearing conference that the Board would be addressing this matter.

They've received at least two emails notifying them of this morning's proceedings. I have not heard back from Ms. Murray as to her attending this morning's hearing. I can, if the Board steps away, try and call her. I can do that.

CHAIR THOMPSON: If it will only take a minute or two, sure, just to be sure.

MS. PEMBROKE: All right.
MS. STROUD: Sure. And we, just for the record, pursuant to 3 D.C. Municipal Regulation, Section 43.4, if a party fails to appear at the hearing, the Board may proceed ex parte. That's how the regulation reads in the pertinent part. And so the Board is authorized to proceed with this matter ex parte.

But Ms. Pembroke has stepped away to determine whether or not she can contact the candidate, Ms. Murray, to see if she will be present.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. So why don't we table that for a moment, and see if -- finally, the last one on the agenda is Betty Murray versus Markus Batchelor.

MS. STROUD: And the candidate in the previous matter that we just tabled is the challenger in the next matter --

CHAIR THOMPSON: Right.
MS. STROUD: -- Markus Batchelor, who's a candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8C-06. Mr. Batchelor, are you present?

COURT REPORTER: All participants can unmute themselves.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Well, it's the Friday before our beautiful early fall weekend, ha, ha. MEMBER GILL: I don't blame people. CHAIR THOMPSON: Ha, ha.

MS. STROUD: I believe that Ms. Murray has joined. Ms. Murray, if you are present, I think that genuine assistors is the --

Ms. Murray?
MS. MURRAY: Good morning. Yes, ma'am, I'm here.

MS. STROUD: Okay, so we do have the parties present for the matter that is captioned 3C on our agenda, Robbie Woodland versus Betty Murray, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single District 8C-06. And so we will proceed as follows.

We will have the parties identify themselves --

MS. MURRAY: I'm not sure why so, no, my volume --

MS. STROUD: Can you hear me, Ms. Murray?

MS. MURRAY: Yeah, let me try to put on my earbuds. Excuse me while I'm getting ready though. I'm not sure why. I think while I was on hold it rung -- made the volume low. So I do
apologize. Let me try to put my earbuds and see it that makes it better.

MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you.
MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: And if you could let us know once you have your earbuds on.

MS. MURRAY: I'll just hold it closely to my ear while we are attempting this process. One second, please. It's not loud enough.

MS. STROUD: Okay, while we are waiting for Ms. Murray to get adjusted audio-wise, Ms. Woodland, if you could state your name and address for the record.

MS. WOODLAND: Sure. My name is
Robbie Woodland. My address is 1100 Mississippi Avenue, Southeast.

MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you. And, Ms. Murray, are you ready?

MS. MURRAY: I can hear well enough to state my name. My name is Betty Murray. My address is 1325 Savannah Street, Southeast.

MS. STROUD: Okay. And I'm going to
swear the parties in, if both of you could respond to the following statement. I swear and affirm that the testimony that I will provide today is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

MS. WOODLAND: I do.
MS. MURRAY: I do.
MS. STROUD: Thank you. And so what we'll do is we will have the representative from voter services, our Registrar, Ms. Corrente, read her findings report into the record. And then each party will be given five minutes to respond to the Registrar's findings. And so with that, Ms. Corrente, we'll hear from you.

MS. CORRENTE: Thank you, Counsel
Stroud. So I'm going to be reading a memo dated September 8th, 2022. And it's titled, Challenge to Petition Submitted by Betty Murray, Candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner Single Member District 8C-06, updated.

On August 9th, 2022, Betty Murray
submitted a nominating petition to appear on the ballot as a candidate in the November 8th, 2022,
general election for the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, or ANC, Single Member District, or SMD, 8C-06.

The minimum requirement to obtain ballot access for this office is 25 signatures. The petition contains 61 signatures. The petition was posted for public inspection for ten days as required by law.

The petition was challenged on August 22nd, 2022, by Robbie Woodland, a registered voter in the District of Columbia. Ms. Woodland filed challenges to 55 of the 61 signatures submitted, enumerated by line and page number on individual challenge sheets filed for each petition page.

The signatures were challenged pursuant to Title 3, D.C. Municipal Regulation 1607.1 of the Board's regulations on the following grounds. The signer, according to Board's record, is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

The signature is not dated. The signature is a duplicate of a ballot signature.

The petition does not include the name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification. The circulator of the petition failed to complete all required information in the circulator's affidavit.

The signature is not made by the person whose signature it purports to be, and the signer is not a registered voter in the ward for Single Member District from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition is signed.

My review of the challenges indicates that 44 of the 55 challenges are valid.

Four are valid because the signer is not registered to vote.

Five are valid because the signer's voter registration was designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time petition was signed.

Thirteen are valid because the signer is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

Two are valid because the petition does not include the name of the signer where the
signature is not sufficiently legible for identification.

One is valid because the circulator of the petition was not a qualified petition circulator at the time the petition was signed.

One is valid because the circulator of the petition failed to complete all required information in the circulator's affidavit.

Four are valid because the signature is not made by the person whose signature it purports to be.

And 14 are valid because the signer is not a registered voter in the Single Member District from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition was signed.

This leave's the candidate's nominating petition with 17 signatures, eight signatures below the number required for ballot access.

On September 7th, 2022, the date of the pre-hearing conference, Ms. Murray submitted 13 signed testimonials from signers of the petition
attesting to the validity of their signatures.
Eight of the testimonials aligned with signers who signatures were found to be okay in the original review and credited to the candidate. Two aligned with signers who are not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

One aligned with a signer whose voter registration was designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time the petition was signed.

And two and aligned with signers whose signatures were found not to match. Even if those two signatures that were found not to match were credited back to the candidate, she would still be below the minimum signature requirement to obtain ballot access.

MS. STROUD: Thank you, Ms. Corrente. And so now, based on the Registrar's findings, it appears that the candidate does not have enough signatures for ballot access. The number of signatures needed, again, for ballot access was 25. And the candidate has 17.

And despite having made 13 submissions in an effort to cure signatures, no signatures could be cured. And even if the signatures that were found to be signature mismatches were credited to the candidate, that would still leave the candidate six below ballot access.

But again, they were not found to match. And so even all of the submissions considered would not be sufficient to grant the candidate ballot access.

And so at this time, we will hear from Ms. Murray as the candidate for five minutes and then we will allow the challenger to respond.

MS. MURRAY: Good morning. Can everybody hear me?

MS. STROUD: Yes.
MS. MURRAY: Okay, beautiful. Thank you all, and good morning to everyone on the call. I do appreciate this opportunity to be able to cure whatever was being challenged.

As we speak, I am actually sending over as well the voter registration card for six of the
people that you all said that were not -- that Ms. Woodland had challenged, that she said that were not registered to vote.

And these registered voters are registered voters. They have went on to the website, and they have emailed me a copy of their card. So I would like to submit those as well.

I would like to put on the record as well, at the pre-hearing it was my assumption that the pre-hearing was just that, the pre-hearing. And that we will be given or allowed the opportunity to submit or cure whatever challenges that were brought upon us before this hearing this morning.

So if I would have the opportunity to do that, Ms. Christian, if you can check your email, you will see. And I can go through the names as well of six of those people that were challenged that live right here, literally, in my immediate court, that was put on the petition as not registered voters. But they have provided their registered, I'm sorry, registered voter card

You all, please forgive me, Ms.

Christian, and whoever else is aware on the phone, I literally just got out of the hospital. I was in there for six days. So I'm on pain medication, and I'm doing my best to get through, you know, this hearing.

So again, these challenges with six of the folks, they have already sent me their registration cards. If I can submit those, and the two would be credited, I think that it would be sufficient to be on the ballot.

Am I getting the numbers correct? That will be my first thing that I would like to say within my five minutes.

The second thing would be I know that you all have made it very clear that certain things would warrant a challenge, including dates, things that are not legible. I would also like to ask, does that include times as well, time stamps as well, or times that people put on documents as well? Does that include that as well, or are we getting passes on the time?

I have been told, you know, that some
things are, quote, unquote, we may be able to grace and then some things we may not be able to, $I$ guess.

So my first question would be would I be able to submit these six registered voters information that she challenged to say that they are not registered voters? But those six people have gone online and sent me over their actual registration cards. Would $I$ be able to submit those?

MS. STROUD: Well, so, Ms. Murray, so you're saying that you want to cure six signatures because the individuals were registered, and the Registrar found them not to be registered? I just want to be clear.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: Okay. So right now, you have 17 ballot signatures. And so going back to the six that you would be attempting to cure and, like, we are just getting this information from you now for the hearing, but even if you were to cure these six signatures, you would still be beneath the number required for ballot access.

MS. MURRAY: Right, so the other two is what we have two more which I submitted. I think, as you stated, I submitted, whether it was 13 or 14, signed documents that you all accepted on yesterday. We actually have three more of those.

Again, $I$ just got out of the hospital. I'm dealing with my auntie's passing on yesterday. So this was a lot of this morning's runaround before 10:00 a.m. And I'm doing my best. I thought that the hearing would probably be at maybe noon or 1:00 o'clock, however, it's at 10:00 a.m.

And what you sent me on yesterday with your findings, you sent me these findings while I was literally at a funeral. So it was impossible. And your all were aware of that, so it was impossible for me to try to cure even what you had sent with, you know, it being sent to me yesterday in the middle of me being at a funeral.

MS. STROUD: Well, so my understanding is that you were -- the challenge was filed on August 22nd, which would mean that the last day for you to file any cures was September 1st. And so
we're a week past the deadline for any cures. But the Registrar did review the submissions that you submitted, and she updated her findings to reflect the accounting for the submissions that you made. MS. MURRAY: Uh-huh. MS. STROUD: And so, you know, at this point, we're beyond the opportunity to cure. And that would only be with respect to signers who actually lived within the SMD, and you were to file changes of address for those. And I don't see that any changes of address were filed within that timeframe.

And so any opportunity to cure whatever signatures could be cured, we're past that timeframe, because it ended on September 1st. And I know that that messaging was provided in the initial letter that you sent -- that we sent to you with respect to the challenge being filed to your petition.

MS. MURRAY: Uh-huh. Okay.
MS. STROUD: So we don't have, you know, as of yet, and you said yourself during the
proceeding, so we can't look at what you provided, but, yeah, we don't have the information that you provided. You know, we're here at the hearing. And maybe if we knew which ones you were, you know, disputing, but the fact remains that there is no opportunity at this point to cure any signatures. MS. MURRAY: Okay. So the pre-hearing, and please correct me if I'm wrong, but I thought that Ms. Christian explained that the pre-hearing was basically for us to try to get to whatever we needed to get to to not end up at the hearing. And with that being said, we were not able to do that for various reasons.

However, what I did submit on that day was actually submitted. And I appreciate you all for accepting those at that time. But I thought that that hearing was for us to go over what we may be able to cure before the actual hearing.

MS. STROUD: And that did happen, and what you -- we wanted to, you know, make you aware of the Registrar's findings and get your response to see if you had anything that you could dispute.

And you did submit 13 signed statements from voters. And the Registrar did review those. And they --

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: -- they were taken into account in her updated findings.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am. So I'm asking did you all not receive, as of yet, the registration cards that $I$ also submitted where you all stated that these pretty much my direct neighbors were not registered voters which was challenged. And all of these folks are registered voters. And they submitted their cards. And I sent them over to Ms. Christian.

MS. STROUD: Are they registered in the Single Member District that you are seeking office in? I guess that's --

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am. They're my direct neighbors. Like, I'm in 1325, so there were signatures challenged in my own building, which is 1325. My direct neighbor, I am Apartment Number 5, she is Apartment Number, one, two, three,

Apartment Number 3.
Herself, her adult daughter, and adult son are all three registered voters. It was a challenge put in that they were not registered voters. And they have sent me their registered voters cards. And I sent that over to Ms. Christian.

MS. STROUD: Okay. And I just want to, for the record, state that the Attorney's name is Ms. Christine Pembroke.

MS. MURRAY: Ms. Christine, thank you.
MS. STROUD: So what we're going to do now is we're going to hear from Ms. Woodland.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: And the Board is not going to rule immediately. They will go into executive session once we're through with the hearings. And we will take that opportunity to see what you sent to Ms. Pembroke.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: And we will take that into consideration.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: But we'll hear from Ms. Woodland, and we will get back to you.

MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: Ms. Woodland, you have --
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. WOODLAND: Good morning. Thank you. I am asking that the Board of Elections uphold the Registrar's findings and not allow ballot access. And even if you do allow ballot access, I'm requesting that these individuals come directly into the Board of Elections, fill those documents out there.

Or, if they have to come into the Board of Elections with the documents already completed, that those documents are notarized and they also come with your ID.

MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you, Ms. Woodland. Is that all?

MS. WOODLAND: Well, I just really want to stress that I really would like for the Board of Elections to please do not allow ballot access.

I do believe that $I$ did my due diligence to make sure I did everything correctly, submitted everything in the timeframe that $I$ was supposed to submit everything.

And I do believe that my opponent had the same allotted amount of time that $I$ did to accurately complete everything that needed to be completed before we got to this point. I am really requesting not to allow ballot access.

MS. STROUD: Thank you. Mr. Chair, if you or any of the other Board members have questions for the parties --

CHAIR THOMPSON: No. Yeah, thank you both to Ms. Woodland and Ms. Murray. We appreciate your being here. We will take this under advisement in executive session and consider all of the evidence that we have in hand as to whether there are a sufficient of signatures to gain ballot access.

MS. STROUD: Thank you. And so with that, we'll move to the next matter which is Ms. Murray versus Markus Batchelor.

And, Ms. Murray, you've already been -you've identified yourself for the record. I just wanted to check if Mr. Batchelor was present.

MS. STROUD: And so, Ms. Murray, we will swear you in with respect to this matter which is the matter of Betty Murray versus Markus Batchelor, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8C-06.

As I indicated earlier, if Mr. Markus Batchelor is not present, the Board is authorized to proceed ex parte with respect to this matter.

All parties were noticed of this meeting, both in the initial correspondence that they got regarding the challenge and in subsequent correspondence that identified both the date and the time of this hearing, including as recently as yesterday.

And so with that, if Ms. Corrente would read into the record her findings with respect to this matter, and then we'll hear from the parties.

MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. So I'm
going to read a memo that's dated September 1st,
2022. It's titled Challenge to Petition submitted by Markus Batchelor, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single Member District 8C-06.

On August 10th, 2022, Markus Batchelor submitted a nominating petition to appear on the ballet as a candidate in the November 8th, 2022, general election for the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, or ANC, Single Member District, or SMD, 8C-06.

The minimum requirement to obtain ballot access for this office is 25 signatures. Petition contained 39 signatures.

MS. STROUD: Oh, one second, excuse me. Mr. Batchelor, I just noticed he's coming in. Mr. Batchelor?

MR. BATCHELOR: Yes?
MS. STROUD: Hi, we are actually at your matter right now. We had begun to proceed ex parte, as the Board is authorized to do. But now that you're here, I will have you state your name and address for the record.

And then we're going to have the Registrar of Voters begin to read her report with respect to this matter again. And then I will swear both parties in. And after she's read her report, we'll hear from the parties.

And so if you could state your name and address for the record.

MR. BATCHELOR: Sure, my apologies, Markus Batchelor, 1241 Alabama Avenue, Southeast, Apartment A, Southeast Washington.

MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you so much. And with that we will continue to hear from the Registrar of Voters regarding her findings in this matter.

MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. So I'll just start over with this, thank you, with this memo rather. So it's dated September 1st, 2022. The subject is Challenge to Petition Submitted by Markus Batchelor, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single Member District 8C-06.

On August 10th, 2022, Markus Batchelor
submitted a nominating petition to appear on the ballot as a candidate in the November 8th, 2022, general election for the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, ANC, Single Member District, SMD, 8C-06.

The minimum requirement to obtain ballot access for this office is 25 signatures. The petition contained 39 signatures. The petition was posted for public inspection for ten days as required by law. The petition was challenged on August 22nd, 2022, by Betty Murray, a registered voter in the District of Columbia.

Ms. Murray filed challenges to 16 of the 39 signatures submitted, enumerated by line and page number on individual challenge sheets filed for each petition page. The signatures were challenged pursuant to Title 3, D.C. Municipal Regulation 1607.1 of the Board's regulations on the following grounds.

The signers' voter registration was designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time the petition was signed. The signer, according to
the Board's records, is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed. The signature is a duplicate.

The petition does not include the name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification. The signature is not made by the person whose signature it purports to be.

The circulator of the petition was not a qualified petition circulator at the time the petition was signed. And the signer is not a registered voter in the ward or single member district from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition is signed.

Ms. Murray also challenged signatures on the grounds that the signer is not registered to vote in the same party as the candidate. This is only a ballot for a partisan position during a primary election.

My review of the challenges indicates that five of the 16 challenges are valid. One is
valid because the signer is not registered to vote, and four are valid because the signature is not made by the person whose signature it purports to be.

This leaves the candidate's nominating petition with 34 signatures, nine signatures above the number required for ballot access.

MS. STROUD: Thank you, Ms. Corrente. So now I'm going to swear the parties in if both of you could respond to the following.

I swear or affirm that the testimony that I will give with respect to this matter is the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth.

MR. BATCHELOR: I do.
MS. STROUD: And Ms. Murray? Ms. Murray, if you could unmute yourself.

MS. MURRAY: I apologize. Yes, ma'am, I do.

MS. STROUD: Okay, thank you. So with that we will hear from you first, Ms. Murray, as the challenger, your response to the finding.

MS. MURRAY: Yes. At the pre-hearing
I've already acknowledged that he meets the
requirement, even with the ones that were challenged which is totally fine. And so I'll be sending you over the withdrawal form to not challenge his signatures.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. MURRAY: -- his petitions at the point. Yes, ma'am, no problem. Thank you.

MS. STROUD: Thank you so much.
MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am.
MS. STROUD: Did you have anything you wanted to say?

MR. BATCHELOR: No, ma'am. I appreciate the Boards' attention to the matter. MS. STROUD: Okay. And so with that, we will await the challenge withdrawal form that Ms. Murray has indicated that she would submit. And upon receipt of that, that would close this matter.

Thank you all for your participation in today's proceedings.

MS. MURRAY: Actually, we thank you.
MS. STROUD: So I think we will go back
to the first items on our agenda that we tabled. And I will just ascertain whether or not the parties for the first matter are present, Shaquan Hudson and Kendall Simmons, are either of you present?

MS. STROUD: Okay. So again, the
Board is authorized to proceed ex parte with respect to this matter.

I will say for the record that, with respect to this matter, Ms. Hudson did indicate that she would be withdrawing her challenge to the nominating petition submitted by Kendall Simmons in light of the Registrar's findings.

We have not received that withdrawal. And so we will just put on the record the Registrar's findings, and proceed ex parte with this matter, and issue an order forthwith.

Thank you, Ms. Corrente.
MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. So I'm going to be reading the memo that's dated September 2nd, 2022. The subject is Challenge to Petition Submitted by Kendall Simmons, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, Single Member

District 8E-04.
On August 10th, 2022, Kendall Simmons submitted a nominating petition to appear on the ballot as a candidate in the November 8th, 2022, general election for the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, ANC, Single Member District, SMD, 8E-04.

The minimum requirement to obtain ballot access for this office is 25 signatures. The petition contained 49 signatures. The petition was posted for public inspection for ten days as required by law.

The petition was challenged on August 22nd, 2022, by Shaquan Hudson, a registered voter in the District of Columbia. Ms. Hudson filed challenges to 27 of the 49 signatures submitted, enumerated by line and page number on individual challenge sheets filed for each petition page.

The signatures were challenged pursuant to Title 3, D.C. Municipal Regulation 1607.1, of the Board's regulations on the following grounds. The signer's voter registration was
designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time the petition was signed.

The signer, according to the Board's records, is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition as the time the petition was signed. The petition does not include the name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification.

And the signer is not a registered voter in the ward or single member district from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition is signed.

My review of the challenges indicates that 23 of the 27 challenges are valid. Two are valid because the signer is not registered to vote.

Six are valid because the signer's voter registration was designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time petition was signed.

Six are valid because the signer is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

One is valid because the signature is
not made by the person whose signature it purports to be.

And eight are valid because the signer is not a registered voter in the single member district from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition is signed.

This leaves the candidate's nominating petition with 26 signatures, one signature above the number required for ballot access. And that ends the reading of the memo.

MS. STROUD: Thank you, Ms. Corrente.
So in light of the Registrar's finding that the candidate, Kendall Simmons, had sufficient signatures to obtain ballot access in the contest for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8E-04, it would be the recommendation of the Office of the General Counsel that, once the Board deliberates, they find that the candidate has sufficient numbers and grant her ballot access, in light of no testimony or evidence presented that would dispute the Registrar's
findings.
And again, I would say for the record that although we do not have a withdrawal form from Ms. Hudson, she had indicated that she would submit one.

And so does the Board have any questions?

CHAIR THOMPSON: No questions, thanks. MEMBER GREENFIELD: No, I don't have any.

MS. STROUD: Thank you. And so the next matter of the agenda is Anthony Muhammad versus Allyson Carpenter, candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8C-05. And are either Mr. Muhammad or Ms. Carpenter present?

Not hearing any indication that either party is present, I will again say for the record that the Board is authorized to proceed ex parte pursuant to 3 DCMR 403.4.

And so what we'll do to put the matter on the record is have the Registrar of Voters read
her findings with respect to this matter into the record. And then hearing that, the Office of the General Counsel will give its recommendation. MS. CORRENTE: Thank you. So the memo that I'll be reading is dated September 1st, 2022. The subject is Challenge to Petition Submitted by Allyson Carpenter, Candidate for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner Single Member District 8C-05.

On August 10th, 2022, Allyson Carpenter submitted a nominating petition to appear on the ballot as a candidate in the November 8th, 2022, general election for the office of Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner, ANC, Single Member District, or SMD, 8C-05.

The minimum requirement to obtain ballot access for this office is 25 signatures. The petition contains 30 signatures.

The petition was posted for public inspection for ten days as required by law. The petition was challenged on August 22nd, 2022, by Anthony Muhammad, a registered voter in the

District of Columbia. Mr. Muhammad filed challenges to 12 of the 30 signatures submitted enumerated by line and page number on individual challenge sheets filed for each petition page. The signatures were challenged pursuant to Title 3, D.C. Municipal Regulation 1607.1 of the Board's regulations on the following grounds.

The signer's voter registration was designated as inactive on the voter roll at the time the petition was signed. The signer, according to the Board's records, is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed. The signature is a duplicate of a valid signature. The signature is not dated.

The petition does not include the address of the signer. The petition does not include the name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification.

The circulator of the petition failed to complete all required information in the circulator's affidavit, and the signer is not a
registered voter in the ward or single member district from which the candidate seeks nomination at the time the petition is signed.

Mr. Muhammad also challenged signatures on the grounds the signer is not registered to vote in the same party as the candidate, but this is only a valid challenge for a partisan position during a primary election.

My review of the challenge indicates that eight of the 12 challenges are valid.

Five are valid because the signer is not registered to vote at the address listed on the petition at the time the petition was signed.

Two are valid because the petition does not include the name of the signer where the signature is not sufficiently legible for identification. And one is valid because the signature is not dated.

This leaves the candidate's nominating petition with 22 signatures, three signatures below the number required for ballot access.

MS. STROUD: Thank you, Ms. Corrente.

So the Registrar has read her report into the record. And I will also note for the record that in an email that the Board -- that we received, Ms. Carpenter did indicate that she would withdraw.

We informed her that we would need to have a signed candidate withdrawal form. And she indicated that she would be submitting that, but she had not as of this hearing.

> And so the Office of the General

Counsel's recommendation will be that, in light of the findings of the Registrar, that Ms. Carpenter does not have sufficient number of signatures to obtain ballot access in the contest for Advisory Neighborhood Commissioner for Single Member District 8C-05, falling short by three, that the Board deny Ms. Carpenter ballot access for that contest.

And so with that matter being closed, I would like to return to matter of Woodland $v$. Murray. And if Ms. Pembroke could come forward, she has printed the documents that Ms. Murray sent over. And we can look at them, and make a
determination with respect to the import of these documents.

And if you could state your name and address for the record, Ms. Pembroke, and your work address.

MS. PEMBROKE: Christine Pembroke.
What is the street address here?
MS. STROUD: 1015 Half Street.
MS. PEMBROKE: 1015 Half Street, Southeast, Washington D.C.

So, Ms. Woodland sent over an email. This is what she did at the pre-hearing. She sent us an email as the pre-hearing was going on with a bunch of attachments. And so we struggled to get through those at the pre-hearing. And so she sent over a number of attachments to an email. They were in a screen shot format that we had difficulty accessing.

But what they seem to be is voter registration information about three or four family residents of 1325 Savannah Street, Southeast. There's three or four individuals that
all have the last name Stringer. Malaka Stringer, Dana Lavon Stringer, and they seem to be duplicates of these, and then Andrew Stringer.

So I'm not seeing that there are six individuals here. I'm only seeing three. And I don't know if the Registrar, in reviewing those, can confirm if that voter information is out of date or does not align with our records.

MS. STROUD: Okay.
MS. PEMBROKE: And I'm just -- and again, as with the pre-hearing, she did not identify the petition line or the names of the individuals that were involved.

She was advised that the kind of back and forth that was happening at the pre-hearing couldn't happen before the Board, that she had to be prepared to specify which petition line she was talking about.

In fact, $I$ think $I$ said that to her a number of times, that what was happening at the pre-hearing could not happen before the Board. She needed to be very clear about what kind of
signatures she was -- which signatures she was trying to cure and why.

MS. STROUD: Okay.
MS. WOODLAND: I'm sorry, can I make a comment, please? This is Robbie Woodland. Can I make a comment that, for the record, she's referring to Betty Murray and not me.
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. WOODLAND: Yes, ma'am.
MS. PEMBROKE: I'm sorry.
MS. WOODLAND: Thank you, ma'am.
CHAIR THOMPSON: So let me just pause for a second. As I understand it, there's information now about three individuals, all with the last name Stringer, that may be registered voters. But they're not necessarily the same individuals that were the subject of the ballot challenge to four signers that were determined not to be registered voters and five others that were determined to be inactive.

These could be three, not necessarily in that same universe. They could be three people
that were found to be registered voters and not the subject of a successful challenge.

MS. CORRENTE: Right. And I can give a quick update. We located the three names. Andrew Stringer was found to be inactive on our voter roll. And so that was the classification. Dana Stringer was found to be okay. So that signature was actually fine. And then Malika Stringer was one of those signature does not matches that Ms. Murray submitted paperwork for in her first submission at the pre-hearing conference.

MS. STROUD: Yes. So I'm not sure. Was Dana Stringer one of the individuals? Or was that not an individual that was covered?

MS. CORRENTE: Yeah, Dana Stringer
was the one that was found okay.
MS. STROUD: Okay. So Dana Stringer was found to be okay. Andrew Stringer --

MS. CORRENTE: Inactive.
MS. STROUD: -- was inactive. So that couldn't be cured.

MS. CORRENTE: Correct.
MS. STROUD: And Malika Stringer was a finding that the signature did not match. So these individuals that Ms. Murray is attempting to present information for in the record today are individuals who were covered by the 13 submissions that she submitted. And the Registrar's findings cover these individuals.
(Audio interference.)
MS. STROUD: Hello?
CHAIR THOMPSON: Hold on one second. Okay, anything else from the Registrar or Ms. Stroud? Otherwise we'll ask, I think, Ms. Murray to comment if she'd like.

MS. STROUD: No, Mr. Chair, I have nothing further. Ms. Corrente?

MS. CORRENTE: No, Mr. Chair, I have nothing further.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.
MS. STROUD: Ms. Murray, if you could make a comment or respond to the Registrar's findings with respect to the information that you
submitted via email to today to Ms. Pembroke.
MS. MURRAY: Yes, ma'am. The information that I submitted today to Ms. Pembroke, I am and was fully prepared to go over by line which sheet they were on, what the challenge was, and submit the cure for those which was the registered voters card.

I believe Ms. Dana Stringer is actually on the line. And I believe her son as well is near her which was -- I believe you all just said that Ms. Dana was okay, but her son and daughter were still being challenged.

I submitted six documents, not just those three, on today. And so if those six, if those other, I'm sorry, four could be submitted as well, for example, Page 6, Number 7, Page 6 of 7, Number 9, that young lady, her name was submitted as a non-registered voter. I've also submitted her voter registration card as well already.

I know that my personal issues with my medical issues is not anyone's problem on this phone, but I do appreciate, Ms. Pembroke, for your
patience and everybody else's patience, nor is the passing of my aunt's death.

I know that you all stated September the first was the deadline for certain things. I do want to make note that during that time $I$ was already literally ill. I spent six days in the hospital. So the day of the hearing was actually my release date.

So during all of this time where I could have had my due diligence of curing some of these things, I apologize, but I was ill. I did my best of what I could do of curing these things. And I made it very clear, even on the hearing, that I am one of the new candidates. Although I'm out here doing the work gracefully, I am one of the new candidates that's running for ANC.

And so therefore, a lot of work going on here I'm new to. But while being sick and dealing with my aunt's death, I was trying to do my best to get everything cured. With that being stated, I made mention to Ms. Pembroke before.

And I also would like to put on the
record Ms. Woodland had made it clear that, even if the challenges were cured and accepted enough to be on the ballot, that she was requesting that those individuals come down to the office in person to submit their IDs.

I would actually like to go against that. And the reason being I'm going to be honest, I came down to Board of Elections to even apply for ANC and wasn't even asked for my ID. And I brought that up to Ms. Pembroke to ask her, everyone that's registering to even run for ANC, none of us are being asked for our ID.

The second thing would be, and I'll make note, please, is that I see that we -- my signature was even challenged. And the challenge was based on the date. With that being said, even if it is accepted, and I hope that it is, it has been made clear that some things you all, and I'm not -- I'm speaking off what was told to me, some things you all are able to give grace for.
And if we're going to do that, I made mention, and it was a question as well, $I$ wasn't
sure if Ms. Woodland had submitted someone that was -- and I'm not sure if I'm using the proper word, but that was her, I guess, registered agent to assist her on her matters or affairs with her petition.

But I question the time that was stated on her petition, I'm sorry, that was stated on her ballot of submission, that you all stamped, stating that she was there at 3:50.

And I actually, on the tenth, was in your office from 3:10 to at least 5:20, to the closing of business with Ms. Denise and a few other -- Ms. Denise, and I can't actually name the five other people that was in the office on that day. And so I made it clear. I said Ms. Woodland wasn't in here at 3:50, because $I$ was here during that time. So if we're questioning dates, are we questioning time too if these documents are sworn and documented stamps according to the Board.

I've not yet really have gotten clarity on that, other than the fact that I know she submitted it before 3 o'clock which was the
deadline.
But my leery of falsifying documents to say that you were there at a time that you wasn't, that Ms. Woodland wasn't there, that would be falsifying a document to state that you're there at one time, and you're not when the document was stamped by your office verifying that she was there.

And so with that being stated, again, I'm true to the best $I$ can to try to support our community. But $I$ see that there's a lot of stuff that go into this that's going on that I'm, like, really oblivious by, especially being on a phone call on, oh, I'm sorry, on Wednesday with Ms. King, orchestrating the pre-hearing. And, Ms. King, you did a wonderful job, thank you.

I would say that the only thing $I$ was a little baffled by Ms. Woodland being able to throw her indirect threats and give me her physical address to tell me to pull up on her. And I asked her at that time what do you want me to do, pull up on you to pray? Because I'm not going to pull
up on you to fight. So I'm not sure why you're giving me --

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right.
MS. MURRAY: -- your address. I find that to be very alarming.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay.
MS. MURRAY: So I asked the Board, I asked the Board what do we do in that sense? If we are having this type of behavior on a hearing call what, besides perhaps going to the police, what do we request of the Board to be able to kind of keep control of us being threatened or being invited to someone's house for conflict.

So this all going on at one time. It just happened Wednesday, and after Wednesday we're here Friday. And so I'm trying my best to cure what the issues are. As I stated, I just sent over the six that was being challenged, and even mine that was being challenged.

And I wanted to know were those two that you all stated, and I'm assuming that one of them was my signature as well that was being challenged.

Will that be accepted as well?
(Simultaneous speaking.)
MS. STROUD: Oh, Mr. Chair, I'm sorry. CHAIR THOMPSON: I was just going to
say, Ms. Murray, thank you so much. First and foremost, all the best wishes with your health, I hope you recover well, and also condolences on the passing of your aunt.

We appreciate you, you know, relaying this information today. Even though it was at a time, as noted, we'll take a close look at it when we go into executive session. And I think we've heard, we certainly have heard you, and appreciate your additional information.

I think we'll give Ms. Woodland a chance to reply or add any additional comments. And then I think, unless barring any other witnesses, we'll close the record.

MS. WOODLAND: Okay, thank you. I can speak now?

CHAIR THOMPSON: Yeah, please go ahead.

MS. WOODLAND: Okay, thank you. Thank you so much. So in response to her accusation of me, I guess, being a mean girl, 1 do believe that Ms. Pembroke and Ms. Marissa Cambo comment to the fact that, when we had that pre-hearing, I sat there very quietly and I allowed her to speak.

But when it was my turn to talk, she kept interrupting each and every thing that I said, so much so that Ms. Pembroke had to constantly remind her that it was my turn to speak, and they wanted to hear exactly what I had to say, in which she kept interrupting my conversation and would not allow me speak.

Now moving forward to the information that she did submit, at first $I$ wanted to be a little merciful and allow her to try to cure whatever it was that she needed to cure provided that they can show their IDs and come directly into the office.

I've now changed my mind about that.
I'm asking that he Board of Elections completely stick the Registrar's original finding. She had enough time to adequately submit all of that
information.
Even though she said that she had to go into the hospital, $I$ do have a video footage that her neighbors did send me the night that the ambulance was called to come and pick her up which was well after the hours that the Board of Election had closed.

So she had still enough time to submit all of her documents. I did my due diligence like I was supposed to, under my rights for challenging a petition. I submitted everything legally. It wasn't anything fraudulent that $I$ did.

The Board of Elections did not put time stamps on my paper for dates or times that $I$ was not there. Every single time I came to the Board of Elections, this was documented. So this is nothing more but a ploy to buy her more time or try to force her way onto the petition. Thank you.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. Thank you so much. Thank you everybody. I think we've heard everything we need to hear to go into executive session. Anything from General Counsel
or the Registrar in this matter?
MS. STROUD: Yes, I will --
CHAIR THOMPSON: Anything else?
MS. STROUD: No. I thought Ms.
Corrente had something, but she doesn't. And I just would like to remind the Board that they must vote to enter into executive session --

CHAIR THOMPSON: Right.
MS. STROUD: We could establish a time in which we would be back on the record.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. Yeah, so here's what we'll do for those who are listening. I'm going to move to go into executive session now, and then the three Board members will discuss.

Probably 20 minutes to half an hour we'll come back on the record and state our findings or make motions and, if need be, further discuss our determination.

So why don't we say, it's 11:09 now, why don't we say 11:40 for good measure? If folks want to -- this meeting will end, but you can dial back in at 11:40 to hear us issue our determinations.

So with that I would move that, pursuant to D.C. Code 2-575(b), Sub 13, the Board enter into executive session to deliberate upon the challenges to ANC ballot access that we've heard this morning. I think mainly three matters, two for formality's sake but obviously mostly concerning Woodland versus Murray. Is there a second?

MEMBER GILL: Second
CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?
MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.
MEMBER GILL: Aye.
CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, thank you, everybody. We're going to end this meeting now, go into executive session, and we'll be back at 11:40.
(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 11:11 a.m. and resumed at 11:42 a.m.)

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, welcome back, everybody. Step one is I move to resume the
public meeting. Is there a second? MEMBER GILL: Second. CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor? MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye. CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye. MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIR THOMPSON: We are out of executive session and back in the public meeting. MS. STROUD: Excuse me, Board members, we need to know the court reporter is here. I believe we just want to record for our purposes.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Okay. All right, sorry. I jumped in too fast. So I moved to resume the public session. It was seconded and passed. And so we are back on the record. Thank you, everybody for sticking around.

We're going to proceed with our determinations. Number one, in the matter of Shaquan Hudson versus Kendall Simmons, neither party was here.

The motion is to accept the recommendation of the Registrar that the candidate
does have enough signatures, ballot signatures to
proceed to the ballot, therefore we would reject the challenge. Is there a second?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Second.

MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor. Aye.

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All right. The
second matter, Anthony Muhammad versus Allyson

Carpenter, also neither were present.

At this time I would move to accept the
recommendation of the Registrar that the candidate only has 22 ballot signatures and thus cannot appear on the ballot, thus upholding the challenge.

Is there a second.

MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye.

The third matter, going down our
agenda, is Robbie Woodland versus Betty Murray. And our motion is to accept the findings of the Registrar that there were 17 valid signatures except adding two at finding a valid signature as to what was noted as an incomplete circulator affidavit, that adds one, and also adding one for

Malika Stringer curing a mismatch issue which
brings the number of valid signatures to 19 , but
unfortunately still not enough to clear the 25
signature threshold.

Therefore the motion is to uphold the
challenge and disqualify the candidate from the
ballot.

MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Any other comment
from any Board members?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: No. MEMBER GILL: No. I seconded the motion. CHAIR THOMPSON: All right, all in favor. MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye. Okay. So
that's three to zero on that one.

And, finally, Betty Murray versus

Markus Batchelor. The parties were present. And
we understand the challenge had been withdrawn.

But for good measure, we're going to go ahead and rule on this.

And the motion is to accept the
recommendation of the Registrar that the candidate
has enough ballot signatures to appear on the
ballot and therefore reject the challenge. Is
there a second?

MEMBER GILL: Second.

CHAIR THOMPSON: All in favor?

MEMBER GREENFIELD: Aye.

MEMBER GILL: Aye.

CHAIR THOMPSON: Aye. All right,
well, that addresses all four of the matters. Before we adjourn, it's a beautiful day and it looks
like a gorgeous weekend ahead. So I hope everybody has good health and is able to enjoy the fine
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